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Teacher
Introduction

Please read the following carefully, prior to making use of the glossary!

Citizens of all nations must be aware of the terms in this glossary, for purposes of cognitive liberty and anti-brainwashing in the electronic age. Some terms relate to technologies and considered as ‘classified’ (many of which now demonstrated in the civilian sector) and others refer to strategies being used.

From the perspective of the specific technologies, 1st year university physics and biology should be more than sufficient preparation – if you do not have this background, plenty of online materials can fill in the gaps on the science concepts underlying existing neuroweapons. The scientific references are complemented by personal experience and online research. Keep in mind that many online resources may be disinformation to distract people from truth and prevent correct understanding of present capacities and practices in the matter of psychological warfare in the electronic age (neurowarfare).

Scientific validity cannot be attributed to all sources, as many are not peer-review scientific sources, and much other content is a mashing of numerous similar sources where I am unsure as to how to attribute the credit for the original content. Also, a fair few entries are basically modified from the Wikipedia open source encyclopaedia to make the relevance for psychological warfare more clear. Other sources include official public documents, formally established social or political bodies, a handful of non-peer-review specialist sites, some commercial sites and suspiciously rare references from mainstream media.

For some terms, I present a Google Trend image when there is sufficient data in the Google database. For the specific technologies, there were rapid jumps from 0 to high around 2005, then largely dropped off the radar. The term gaslighting was previously almost unheard of, but has been rising exponentially. The term targeted individual did not exist prior to 2005, but appeared shortly after those quick blips of interest in the specific electronic weapons being used, and use of the term has been generally increasing since.

Use your head. Do not jump to crazy conclusions. Approach this glossary the way a scientist would approach anything. With simultaneous openness and scepticism.

Please do not perceive any of this content as threatening or intimidating. Yes, this is scary. But, we need to be psychologically prepared and aware of the tools which may be brought against the public.

Finally, I recommend reading the glossary through twice. The first time, do not slow yourself down too much by thinking “you’re so stupid” if you do not fully understanding all details at first. The second time, read more carefully, especially on concepts which you feel are most important for you to understand.

N Weatherdon
List of terms:

All terms relating to SCIENCE NON-FICTION technologies which may seem ‘unbelievable’ are underlined. The remainder of terms need to be understood for the purpose of preparing psychological defenses and/or understanding which experiences are relevant for historical and/or legal documentation pertaining to related events.

Agent provocateur
Ambush
Assets (intelligence)
**Augmented cognition**
Blackmail
Blacklisting
Blocking harassment
**Brain fingerprint**
Brainwashing (refer to mind control)
**Brain programming**
**Brain imaging (brain scanner)**
Cause stalking
Civil society
Civilian
Coercive persuasion or thought reform
Cognitive liberty
Conditioning (psychology; a.k.a. Pavlovian conditioning or Classic conditioning) (refer to Priming):
**COINTELPRO**
Conspiracy
Controlled personnel effects
Corruption
**Covert surveillance**
Crime against humanity
Crowd manipulation
Cyberstalking
**DARPA**
Decoy(ing)
Dehumanization
Delusion (also refer to gaslighting):
Depersonalization
De-patterning
Directed conversation
**Directed energy weapons (refer to electronic weapons)**
Disinformation
**Electromagnetic radiation/waves (specific types of which include Radio waves and Microwaves)**
Electromagnetic shielding (including Faraday cage)
Electromagnetic weapons (a.k.a. Electronic weapons, Neuroweapons, etc.)
Electronic harassment (no-touch torture)
ELINT
Emotional cloning (a.k.a. Cloned emotional signatures)
Evoked action (related: Classic conditioning, Priming, Subliminal stimuli, Electronic weapons)
Extremely low frequency waves (ELF electromagnetic waves)
False flag
Fatigue attacks and “electronic caffeine”
Freedom
FOIA
Gagged person
Gang stalking (a.k.a. Mobbing; Organized stalking; which includes High-tech stalking by proxy)
Gaslighting
Guilt
HAARP (High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program)
Handler
Harassment (also, refer to Stalking, Cause stalking, Gang stalking, Electronic harassment, Cyberstalking)
Hate crime
Human rights
Hypogenic method
Hypnosis
Information Awareness Office
Informed consent
Innocence
Inner voice cloning
Innuendo
Intimidation
Joint
Kangaroo court
Laser microphone
Learned helplessness
MALINTENT
Masers
Mass murder
MEDUSA
Megalomania (also, narcissistic personality disorder)
Magnetophosphenes
Man-in-the-middle attack
Martha Mitchell Effect
Microwave auditory effect (a.k.a. the Frey effect; refer to synthetic telepathy for applications)
Microwave mind control (refer to brainwashing and electronic weapons)
Microwave Doppler movement measuring device

Millimeter waves (and weapons)
Mimicking harassment (parroting)
Mind control (mind influencing technologies and strategies)
MKULTRA

‘Five Eyes’ intelligence alliance
Noise campaign
Non-consensual human experimentation

Neural oscillation (‘brain waves’)

Neurotechnological warfare (a.k.a. Psychotronic warfare, Neurowarfare)

Neuroweapons
Nuremberg Code
Oh
Operation Paperclip

P300 (neuroscience)
Peace
Pedophilia
Predictive programming
PGP
Planting a false memory
Plausible denyability
Political abuse of psychiatry (also see, psychiatric reprisal)
Political repression
Pre-crime (also, see thought crime)
Priming (psychology) (related: classic conditioning, subliminal stimuli)
Prison of your own making (or, jail without the bars)
Propaganda
Psychic driving
Psychological evaluation
Psychological torture (i.e., psychological terrorism, especially state-complicit; also, see torture)
Psychological warfare (for present purposes, neurowarfare is often a more appropriate term)
Psychiatric reprisal

Pulsed energy projectiles

Pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations

Questions
Rage Against the Machine
Rape

Remote neural monitoring
Right of revolution
Ritual abuse
Schizophrenia
Self-incrimination
Sensitization

Silent sound (a.k.a. sound from ultrasound)
Sleep deprivation
Slander (a.k.a. defamation)
Social control
Stalking
Stasi/Gestapo

StringRay
Street theater
Subliminal stimuli (a.k.a. subliminal messaging)

Subvocalization
Suggestion
Suggestive guilt

Synthetic telepathy (a.k.a. Voice to skull (to be integrated), a.k.a. auditory disturbances)
Targeted individual (a.k.a. subjects of experimentation and/or assets)
Terrorism (in some cases, including freedom fighting)

THINTHREAD
Thought crimes (in the electronic age; also see pre-crime)

Transcranial magnetic stimulation
Torture (for this context, especially no-touch torture): Totalitarianism

Unique electric resonance frequencies (brain)

Vision reconstruction

Voice of God (electronic weaponry)

Voice to skull (a.k.a. Auditory disturbances)
War crime
Whistleblower
X-ray
Yo-yo
Zersetzung

(A future edition may divide this into two sections, one for technologies and one for other entries.)
Appendices:

Appendix A: An exploratory list of potentially legitimate uses of electronic weapons and related technologies

Appendix B: Alternative sources/perspectives; related advocacy organizations

Appendix C: Annotated bibliography

Appendix D: Geneva Convention and Protocols in relation to the treatment of civilians – certain articles highlighted and extended/discussed in relation to the present situation of neurowarfare

Appendix E: Specific public safety objectives relating to such technologies (adaptations)

Appendix F: Specific national laws which are violated by application of the strategies and technologies described in this document, at a country by country level. Presentation of some potentially relevant precedents.

Appendix G: Remaining issues relating to the scientific validity of definitions of technologies and methods used, as constrained by the limitations of which research is presently available to the civilian sector - regardless of the enormous number of testimonials and personal experiences.

Appendix H: Acknowledgements: List of critical reviews, editing, corrections of scientific explanations, research contributions, etc.
Quotes:

The fact is, one reason that the general public, media, and uninformed government officials scoff at the truth of our story is that people tend to focus on the personal testimonial aspect, and ignore the crucially important unclassified and commercial devices and systems which can duplicate, the effects being reported... of which mind read, beaming the voices of the operators at the technology’s helm into the target’s head, torturing a person... 24 hour a day, 7 day a week with real-time monitoring and biometric surveillance tracking, manipulating dreams, etc. This is no long science fiction but SCIENCE NON-FICTION!!! –

- unknown

It has become appallingly obvious that our technology has exceeded our humanity.

- Albert Einstein

You need power only when you want to do something harmful. Otherwise, love is enough to get everything done.

- Charlie Chaplin

Freethinkers are those who are willing to use their minds without prejudice and without fearing to understand things that clash with their own customs, privilege, or beliefs. This state of mind is not common, but it is essential for right thinking.

- Leo Tolstoy

A wise man... proportions his believe to evidence.

- David Hume

A ‘no’ uttered from the deepest conviction is better than a ‘yes’ uttered merely to please, or worse, to avoid trouble.

- Mahatma Gandhi

Don’t get confused between who people say you are, and who you know you are.

- unknown

Do not let them divide and conquer you one friendship and family member at a time.

- Anonymous

If we don’t stand together, we will hang separately!

- Pete Santilli Show

Those who desire to give up freedom in order to gain security will not have, nor do they deserve, either one.

- Benjamin Franklin

The greatest obstacle to discovery is not ignorance – it is the illusion of knowledge

- Daniel Boorstin

Our silence is their most powerful weapon.

- Anonymous
Agent provocateur:

An agent provocateur is a person assigned to provoke unrest, violence, debate or argument by or within a group while acting as a member of the group but covertly representing the interests of another. An agent provocateur seeks to secretly disrupt a group’s activities from within. Agents provocateurs are employed to disrupt or discredit a group by performing acts for which the group will be falsely accused, by leading the group into activities it would not otherwise pursue or by creating discord between members. Provocateurs may encourage illegal acts, recommend unsound belligerent tactics, spread false rumours intended to provoke unwise and hasty action, spread malicious rumours within a group about a group member, or employ other tactics intended to provoke improper action by a group or to divert a group from its chosen purpose. An agent provocateur might attempt to implicate as an accomplice an innocent target who becomes unwittingly involved in a crime or criminal conspiracy.

Ambush:

An ambush is a long-established military tactic, in which combatants take advantage of concealment and the element of surprise to attack unsuspecting enemy combatants from concealed positions, such as among dense underbrush or behind hilltops. Ambushes have been used consistently throughout history, from ancient to modern warfare.

There does not appear to be any precedent historically where ambushes on civilian targets are deemed to have any legitimacy in terms of the proper conduct of war. Historically, many instances of extracting taxation upon occupied civilian areas have occurred, and there are also instances of civilians being ambushed or otherwise drafted for enslavement into forces which are hostile to them – perpetrators of such strategies do not tend to get a very good hearing in the history books.

In the context of neurowarfare, where ambushes can be performed from a great distance and where civilians are not equipped to locate the specific source of the psychological onslaught in the ambush, a great number of civilians are vulnerable to ambush. This vulnerability is due to the fact that most
civilians are not aware of the technologies and techniques which are being used to violate a great number of domestic and international laws to draft (enslave, brainwash) them into certain ways of doing things, presented in an altogether non-optional manner – it is not clear whether even silence is sufficient to avoid ambush of civilians in neurowarfare. As such, full disclosure of the uses of neuroweapons in conjunction with classic conditioning and brainwashing techniques is of vital importance.

You were not stupid. It was ambush. No one deserves that.

**Assets (intelligence):**

In intelligence, assets are persons within organizations or countries that are being spied upon who provide information for an outside spy. There are different categories of assets, including people who:

- Willingly work for a foreign government for ideological reasons such as being against their government, but live in a country that doesn't allow political opposition.

- Work for monetary gain. Intelligence services often pay good wages to people in important positions that are willing to betray secrets.

- Have been blackmailed and are forced into their role.

- Do not even know they are being used. Assets can be loyal to their country, but may still provide a foreign agent with information through insecure computers or through day-to-day chatting.
Augmented cognition:

Augmented cognition research generally focuses on tasks and environments where human-computer interaction and interfaces already exist. Developers, leveraging the tools and findings of neuroscience, aim to develop applications which capture the human user's cognitive state in order to drive real-time computer systems. In doing so, these systems are able to provide operational data specifically targeted for the user in a given context.

There are likely to be positive uses of such technologies. In the present context, I highlight application of augmented cognition for electronic warfare and psychological warfare (neurowarfare) situations. Namely, that in a context of remote neural monitoring in conjunction with pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves which influence neural processes, this provides a highly asymmetric situation between civilians who insist upon enjoyment of cognitive freedom and perpetrating entities (rogue actors in the security state; other criminals) who amass information on targeted individuals, then, with the support of computers which provide a degree of augmented cognition, seek to assert psychological domination over those with the temerity to think for themselves or questions the powers that be.

Blackmail:

Blackmail is an act, often a crime, involving unjustified threats to make a gain (commonly money or property) or cause loss to another unless a demand is met. Essentially, it is coercion involving threats to reveal substantially true or false information about a person to the public, a family member, or colleagues, or threats of physical/mental harm or criminal prosecution. It is the name of a statutory offence in the USA, England and Wales, Northern Ireland, Victoria, Australia, and Tasmania, and has been used as a way to refer to other offences.

Blackmail is also the use of threats to prevent another from engaging in a lawful occupation (for example, speaking the truth about electronic harassment or neuroweapons) and writing libellous letters (or otherwise spreading rumours or innuendo to sabotage potential in the workplace or socio-
political realm), as well as use of **intimidation** generally for the purpose of preventing the individual from promoting certain views or communicating certain facts such as in a situation of **whistleblowing**.

For the present context of **psychological warfare** in the electronic age, two issues relating to **blackmail** stand out. 1) Perpetrating entities may try to **blackmail** you into doing wrong things, or to submit to the **brainwashing** process, by claiming that "it will never end" (i.e., the harassment/torture you will never end unless you submit) which unless we achieve change may in fact be true, and 2) regardless of whether acting on real or fabricated ‘evidence’, that they will publicly or surreptitiously **slander** you (no matter whether or not based on facts) if you do not do what they say or submit to the **brainwashing**.

With regard to the potential for **political repression** and **social control**, it is worth noting that perpetrating entities may try to draw you into embarrassing or even illegal situations for the purpose of **blackmailing** you in the future. If you face the risk of eternal **blackmailing** that may subsume your soul and **freedom**, it may indeed be worth considering coming clean and facing the music, regardless of the potential to **slander** you in the future – however, in the electronic age where **remote neural monitoring** is possible, it is advisable to consider such possibilities in the least conscious manner possible. If the **blackmail** involves fabricated ‘facts’ or things that you know to not be illegal or unethical, then be on guard to resist external influences which may try to **plant a false memory**, or convince you that you are evil, or that you can be socially castrated as a result of these false claims – the perpetrating entity will consider it as a great victory and sign of control over you to have convinced you to admit to something that you never did (refer to **subvocalization** for possibilities of eliciting a false ‘admission’), and on your part, this may lead to a sense of hopelessness and lack of control (refer to early steps of **brainwashing**).

**Blacklisting:**

A list of persons or organizations designated for special discrimination or boycott; also, to put a person or organization on such a list. **Blacklists** have been used for centuries as a means to identify and discriminate against certain persons or organizations. A **blacklist** might consist of, e.g.: a list of names developed by a company that refuses to hire individuals identified as union organizers; a country that seeks to boycott trade with other countries; a labour union that identifies firms with which it will not work; or a government that wishes to specify who will not be allowed entry into the country.

**Blocking harassment:**

In **organized stalking**, blocking harassment is one of a thousand ways to wear down the **targeted individuals** and to drive them nuts. Examples may include many things that at face value sound trivial: at the shop people getting in your way every time you go to get something; in a hotel with shared facilities every time you go to use the shower or bathroom a bunch of people are all of a sudden lined up once you get there; when waiting for a bus (or anything else) people will crowd around and make it difficult to move; when driving other drives will FAR more often than normal pull manoeuvres that leave you boxed in by getting in your way or alternatively coordinate swerving lane changes in front of you that make it difficult to focus and drive safely; things that you regularly buy or have researched online for purchase are regularly sold out or have inflated prices because limited stock is left; the list going on ... Things that,
if reported to a psychiatrist, would be interpreted as a sign of delusional thinking because these are occasionally experienced by everyone. But, a targeted individual may experience such things basically every time they try to do anything.

**Brain fingerprint:**

Brain fingerprinting is a forensic science technique used to determine whether specific information is stored in a subject's brain. It consists of measuring and recording a person's electrical brainwaves and brain response after the subject is exposed to words, phrases, or pictures (whether on a computer screen or via synthetic telepathy).

I theorize that the earlier technologies which used electroencephalography (EEG) to perform this are presently adapted to do remote neural monitoring by use of an analogue of the laser microphone at other wavelengths in the electromagnetic spectrum.

**Brainwashing** (refer to mind control):

The Oxford dictionary defines brainwashing as follows: “Pressurize (someone) into adopting radically different beliefs by using systematic and often forcible means”.

For a very short definition in layman’s terms, brainwashing can be defined as follows: “Heavy on the stick until you’re broken into pieces, then ease in on the carrot (which may be nothing more than absence of the stick) and take credit for this ‘loving’ treatment while inseminating new values and preferences”.

The remainder of this entry is adapted from science.howstuffworks.com with some additional insights for the specific situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age. Do not be deterred by the length of this entry. It is very important to have a good grasp on all these elements as a means of self-protection for civilians by understanding how this works. If you are a targeted individual, try to identify which aspects of your experience roughly relate to these different stages.

Each stages in the brainwashing takes place in an environment of isolation, meaning all ‘normal’ social reference points are unavailable, and mind-clouding techniques like sleep deprivation and malnutrition are typically part of the process. There is often the presence or constant threat of physical/mental harm, which adds to the target's difficulty in thinking critically and independently.

---

1 I prefer the term brainwashing to mind control because I think the second of these terms is more suggestive of the perpetrating entity having control over the victim. I prefer terms which are less disempowering to the victim. Also, the term brainwashing is more accurate in a context where the perpetrating entity wishes to eliminate old ways of doing, thinking and values, and instil new ways of doing, thinking and values. As for the notion of mind control itself as differentiated from brainwashing, mind influencing technologies and strategies is a more accurate description.

We can roughly divide the process Lifton identified into three stages: breaking down the self, introducing the possibility of salvation, and rebuilding the self.

Breaking down the self

The basic idea is to make you hate everything about who you are (were), so that you may eventually be persuaded to believe that you ‘deserved’ it, so that the new brainwashed self will believe itself to be a new and improved person, who has admitted your former shortcomings, repented, and seen the light.

1) Assault on identity

You are not who you think you are. This is a systematic attack on a target's sense of self (also called his identity or ego) and core belief system. The perpetrator denies everything that makes the target who he is: "You are not a soldier." "You are not a man." "You are not defending freedom." The target is under constant attack for days, weeks or months, to the point that he becomes exhausted, confused and disoriented. In this state, his beliefs seem less solid.

In my personal case, this involved diverse strategies like “you’re a spy” whereas in fact I’m a translator and editor of research which has relevance for public policy. And, importantly, almost everything I work on ends up in the public domain, freely available to the public. For your specific case, this will be individually tailored based on what they can determine is important to your identity.

Various forms of gaslighting are common at this stage, to try to make you believe that you do not have a grasp on reality, whereas in fact the perpetrating entity is intentionally controlling your environment, etc., in ways that make it difficult for you to maintain a firm grasp on reality. In short, gaslighting aims to make you think you are crazy, and this may serve to prevent you from speaking openly about the experiences, because you may believe that others (especially psychiatrists) will brand you as crazy if you talk about what is happening.

As a part of this process, the perpetrating entity is liable to try to imbue the notion that the targeted individual (and more specifically, ALL people in general) are (not) in possession of themselves and that people are not thinking and acting freely at all. In addition to serving as a form of dehumanization, this serves the role of delegitimizing any of your values, preferences, etc., which is tantamount to innuendo which promotes openness to being brainwashed.

2) Guilt [note: if you are a targeted individual under remote neural monitoring, do not think about this too hard – also, they will try to convince you that the fact of doing so is ‘evidence’ about something]

You are bad. While the identity crisis is setting in, the perpetrator is simultaneously creating an overwhelming sense of guilt in the target. He/she repeatedly and mercilessly attacks the subject for any ‘sin’ the target has committed, large or small. He may criticize the target for everything from the ‘evilness’ of his beliefs to the way he eats too slowly. The target begins to feel a general sense of shame, that everything he does is wrong.
In my personal case, and I think this is a rather common sort of experience in the current situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age, this will involve anything they can figure out about you that may seem inconsistent in any sort of way, so they can badger you about all big or small things. In a sense, this may contribute to your belief that, in a rather sick fashion, that they actually want to ‘help’ you be a better person. Since the efforts are largely geared towards whatever they can figure out about your personal values, this may appear as credible (whereas in fact they are engaged in acts of pure evil, whereas you may just have some matters of minor inconsistency or imperfection).

Often, this will involve trying to set you up in a way so you may be led to believe that you are the cause of some problems, and that you yourself need to reform. For example, while travelling for an extended period, I had people tampering with my laundry so it would smell bad. Then, they would harass me about the smell. Eventually, I had to wash my clothes by hand and stay to watch my clothes dry, to get clean clothes. They also harassed me about other details like how often (or how) I showered or brushed my teeth, and would go around broadcasting any moment when I was not in a situation of perfectly clean hygiene (many analogues will abound for your specific case, but here, someone who actually wants to help might just mention a good deal on soap at a nearby shop, or give a bar away – it’s cheap).

Another example involves the use of neuro-programming, potentially with neuroweapons to, first, associate a certain trigger with an interest in members of the opposite sex, then to progressively try to apply this same trigger to increasingly young children. In the earlier stages, they may try to convince you that you are disgusting for looking at women/men in any way, for having any sexual attraction, while at the same time actively trying to direct attention towards genital regions or other areas associated with arousal. The trigger having been established, they apply it to increasingly young children, then start to suggest that the target is a pedophile. In such a case their want you to end up in a psychiatrist’s chair making an ‘incriminating’ statement like “I have sexual interest in children”, whereas in fact THEY were at all times trying to push sexual thoughts into your mind at times when children were at the forefront of cognition. (So ... who are the real pedophiles in such a case?)

Other examples could have anything to do with whatever they have figured out is important to your values. For example, if vegetarian, try to make you feel extremely guilty about eating eggs or use of any animal products, no matter that the hypocrites clearly have no qualms about inflicting suffering on humans, let alone animals. Or, if you have some sympathies for vulnerable people, to try to make you feel guilty about not solving all problems on earth in such regards (while they go about trying to program disgust for vulnerable people or non-white people, for example).

The main idea is that whatever they can figure out is important to your values or even very minor details about how you live your life, they will use it to pick away at you in any sort of way to make you feel ‘guilty’. This may be to establish a conditioned trigger of a sense of guilt and/or disgust with oneself, and later this conditioned trigger may be used to elicit ‘guilt’ in relation to other things, perhaps to help convince you that a planted false memory is in fact true and that you feel guilty about it (this could be used to blackmail you, even if you don’t believe it, but if you can be persuaded to believe that others do). Perhaps it could be used to trigger a sense of guilt and/or disgust, for
example to be disgusted with homeless people, disabled people, non-white people, ‘weak’ people who help others, or basically any group that they want to brainwash you into believing is inferior.

Whatever it takes to make you think you ‘deserve’ it. No one deserves to be brainwashed. No one.

3) Self-betrayal

Agree with me that you are bad. Once the subject is disoriented and drowning in guilt, the perpetrator forces him/her (either with the threat of physical harm or of continuance of the mental attack) to denounce his family, friends and peers who share the same ‘wrong’ belief system that the targeted individual holds. This betrayal of his/her own beliefs and of people he/she feels a sense of loyalty to increases the shame and loss of identity that the target is already experiencing.

In the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, I think the main thing to be aware of here is the use of pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves to communicate into your head, and to try to drive your own subvocalizations\(^3\) to create a false ‘admission’ or false statement of ‘belief’. For example, trying to drive a subvocalization of something like “there’s a terrorist” when you see a Muslim, “that’s a criminal” when you see a black man, “the NWO is real” when you consider speaking the truth, or perhaps “I love/want (genitalia)” when you see a member of the opposite sex and are influenced to focus on regions associated with sexual arousal, etc. The examples are essentially endless. Presumably many people have experienced essentially similar tactics, in addition to tactics refined to whatever the perpetrating entity can figure out about the specific values of the individual.

4) Breaking point

Who am I, where am I and what am I supposed to do? With his identity in crisis, experiencing deep shame and having betrayed what he has always believed in, the target may undergo what in the lay community is referred to as a ‘nervous breakdown’. In psychology, a ‘nervous breakdown’ is really just a collection of severe symptoms that can indicate any number of psychological disturbances. It may involve uncontrollable sobbing, deep depression and general disorientation. The target may have lost his grip on reality and have the feeling of being completely lost and alone. When the target reaches the breaking point, the sense of self is pretty much up for grabs -- he has no clear understanding of who he is or what is happening to him. At this point, the perpetrator sets up the temptation to convert to another belief system that will save the target from his misery.

The only thing I would add to this is the potential use of positive conditioning to add to the temptation to convert to the other belief system. For example, the perpetrating entity may have established positive conditionings surrounding whatever things they can figure out make you feel

\(^3\) Concise inputs on how they drive these subvocalizations are welcome. It seems to be related to a) remotely collection of EEG signals (by an analogue of the laser microphone), b) use of mimicry to normalize copying on the part of the targeted individual or victim of non-consensual human experimentation, and c) diffusion of electromagnetic waves which mimic the brainwaves involved in subvocalizing the target phrase, perhaps complementary to conditioned subvocalized elicitation of the target phrase, and/or repeating a phrase subliminally many times over and then starting you off on a sentence that you will finish.
good. Then, when proposing the other belief system, they will make use of the trigger so that you feel good when you start to contemplate the conversion. A slightly different example I experienced was, when they managed to drive a subvocalization through my mind, in MY FIRST PERSON, to the effect of “I really did it”, they would first use the negative conditioned response and say “that’s what guilt feels like”, and then use the positive trigger and communicate “that’s what forgiveness feels like”. However, many instances of triggering the positive conditioning (generally, a peaceful, euphoric or generally happy feeling) were also applied when starting to entertain any possible legitimacy of the views they wish to impart (see stage 9, below).

As a general rule of thumb, I think it is safe to say that if someone is ‘good’, they will be very open to explain the who/what/when/why/where kinds of questions. Good parenting often involves much use of sticks and carrots, and we can openly discuss these, even though not all parents will agree on the precise strategies. If someone is not willing to demonstrate evidence that allows you to CONCRETELY have strong knowledge of who is doing it, how they are doing it, and what the desired outcome is (it is always possible to lie about such things in the meantime, so be aware of how they may continuously change goalposts in the process of brainwashing), then it should be easy to conclude that you are dealing with some altogether evil influences and should resist the reprogramming at all costs.

The possibility of salvation

Having been psychologically tortured for quite some time, you may be willing to entertain any sort of change in the ways you think or do things. The possibility of salvation is that your suffering may come to an end if you see the light (i.e., allow the perpetrating entity to program you as they see fit). This may involve the use of conditioned positive and negative responses, for example triggering a good feeling when you start to ‘confess’. If they have gone heavily enough on the stick for some time, the absence of the stick may itself feel like a carrot – the weight of the world being lifted from your shoulders, as it were.

5) Leniency

I can help you. With the target in a state of crisis, the perpetrator offers some small kindness or reprieve from the abuse. He may offer the target a drink of water, or take a moment to ask the target what he misses about home. In a state of breakdown resulting from an endless psychological attack, the small kindness seems huge, and the target may experience a sense of relief and gratitude completely out of proportion to the offering, as if the agent has saved his life.

This stage can be of diverse forms. I would add to this that at this point you are incredibly vulnerable. You may be drawn into a relationship or group where all the above strategies are repeatedly applied, albeit most likely in subtle forms. Having entered an environment where others are largely or fully in control of what’s going on, there is little hope to keep thinking for yourself in such a situation. Do, not, go, there. No matter how lonely you feel. Just don’t do it. Seek out positive influences and relationships instead, which may involve working to build ties with friends and family who may have been persuaded or forced to participate in the previous steps (perhaps they were brainwashed too).
If someone who has been abusing you turns around and offers help, do not accept! If you have been exposed to the above **brainwashing** techniques and you meet someone who offers any sort of help that you do not see as being normal (or even if it IS rather normal), apply your spider sense to the n\textsuperscript{th} degree. If they start any sort of **harassment**, or do things which seem likely to be designed to continue with the attacks described above, for example out of nowhere speaking unnecessarily critically of things which they know are important to you, or speaking positively of things they are trying to persuade you to accept, then probably you should just make any old excuse to leave. Perhaps you are lured with a promise for a job, or they are taking you to events which are supposed to be related to your interests but are in fact designed to get you into a controlled environment where the perpetrating entity might continue to use **brainwashing** techniques against you.

6) Compulsion to confess

You can help yourself. For the first time in the **brainwashing** process, the **targeted individual** is faced with the contrast between the **guilt** and pain of identity assault and the sudden relief of leniency. The target may feel a desire to reciprocate the kindness offered to him, and at this point, the agent may present the possibility of confession as a means to relieving **guilt** and pain. (This last point was addressed in stage 4, above, in terms of using **conditioned** positive responses applied by the perpetrating entity when they try to drive a **subvocalization** of the ‘confession’ through your head.)

7) Channelling of **guilt**

This is why you’re in pain. After weeks or months or even years of assault, confusion, breakdown and moments of leniency, the target’s **guilt** has lost all meaning -- he's not sure what he has done wrong, he just knows he is wrong. This creates something of a blank slate that lets the perpetrating entity fill in the blanks: He/she can attach that **guilt**, that sense of ‘wrongness’, to whatever he wants (and so, even if you have actually done something that is actually wrong, I recommend rapidly equilibrating your emotional response in order to protect against the laying of **conditioned** triggers which may be used to associate a sense of ‘guilty’ to other things – also, it is best to take charge of your cognition, and focus on the evil of what is being done to you (some people recommend just ignoring it), which is rather likely to be very evil in comparison with the relatively trivial thing you may be concerned about. We are human. We try to do our best. Sometimes we err, and learn from these mistakes. However, someone who takes advantage of the situation to try to **brainwash** you can hardly be ‘good’, in particular in a situation where they refuse to be explicit about who/when/where/why/what **questions**).

The perpetrating entity attaches the **targeted individual’s** **guilt** to the belief system the agent is trying to replace. The **targeted individual** comes to believe it is his/her belief system that is the cause of the shame. The contrast between old and new has been established: The old belief system is associated with psychological (and/or physical) agony; and the new belief system is associated with the possibility of escaping that agony. (This can be referred to as ‘stick and carrot’, basically, making use of **classic conditioning** in the emotional, spiritual and/or political realms.)

8) Releasing of **guilt**
It's not me; it's my beliefs. The embattled target is relieved to learn there is an external cause of his/her wrongness, that it is not they themselves who are inescapably bad – this means he/she can escape his/her wrongness by escaping the wrong belief system. All he has to do is denounce the people and institutions associated with that belief system, and he won't be in pain anymore. The target has the power to release him/herself from wrongness by confessing to acts associated with his old belief system. With his full confessions, the target has completed his psychological rejection of his former identity. It is now up to the perpetrator to offer the target a new one.

(I never got to this stage, although perpetrating entities tried to force me through in on a great number of occasions. Hence, I do not have additional advice or commentary on recognizing signs or dealing with it, except, just be aware of the conditioned triggers the perpetrating entity may try to use to make you feel good if/when you give a second or moment’s thought to denouncing the previous views and/or considering anything good about the new views they want to force you into.)

Rebuilding the Self

9) Progress and harmony

If you want, you can choose good. The perpetrating entity introduces a new belief system as the path to ‘good.’ At this stage, the agent stops the abuse, offering the target physical comfort and mental calm in conjunction with the new belief system. The target is made to feel that it is he who must choose between old and new, giving the target the sense that his fate is in his own hands. The target has already denounced his old belief system in response to leniency and torment, and making a ‘conscious choice’ in favour of the contrasting belief system helps to further relieve his guilt: If he truly believes, then he really didn't betray anyone. The choice is not a difficult one: The new identity is safe and desirable because it is nothing like the one that led to his breakdown.

10) Final confession and rebirth

I choose good. Contrasting the agony of the old with the peacefulness of the new (refer to conditioning, and hence it is often appropriate to refer to the process as ‘conditioning and brainwashing’), the target chooses the new identity, clinging to it like a life preserver. He/she rejects their old belief system and pledges allegiance to the new one that is going to make his/her life better. At this final stage, there are often rituals or ceremonies to induct the converted target into his new community. This stage has been described by some brainwashing victims as a feeling of ‘rebirth’.

4 And here, “good” could be basically anything. For example, leading the world to be a better place by genociding away people deemed inferior or otherwise undesirable. Or that “good” might involve complete elimination of cognitive liberty in order to enforce any particular social controls, hierarchies or value systems were deemed worthy by those perpetrating the brainwashing.

5 In the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, this may involve influencing the person who is now an asset to believe that they are a very powerful spy, a true and natural leader, perhaps a criminal mastermind or leader, and even so far as believing that they are the devil himself or some sort of angel (depending on which identity might be more conducive to the individual accepting their new role and identity).
Rinse and repeat if other aspects of your individual self have not been reformed by the perpetrating entity. If the efficacy of one stage of the process is in doubt, the perpetrating entity may return to an earlier stage in the brainwashing process, for example by returning to gaslighting techniques or other strategies to wear down the identity of the victim or their sense of self.

One of the main protections against brainwashing is simply to know that it is can happen even to very intelligent people, and to be able to identify that it is (or might be) happening.

Important sources of vulnerability to brainwashing include: black and white thinking, being in a vulnerable situation due to job loss, relationship troubles or illness, being alone in a new environment, being socially isolated whether due to personal choice or as orchestrated by the perpetrating entity, and also having released significant amounts of publicly available information which may be mined by a perpetrating entity to refine the brainwashing strategy in a personalized manner (and hence massive data collection by security state officials should be regarded as inherently suspect).

One of the most difficult aspects of reaching out to a brainwashed victim is to help them see that they have indeed been brainwashed. Exposure to multiple options, without overwhelming the subject with too many options at once, will provide the subject with a new, broader perspective from which to challenge the beliefs implanted by the perpetrating entity. If the victim is able to recognize that in fact they have been brainwashed and they desire to seek freedom, do not seek to shame the victim. They have been through enough already.

**Brain programming:**

Signals intelligence implemented and kept this technology secret in the same manner as other electronic warfare programs of the U.S. government. The NSA monitors available information about this technology and withholds scientific research from the public. There are also international intelligence agreements to keep this technology secret. The NSA has proprietary electronic equipment that analyzes electrical activity in humans from a distance. NSA computer-generated brain mapping can continuously monitor all of the electrical activity in the brain continuously. The NSA records and decodes individual brain maps (of a large number of persons) for national security purposes. EMF brain Stimulation is also secretly used by the military for bring-to-computer links. (In military fighter aircraft, for example.) For electronic surveillance purposes electrical activity in the speech center of the brain can be translated into the subject’s verbal thoughts. Remote neural monitoring can send encoded signals to the brain’s auditory cortex thus allowing audio communications direct to the brain (bypassing the ears). NSA operatives can use this to covertly debilitate subjects by simulating auditory hallucinations characteristic of paranoid schizophrenia. Without any contact with the subject, remote neural monitoring can map out electrical activity from the visual cortex of a subject’s brain and show images from the subject’s brain on a video monitor. NSA operatives see what the surveillance subject’s eyes are seeing. Visual memory can also be seen. Remote neural monitoring can send images direct to the visual cortex, bypassing the eyes and optic nerves. NSA operatives can use this to surreptitiously put images in a surveillance subject’s brain while they are in R.E.M. sleep for brain-programming purposes.
(I’m sceptical of that very last sentence, but that’s what the source says. All the rest, with 100% certainty, I have personally experienced. Also, I do not consider it as proven that the lettered organization specified in the source correctly identifies the source of the various forms of torture that are being implemented, however, it does seem rather consistent with their specific areas of activity – and I hesitate to say ‘mandated areas of activity’, because clearly, the issues surrounding psychological warfare in the electronic age as used against civilians could not possibly fall anywhere but about as far on the wrong side of the law as imaginable – this is torture and highly refined methods of brainwashing civilians whom they are morally and legally bound to protect, nothing more, nothing less.)

**Brain imaging (brain scanner):**

Neuroimaging or brain imaging is the use of various techniques to either directly or indirectly image the structure, function/pharmacology of the nervous system.

Neuroimaging falls into two broad categories: 1) **Structural imaging**, which deals with the structure of the nervous system and the diagnosis of gross (large scale) intracranial disease (such as tumour), and injury, and 2) **Functional imaging**, which is used to diagnose metabolic diseases and lesions on a finer scale (such as Alzheimer's disease) and also for neurological and cognitive psychology research and building brain-computer interfaces (see augmented cognition).

While there is a lot of cutting edge development happening in the area of brain imaging, this should be considered as generally unrelated to technologies which harvest information relating to brain wave patterns associated with different processes which are fairly generalizable across humans or those which are specific to an individual, generally for the purpose of eliciting a certain output from a targeted individual, such as triggering a neural event after planting a false memory or in relation to real associations.

**C**

**Cause stalking:**

Cause stalking is an alternate term for what some multi stalking targets call organized stalking or gang stalking. It is specialized groups of people who think they are supporting some cause, but are more interested in harassing targets designated by their leaders. Lies told by the leaders add fuel to the fire.

**Civil society:**

Civil society is the “the aggregate of non-governmental organizations and institutions that manifest interests and will of citizens; individuals and organizations in a society which are independent of the government” – or, " the elements such as freedom of speech, an independent judiciary, etc., that make up a democratic society”.  

---

Volunteering is often considered a defining characteristic of the organizations that constitute civil society, which in turn are often called NGOs, NPOs, or CSOs. Most authorities have in mind the realm of public participation in voluntary associations, trade unions and the like, but it is not necessary to belong to all of these to be a part of civil society.

**Civilian:**

In general, a civilian is "a person who is not a member of the military or of a police or firefighting force". The term also includes chaplains and medical personnel who are not part of the organizations mentioned in the preceding sentence, as well as those who are not perpetrators, in order to distinguish between those who are law-abiding people and those who are dedicated criminals.

Under the laws of war (also known as international humanitarian law), a civilian is one not being a member of the armed services and does not take a direct part of hostilities in times of armed conflict. The term ‘civilian’ is slightly different from a non-combatant under the laws of war, because some non-combatants are not civilians (for example, military chaplains attached to the belligerent armed forces or neutral military personnel). Under international law, civilians in the territories of a Party to an armed conflict (here I think it is more appropriate to define it as a ‘weaponized conflict’, since electronic weapons are not well defined in international law, especially as ‘arms’) are entitled to certain privileges under the customary laws of war and international treaties such as the Fourth Geneva Convention. The privileges that they enjoy under international law depends on whether the conflict is an internal one (a civil war) or an international one.

The Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949, and additional protocols signed in 1977 and 2005, include a number of relevant specifications with regard to civilians. Appendix C highlights many potentially relevant articles with regard to the treatment of civilians, and draws analogues for the present situation of neurowarfare which involves significant intentional targeting of civilian populations.

**Coercive persuasion or thought reform:**

Coercive persuasion or thought Reform is best understood as a coordinated system of graduated coercive influence and behaviour controls designed to deceptively and surreptitiously manipulate and influence individuals, usually in a group setting, in order for the originators of the program to profit in some way, normally financially or politically. Using rewards and punishments, efforts are made to establish considerable control over a person’s social environment, time, and sources of social support. Social isolation is promoted. (See brainwashing for a more detailed definitions of stages involved.)

**Cognitive liberty:**

---

Cognitive liberty, or the "right to mental self-determination", is the freedom of an individual to control his or her own mental processes, cognition, and consciousness. It has been argued to be both an extension of, and the principle underlying, the right to freedom of thought. Though a relatively recently defined concept, many theorists see cognitive liberty as being of increasing importance as technological advances in neuroscience allow for an ever-expanding ability to directly influence consciousness. Cognitive liberty is not a recognized right in any international human rights treaties, but has gained a limited level of recognition in the United States, and is argued to be the principle underlying a number of recognized rights.

The United States Supreme Court has recognized:

"Freedom of thought... is the matrix, the indispensable condition, of nearly every other form of freedom. With rare aberrations a pervasive recognition of this truth can be traced in our history, political and legal" (Palko v. Connecticut (1937) 302 U.S. 319, 326-27.)

Without freedom of thought, the First Amendment right to freedom of speech is moot, because you can only express what you can think. Constraining or censoring how a person thinks (cognitive censorship) is the most fundamental kind of censorship, and is contrary to some of our most cherished constitutional principles.\(^9\)

Cognitive liberty is much more than freedom of thought. To believe in cognitive liberty is to believe that the individual is absolute sovereign over their own consciousness. It is an extension of the concepts of freedom of thought and self-ownership. It is a reaction against the prevailing assumption that other people have the right to tell you what you can do with your mind and body.\(^10\)

**Conditioning** (psychology; a.k.a. Pavlovian conditioning or Classic conditioning) (refer to Priming):

This entry is long, but extremely important to understand thoroughly, and is itself a very short discussion of concepts which are elaborated upon in great detail in many other sources.

A simple example of conditioning might be easily understood by considering the perfume/cologne of a romantic partner, and how this association may bring back many memories of that person including emotional responses you continue to associate with them. Simply smelling the same perfume/cologne might trigger an emotional response associated with this romantic partner. It may, however, be far more nefarious than that. In the process of brainwashing someone, consider how various conditionings may be used as ‘sticks’ against ‘wrong’ things and ‘carrots’ to support indoctrination of ‘right’ things, in a way that ultimately leads to taking over your person, perhaps so far as being able to play you like a doll.

The classic experiment relating to conditioning is ‘Pavlov’s dog’ (1907). Pavlov noticed that the dogs in his experiments, on digestion in dogs, began to salivate in the presence of the technician who normally

---


fed them, rather than simply salivating in the presence of food. Pavlov called the dogs' anticipatory salivation 'psychic secretion'. From his observations he predicted that a stimulus could become associated with food and cause salivation on its own, if a particular stimulus in the dog's surroundings was present when the dog was given food. In his initial experiments, Pavlov presented a stimulus and then gave the dog food; after a few repetitions, the dogs started to salivate in response to the stimulus.

The Wikipedia entry on **Classic conditioning** presently contains details on many more refined applications of the basic idea of **conditioning**. One may get good value out of reading up on all of them, but all the details are not particularly important for understanding the basic idea of how understanding **conditioning** is important for the present situation of **psychological warfare** in the electronic age. Rather, I wish to distinguish between positive **conditioned** responses ('carrots') and negative **conditioned** responses ('sticks'). Many people are loosely familiar with the idea of ‘sticks and carrots’, although perhaps more along the lines of ‘economic incentives’ or ‘social sanction’, and not so much in relation to the concepts of **classic conditioning**. ‘Sticks and carrots’ are key for understanding certain processes involved in **brainwashing** an individual or asserting influence over their mind, and so it is important for the broader public to have some understanding of these processes, and this is all the more important in the context of **psychological warfare** in the electronic age.

Let me approach this by means of an example. First, let us take it as a given that the perpetrating entity that wishes to **brainwash** the **targeted individual** have amassed some amount of information about what the **targeted individual** likes and dislikes. (It is not necessary that the ‘stick’ is a pain stimulus or that the ‘carrot’ is a pleasure stimulus, given that many strategies being used are essentially psychological and not physical in nature). With some knowledge of what you like and dislike, what you value and consider abhorrent, what makes you happy and what makes you sad, what makes you feel like your situation is meaningful or not having meaning, what makes you feel successful or a like a failure, what makes you feel proud or embarrassed, etc., the perpetrating entity may then make use of this information to establish a variety of sticks and carrots. Keep in mind that the basic process here is to apply the negative stimulus ('stick') when you are doing/thinking 'wrong' things and to apply the positive stimulus ('carrot') when you are doing/thinking 'right' things.

Let’s say that you are a **peace**-loving person who promotes respect across diverse groups of society, who sees it as important to help vulnerable people, and are generally quite nice to people. And that the perpetrating entity wishes to transform you into a person who sees aggressive war as critical for civilization, and that it would be best to eradicate all who do not belong to the correct ethnic group or social class such as by eradicating the homeless, disabled or other groups deemed ‘inferior’ (i.e., transform you into a Nazi). Every time you act, speak or think in a way that is in line with your current self, the perpetrating entity will apply a treatment that they know makes you feel shame, guilt, embarrassment, inferior, angry, etc. And, every time you do something rather more in the direction of what they want, such as be a little bit mean to someone or be in any way critical of the hated group (these may be elicited by introducing ideas into your mind via **neuroweapons**), they will

---

11 You may wish to come back to this point after reviewing some of the definitions of existing technologies used in **electronic harassment**. This may not yet seem very credible at all.
apply a treatment that will make you feel good in some way, such as a compliment tailored to what they know about your pre-existing value system or directly triggering a positive neural or physiological sentiment.

What makes it *conditioning* is this. The perpetrating entity may devise some rather simply trigger, such as “boom boom boom” for negative events (which may itself have been *conditioned* to elicit a stress response), and “thank you” for things that they want to elicit you to do in the future. Eventually, they need not apply the rather more complex psychological attacks – all they need to do is apply the simple gestural or verbal trigger (stimulus) and slowly go about reprogramming you.

Here is some elaboration on the method. The positive and negative *conditionings* (‘sticks’ and ‘carrots’) may be applied generally as follows. Say, they have figured out what disgusts you. Every time you are disgusted, they may apply the same treatment, perhaps a sound, gesture, or even a specific rhythm or maybe even frequency, to use as the trigger. And, essentially the same for things that make you feel good. Every time you perceive disgust, they will apply the treatment that can be used to trigger disgust at the same time as another event (the one they want you to feel disgusted about). And, every time you do something that makes you feel good in whatever way, they apply some other treatment that can be used to trigger a good feeling at the same time as another event (the one they want you to feel good about). In the case of transforming a loving peacenik into a Nazi, the situation may be approached as follows. Keep in mind here that the ‘disgusted’ feeling or sentiments you have been told to understand as and ‘happy’/’powerful’/etc. (which may be nothing but butterflies in your stomach or tingly spine, which the perpetrating entity suggests is what ‘happy’ or ‘powerful’, etc. feels like) can now be stimulated with the triggers they have constructed (what the specific trigger is is not important, what is important to understand is the fact that the triggers can be laid and used as follows).

When the loving peacenik encounters or even thinks about homeless people, disabled people or any individual belonging to a non-white group, the trigger for ‘disgust’ may be applied. Presumably so as to not be too obvious about things, this will begin with a rather weakly embedded trigger, which may become sequentially stronger over time. On the other side of things, to program in the new values, they may apply a ‘happy’/’powerful’/etc. trigger when you do something like be assertive or a little bit mean, and this can be sequentially applied to make you a meaner and meaner person until you feel ‘happy’ and ‘powerful’ when doing very hurtful things to people. In a more subtle manner, and this requires some acceptance of the facts of *remote neutral monitoring* to see how this could happen, when reading or thinking about something aligned with your pre-existing values, the ‘disgust’ feeling may be elicited, and when reading or thinking of something which involves doing bad things to people, especially members of one of the hated groups, the ‘happy’/’powerful’/etc. feeling may be elicited. (This is likely to be accompanied by *pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations* which can communicate verbally directly into your head, which, when applied with specific knowledge of your values, preferences, insecurities, desires, etc., can be tailored to the individual to help ensure that the use of the *conditioned* triggers are guiding the *targeted individual* in the ‘right’ direction.) Slowly slowly, the oblivious peacenik is transformed into a Nazi, disgusted with the stupidity of their former values, now having seen the light that Nazi thought, actions, and politics are required to make the world a better place, and that ‘powerful’
people engage in the various forms of harassment used in the process of brainwashing the no-reviled loving peaceniks.

In addition to this more refined application of classic conditioning, and use of conditioned triggers to alter your views, more easily understood applications of ‘sticks’ (negative stimulus) and ‘carrots’ (positive stimulus) can be used to wash away aspects of your personality that they want to get rid of, and to sequentially promote new views and practices. It should be clear that a good understanding of the ways in which conditioning can be used is critical for being able to reject emotional, psychological and/or physiological manipulations which are applied for the purpose of brainwashing a targeted individual.

Knowing this can help prevent you from being brainwashed (from basically anything to basically anything). If this is not clear to you. Re-read this entry, and then re-read it again after you have digested the remainder of this glossary. Become highly attuned to your emotional state and responses in relation to your actions, values, speech, thoughts, etc. Otherwise, you may be exposed to being slowly brainwashed against your will even though you understand the basic principles of conditioning. Do not deny your emotional experiences, but learn to equilibrate them rapidly and to identify sources of interference which are likely to originate from conditioned triggers.

(It is worth mentioning that this is not altogether different in some ways from some rather effective parenting techniques. Parents can explain their methods and objectives openly. Not so with those who refuse to identify themselves, refuse to be clear about their methods, and refuse to be clear about their ultimate objectives. Obviously, in this second case, we are dealing with a situation of extreme evil – if it was good, it would be explained on paper who/what/when/why/where, but clearly if the perpetrating entity were to answer all such questions, they would all find themselves in prison for a very long period of time, and perhaps instigate a violent revolution, if the victims of their conditioning and brainwashing were able to identify just who the perpetrating entity(ies) are – at the very least, any official resources directed towards such ends would see funding completely removed and a complete shakeup of all hierarchical structures in order to assert citizen’s control over the offending institutions.)

Important: such triggers may be planted at barely audible, or perhaps even sub-audible volume. Triggers may be planted without you even knowing. If you feel yourself having strange impulses, this may be the cause – pay VERY close attention to whether you can recognize some Pavlovian trigger that is barely noticeable.

Knowing this is all possible is the first step in protection against conditioning and brainwashing.

**COINTELPRO:**

COINTELPRO (a portmanteau derived from COunter INTELligence PROgram) was (past tense?) a series of covert, and at times illegal, projects conducted by the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) aimed at surveilling, infiltrating, discrediting and disrupting domestic political organizations.
The present naming and nature of activities of the present day analogue of COINTELPRO appears to remain unknown to the public, as does the extent of such activities throughout other known and unknown agencies of the security state such as the CIA and NSA. It is not clear whether even an order from Congress or the President of the USA would be able to penetrate through existing layers of classification which purport to work on behalf of ‘national security’, without first shaking up the upper management of these institutions and asserting civilian democratic control over them.

What I DO know, is that things like trying to start an organization to put an end to unpaid internships (the GivingYourselfAway Internship project) and involvement in environmental advocacy were claimed as reasons that I was ‘rightfully’ made a target of covert surveillance and psychological torture designed to put an end to my participation in various forms of advocacy and political expression which prioritized peaceful relations between those with different views (realistically though, having identified me as someone who questions things, the name of the game was basically to persuade me to believe any line of thinking whatsoever whereby I could be considered as a ‘legitimate’ target of surveillance – of course, I’m fully aware that no court in the West would authorize a warrant based on any information whatsoever they have about me, and regardless of which, the methods being used would certainly cross the lines of what any court would authorize).

**Conspiracy:**

**Conspiracy** (civil): an agreement between persons to deceive, mislead, or defraud others of their legal rights or to gain an unfair advantage.

**Conspiracy** (criminal): an agreement between persons to break the law in the future, in some cases having committed an act to further that agreement.

**Conspiracy** (political): an agreement between persons with the goal of gaining political power or meeting a political objective.

Note: people who discuss the possibility of a conspiracy are routinely labelled as delusional, and, online, can expect a personalized attack from anonymous commenters who focus on maligning the individual making the claim of conspiracy, and only very rarely will attempt to debate the specific facts/assertions.
being made. That’s suspicious. (Most conspiracy theories, however, tend to be based on a mere grain of truth and make an illogical jump from “it’s plausible and probably they would want to” to “it’s definitely happening and a threat to civilization as we know it.)

**Controlled personnel effects:**

Controlled personnel effects involves targeting individuals with non-lethal force to make selected adversaries think or act according to ‘needs’. Through the application of non-lethal force, it is possible to influence or incapacitate personnel. By studying and modeling the human brain and nervous system, the ability to mentally influence or confuse personnel is also possible. Through sensory deception, create synthetic images or holograms to confuse an individual's visual sense or, in a similar manner, confuse his senses of sound, taste, touch, or smell. Through cognitive engineering, develop a better understanding of how an individual's cognitive processes (pattern recognition, visual conditioning, and difference detection) affect his decision making processes. Scientists could use these cognitive models to predict a person's behaviour under a variety of conditions with the potential to affect an adversary's mission accomplishment via a wide range of personnel effects.12

**Corruption:**

Corruption is a form of dishonest or unethical conduct by a person entrusted with a position of authority, often to acquire personal benefit. Corruption may include many activities including bribery and embezzlement, though it may also involve practices that are legal in many countries. Government, or 'political', corruption occurs when an office-holder or other governmental employee acts in an official capacity for personal gain.

Stephen D. Morris,13 a professor of politics, writes that [political] corruption is the illegitimate use of public power to benefit a private interest. Economist Ian Senior14 defines corruption as an action to (a) secretly provide (b) a good or a service to a third party (c) so that he or she can influence certain actions which (d) benefit the corrupt, a third party, or both (e) in which the corrupt agent has authority. Daniel Kaufmann,15 from the World Bank, extends the concept to include 'legal corruption' in which power is abused within the confines of the law — as those with power often have the ability to make laws for their protection.

Government/public sector

---

12 Adaptation, to eliminate the use of the conditional tense due to personal experiences which demonstrate that there is nothing hypothetical about efforts to make use of these technologies, from “Distracted Masses: Vol. 1 Issue 2”, attributed to Crawling Ant Productions, which purports to be quoting from a 2004 US Air Force document that I cannot verify the origins of. It is clear that controlled personnel effects are presently being deployed, but it is not clear which sort of FOIA terminology must be used to access information on such strategies.


Public sector corruption includes corruption of the political process and of government agencies such as the police as well as corruption in processes of allocating public funds for contracts, grants, and hiring. Recent research by the World Bank puts forward that who makes policy decisions (elected officials or bureaucrats) can be critical in determining the level of corruption because of the incentives different policy-makers face.\textsuperscript{16}

Political corruption

Political corruption is the abuse of public power, office, or resources by elected government officials for personal gain, by extortion, soliciting or offering bribes. It can also take the form of office holders maintaining themselves in office by purchasing votes by enacting laws which use taxpayers’ money. Evidence shows that corruption can have political consequences—with citizens being asked for bribes becoming less likely to identify with their country or region.\textsuperscript{17}

Police corruption

Police corruption is a specific form of police misconduct designed to obtain financial benefits, other personal gain, and/or career advancement for a police officer or officers in exchange for not pursuing, or selectively pursuing, an investigation or arrest. One common form of police corruption is soliciting and/or accepting bribes in exchange for not reporting organized drug or prostitution rings or other illegal activities.

Another example is police officers flouting the police code of conduct in order to secure convictions of suspects—for example, through the use of falsified evidence. More rarely, police officers may deliberately and systematically participate in organized crime themselves. In most major cities, there are internal affairs sections to investigate suspected police corruption or misconduct.

Judicial corruption

Judicial corruption refers to corruption related to misconduct of judges, through receiving or giving bribes, improper sentencing of convicted criminals, bias in the hearing and judgement of arguments and other such misconduct.

It is important to distinguish between the two methods of corruption of the judiciary: the government (through budget planning and various privileges), and the private.\textsuperscript{18} Judicial corruption can be difficult to completely eradicate, even in developed countries.\textsuperscript{19}

\textsuperscript{16} Hamilton, A (2013). "Small is beautiful, at least in high-income democracies: the distribution of policy-making responsibility, electoral accountability, and incentives for rent extraction", World Bank.
The issue of **corruption** can hardly be separated from considerations of **blackmail**, with especially pernicious possibilities in a context of **psychological warfare** in the electronic age, where **electronic weapons** are used for **electronic harassment** and/or **remote neural monitoring**. Among other things, this may involve threatening a public official with exposure of their secrets (and/or threats of **psychological torture**) if they do not vote in a particular manner, or demanding money in exchange for continued secrecy.

From a highly informal analysis of social networks inhabited by **targeted individuals**, it appears that many **targeted individuals** who are outspoken about their experiences are also outspoken about various forms of (proven and unproven) **corruption**.

**Covert surveillance:**

**Covert surveillance** is a situation in which someone or something is observed without the observation being known. This may be performed by agents of a security state, private investigators, business owners, or even by individuals seeking to collect information on those who have wronged them or who they perceive as enemies. **Covert surveillance** is by no means a new thing, although the technologies used for **covert surveillance** have advanced significantly (especially in the realm of **neuroweapons**), literally beyond belief for the vast majority of the population.

Classically, **covert surveillance** involved any variety of ways for a spy to listen in on or watch an important conversation, such as peep holes, secret side rooms, flimsy walls to make it easy to listen in on a conversation, etc. Classic **covert surveillance** also involved things like befriending the target of surveillance in order to stay close to them, watch them, learn about them, and ultimately extract information from them or learn to manipulate them better.

Neo-classical **covert surveillance** involved the use of early digital technologies, basically any sort of wiretap designed to collect information without the target knowing, or otherwise monitoring communications which were deemed to be secret (perhaps access a radio wave transmission, or decoding any variety of signals). CCTVs, GPS monitors, aerial surveillance and other audio surveillance technologies can also be classified as neo-classical **covert surveillance** technologies.

Present day **covert surveillance** may involve any of the above, in addition to new technologies. Most especially, technologies which transmit words, images or ideas into the mind of the monitored individual via **electromagnetic waves** (and modulated pulses of these waves), and then the response of the individual within their brain is monitored using **remote neutral monitoring** mechanisms to collect information.
**Crime against humanity:**

Crime against humanity means murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence (including rape), persecution or any other inhumane act or omission that is committed against any civilian population or any identifiable group and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes a crime against humanity according to customary international law or conventional international law or by virtue of its being criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations, whether or not it constitutes a contravention of the law in force at the time and in the place of its commission.

‘Genocide’ means an act or omission committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, an identifiable group of persons, as such, that, at the time and in the place of its commission, constitutes genocide according to customary international law or conventional international law or by virtue of its being criminal according to the general principles of law recognized by the community of nations, whether or not it constitutes a contravention of the law in force at the time and in the place of its commission. In the sense of certain groups which try to intimidate and brainwash entire other groups (or all other groups) into their ideological, nationalist, ethnic, religious and/or other views, this can be considered as genocide on a worse scale than mass murder, in the sense of transforming people into their very own enemies.

**Crowd manipulation:**

Crowd manipulation is the intentional use of techniques based on the principles of crowd psychology to engage, control, or influence the desires of a crowd in order to direct its behaviour toward a specific action. This practice is common to politics and business and can facilitate the approval or disapproval or indifference to a person, policy, or product. The ethical use of crowd manipulation is debatable and depends on such factors as the intention of and the means used by the manipulator, as well as the ends achieved. Crowd manipulation differs from propaganda although they may reinforce one another to produce a desired result. If propaganda is “the consistent, enduring effort to create or shape events to influence the relations of the public to an enterprise, idea or group”, crowd manipulation is the relatively brief call to action once the seeds of propaganda (i.e. more specifically ‘pre-propaganda’) are
sown and the public is organized into a crowd. Crowd manipulation also differs from crowd control, which serves a security function. Local authorities use crowd-control methods to contain and defuse crowds and to prevent and respond to unruly and unlawful acts such as rioting and looting.

**Cyberstalking:**

Cyberstalking is the use of the internet or other electronic (digital) means to stalk or harass an individual, a group of individuals, or an organization. It may include false accusations, monitoring, making threats, identity theft, damage to data or equipment, the solicitation of minors for sex, or gathering information in order to harass. The definition of harassment must meet the criterion that a reasonable person, in possession of the same information, would regard it as sufficient to cause another reasonable person distress.
D

**DARPA:**

The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) is an agency of the U.S. Department of Defense responsible for the development of emerging technologies for use by the military.

DARPA was created in February 1958 as the Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) by President Dwight D. Eisenhower. Its purpose was to formulate and execute research and development projects to expand the frontiers of technology and science, with the aim to reach beyond immediate military requirements.\(^{20}\) The administration was created in response to the Soviet launching of Sputnik 1 in 1957, and DARPA's mission was to ensure U.S. military technology would be more sophisticated than that of the nation's potential enemies.

A relevant share of conspiracy theories pinpoint DARPA as the origins of technologies with potential nefarious applications, referring to patents held by companies having partnered with DARPA and specific research projects funded under DARPA (limited to those which were publicly disclosed, of course). However, it should be emphasized that a patent is not proof that a technology is functioning and that a research project is not evidence that the desired outcomes were achieved (however, ongoing funding or increased funding can easily be considered as proof that at least some results in the expected direction were being achieved). However, both are evidence of long standing interests in the technologies defined elsewhere in this glossary.

**Decoy(ing):**

Decoy(ing) is usually a person, device or event meant as a distraction, to conceal what an individual or a group might be looking for. Decoys have been used for centuries most notably in game hunting, but also in wartime and in the committing or resolving of crimes.

In a context of organized stalking, electronic harassment, and psychological warfare in the electronic age in general, the perpetrators consistently try to coax targets into blaming either the wrong technology, or the wrong people, or both. This is a sub-task of their attempting to get targets to discredit themselves by making nonsensical or unsupportable claims to the public, and especially officials.

A safe rule of thumb is that, in such contexts, whatever appears to be going on at face value is rather unlikely to be the actual motive, identity, etc. Significant effort will be made to hide the motives and identity(ies) of the underlying perpetrating entity, and any explanation that is accepted or promoted is more likely to be the product of manipulation or imagination than any move in the direction towards truth.

**Dehumanization:**

Dehumanization is to make somebody feel or be perceived as less human by taking away his or her individuality, the creative and interesting aspects of his or her personality, or his or her compassion and sensitivity towards others. Dehumanization may be directed by an organization (such as a state) or may be the composite of individual sentiments and actions, as with some types of de facto racism. State-organized dehumanization has been directed against perceived racial or ethnic groups, nationalities (or ‘foreigners’ in general), religious groups, genders, minorities of various sexual orientations (e.g., homosexuals), disabled people as a class, economic (e.g., the homeless) and social classes, and many other groups.

Broader dehumanization of specific groups can be viewed as a pre-condition to citizens of a nation accepting severe human rights violations to be committed in acts of war against another group. Prior to the 1994 genocide in Rwanda, radio programming had been full of propaganda calling the eventual targets of the genocide ‘cockroaches’, etc., in very hateful voices full of disgust.

Delusion (also refer to gaslighting):

A delusion is a belief held with strong conviction despite superior evidence to the contrary.21

Individuals who speak out about political corruption, the potential for unaccountable actors within the police state to be involved in political repression or subversion, who make claims that any agency whatsoever of the state is investigating them or monitoring them, etc., are often labelled as ‘delusional’. This is especially common if the targeted individual can be persuaded to sit down with a psychiatrist who is usually quite happy to write up a mental health record for anyone making such claims – without, of course, ever bothering to make any effort whatsoever to enquire as to whether any such claim may be true. (See entry on schizophrenia for other aspects of how these claims may be portrayed. Also, see political abuse of psychiatry.)

---

21 For those with a suitably sceptical scientific mindset, and who have not had many (or any) experiences related to the terms defined and contextualized in this glossary, it should be emphasized that even for the more outlandish seeming claims, there is NO evidence to the contrary, let alone “superior evidence” – rather, various slanderous mental health labels are generally directed towards those discussing such things, in the absence of any, let alone, superior, evidence. That’s Suspicious!
**Depersonalization**:  
Depersonalization is an anomaly of self-awareness. It can consist of a reality or detachment within the self, regarding one's mind or body, or being a detached observer of oneself. Subjects feel they have changed, and the world has become vague, dreamlike, less real, or lacking in significance. It can be a disturbing experience.

Though degrees of depersonalization and derealization can happen to anyone who is subject to temporary anxiety or stress, chronic depersonalization is more related to individuals who have experienced a severe trauma or prolonged stress/anxiety. Depersonalization-derealization is the single most important symptom in the spectrum of dissociative disorders, including dissociative identity disorder and "dissociative disorder not otherwise specified" (DD-NOS). It is also a prominent symptom in some other non-dissociative disorders, such as anxiety disorders, clinical depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, borderline personality disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, migraines, and sleep deprivation.

**De-patterning**:  
De-patterning involves prolonged, drug-induced sleep comas, followed by multiple doses of electroshock therapy, designed to erase memory and make a subject available for reprogramming. Most famously experimented by Ewen Cameron, with a well-known case of Linda MacDonald in 1963 having been tortured and experimented upon to such extreme degrees using these methods that she was reduced to a vegetable state, having to relearn everything, from how to go to the bathroom to the identity of her children.

Several hundred (but not all) victims of such research eventually received monetary compensation for their suffering.

It is not clear to me the extent to which this concept may apply to the current situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age, but I do not doubt that the nefarious types who are making use of the technologies described in this glossary would absolutely love to be able to completely erase (or create) memories by remote means. (Why they don't just kill people instead is beyond me. How can someone possibly imagine that it is OK to do such horrible things to people, but somehow draw the line at the much nicer practice of just killing people. Clearly there are experimental objectives.)

**Directed conversation**:  

---

22 Recall that attacking the sense of self is one of the earlier stages in brainwashing. I.e., in case it is not obvious, people who are sleep deprived will be more vulnerable to brainwashing for a whole host of reasons, including this one – and, moreover, are less likely to have (any?) clear memories of the brainwashing whereby they will be able to piece together what happened.

23 Goodwin K (Oct 17, 2004). “Brainwash victims win cash claims”, The Times of London. (This article has been removed from the newspaper’s website, but one of many copies can be found at http://www.wanttoknow.info/mkcourtcase).
Directed conversation is a term referring to a stalking tactic using stranger’s conversation to both intimidate and to convey to the victim that they are under surveillance. During directed conversation, two or more stalkers will approach near to the target and engage in ‘normal’ conversation with one another. The conversation is purposefully made at a level so that the victim can adequately hear what is being said. During directed conversation, personal information concerning the victim (refer to remote neural monitoring to understand how this information may be collected without use of ‘traditional’ tools used to violate privacy) is inserted into the speech and emphasized by the stalkers in a fashion that most non-victims would not be able to discern as harassment (however, if the targeted individual calls the stalkers out on it, they will respond in a way so as to make the targeted individual look crazy).

One purpose of directed conversation is to harass a victim, as well as make the victim appear mentally unstable should they attempt to complain about such abuse. This may be combined with carefully orchestrated manipulations related to brainwashing the targeted individual (this may involve the use of mimicking harassment for conditioning purposes, which can applies later for brainwashing purposes), such as trying to portray the values of the targeted individual as something socially determined as pathetic, undesirable, weak, or something that makes them a ‘legitimate’ target – if an unaware targeted individual is exposed to such treatment, they may find themselves subtly brainwashed without even knowing it, only loosely aware of the fact that they are being stalked. Similarly, directed conversation may be applied to use the stalker’s knowledge of the psychology and values of the targeted individual to try to massage their values, preferences, etc., in the direction of what the organized stalkers, or more importantly those directing their actions, want to instil into the population – most generally, pro-eugenics anti-socialist ‘values’). These are conversations that complete strangers will have out in public relating to the target and their personal situations. E.g., they will repeat things a target said in their home, or on the phone. They will drop very personal details into the conversation, that could only be related to the target.

Directed energy weapons (refer to electronic weapons):

A directed energy weapon (DEW), also known as electronic heating, RF heating, high-frequency heating and diathermy, is the process in which a high-frequency alternating electric field, or radio wave or microwave electromagnetic radiation heats a dielectric material.

Potential applications of this technology include anti-personnel weapon systems, potential missile defense system, and the disabling of lightly armoured vehicles such as cars, drones, jet skis, and electronic devices such as mobile phones.

The energy can come in various forms, for example: 1) Electromagnetic radiation, including radio frequency, microwave, lasers and masers; 2) Particles with mass, in particle-beam weapons; 3) Sound, in sonic weapons.

Widespread reports from targeted individuals, many of whom believe themselves to be subjects of non-consensual human experimentation for illegal research on the part of the security state, claim that directed energy weapons are being used to inflict pain on them, including experiences of mysterious
burns and inflammation by individuals who have also experienced diverse other forms of harassment. However, it is possible that this is part of an intimidation-oriented disinformation campaign where targeted individuals (and/or others) are persuaded to believe that this is happening (and are further convinced of it when other targeted individuals claim the same), whereas it may not in fact be the case. Another explanation for these experiences is that pain centres of specific limbs or parts of the body are being stimulated in the brain.

Disinformation:

Disinformation is false or inaccurate information that is spread deliberately with intentions of turning genuine information useless. For this reason, it is synonymous with and sometimes called black propaganda. It is an act of deception and false statements to convince someone of untruth. Disinformation should not be confused with misinformation, information that is unintentionally false. Unlike traditional propaganda techniques designed to engage emotional support, disinformation is designed to manipulate the audience at the rational level by either discrediting conflicting information or supporting false conclusions.

In the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, the targeted individual may in fact be a source of disinformation, whether as a product of imagination, suggestion, or be reiterating seemingly plausible disinformation that they are repeating. A reasonable rule of thumb is that if the perpetrating entity is content for you to believe that the origins of the attack is some particular thing, then almost certainly it is something else. The disinformation may extend to efforts to convince you that many people who are not in fact ‘in on it’ are also perpetrators, which may end up driving hostile interactions which contribute to the social isolation and discrediting of the targeted individual.

One of the objectives of this disinformation is to lead the targeted individual to appear as mentally ill or otherwise unstable by proposing explanations widely online and to the public, which are patently untrue or highly unlikely, and by engaging in highly erratic behaviour.
**Electromagnetic radiation/waves** (specific types of which include Radio waves and Microwaves):

“**Electromagnetic waves** are waves which can travel through the vacuum of outer space. Mechanical waves, unlike **electromagnetic waves**, require the presence of a material medium in order to transport their energy from one location to another. Sound waves are examples of mechanical waves while light waves are examples of electromagnetic waves.

**Electromagnetic waves** are created by the vibration of an electric charge. This vibration creates a wave which has both an electric and a magnetic component. An **electromagnetic wave** transports its energy through a vacuum at a speed of $3.00 \times 10^8$ m/s (a speed value commonly represented by the symbol c). The propagation of an **electromagnetic wave** through a material medium occurs at a net speed which is less than $3.00 \times 10^8$ m/s.”

The following image should give a basic idea of how such waves may continue largely in their original form despite much interference, which should be conceptually available in mathematical form to those who have studied some physics at the upper high school or first year university level. However, much like waves in the ocean, once the area of interference has been passed, the underlying wave of the particle should remain essentially unchanged, on average.

The image to the right shows the electromagnetic spectrum from lowest energy/longest wavelength (at the top) to highest energy/shortest wavelength (at the bottom).

For purposes of the present glossary, we should be most interested in a) the microwave regions of the spectrum relevant for the ‘modulated microwave pulse auditory effect’ (hundreds of MHz to tens of GHz), and b) lower ends of the spectrum which have more generalized effects on mood, emotion, etc. by mimicking brain waves (this is not the same as more targeted

---


uses of such technologies to trigger conditioned emotional responses at the level of an individual) – in this second case, pulse modulations of higher frequency waves may serve to mimic a lower frequency brain wave. Directed energy weapons rely on higher frequency waves in the electromagnetic spectrum, because higher frequency waves contain more energy (and can be targeted with more precision).

**Electromagnetic shielding** (including Faraday cage):

Electromagnetic shielding is the process of reducing the electromagnetic field in a space by blocking the field with barriers made of conductive and/or magnetic materials. Shielding is typically applied (1) to enclosures to isolate electrical devices from the ‘outside world’ and (2) to cables to isolate wires from the environment through which the cable runs. Electromagnetic shielding that blocks radio frequency electromagnetic radiation is also known as RF shielding. The shielding can reduce the coupling of radio waves, electromagnetic fields and electrostatic fields, though not static or low-frequency magnetic fields (a conductive enclosure used to block electrostatic fields is also known as a Faraday cage). The amount of reduction depends very much upon the material used, its thickness, the size of the shielded volume and the frequency of the fields of interest and the size, shape and orientation of apertures in a shield to an incident electromagnetic field.

There are rumours that solutions like anti-electromagnetic paint may mitigate the problem. Also, if a microwave oven is protective against microwave radiation, presumably it would be somewhat costly but not technologically difficult to apply something similar for protection against neuroweapons.

The difficulties in creating a perfect Faraday cage on a large scale is likely to explain the 2015 decision of the Pentagon to move significant communications resources to a deep mountain bunker in Cheyenne, Colorado. The decision was reportedly to safeguard the command's sensitive sensors and servers from a potential electromagnetic pulse (EMP) attack.26

**Electromagnetic weapons** (a.k.a. Electronic weapons, Psychotronic weapons, Neuro-electromagnetic frequency weapons, High powered magnetic weapons, Radio frequency weapons, Electromagnetic radiation weapons, Directed energy weapons, Through-the-wall weapons, Remote influencing technologies, Neuroweapons, etc.):

These are weapons which involve the diffusion of electromagnetic waves for a diversity of purposes, from a) traditional applications of weapons which inflict pain, damage or destroy (directed energy weapons) to b) the use of pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves for sending audible voices directly into the minds of individuals, and other outgoing transmissions of images or ideas into the minds of the targeted individual – On the surveillance end, this presumably involves an array of radar-like tools (e.g., analogues of the laser microphone) which should not be of particular interest to the average citizen, including applications for remote neural monitoring which can be used in conjunction without outgoing

---

communications (into the mind of the targeted individual) for the purpose of an extreme form of social control where cognitive liberty is threatened, and c) neuro-effective signals (whether or not achieved via conditioning) that may cause other nerve groups to be remotely influenced. Generally speaking, the goal is to engage in behaviour modification by covertly manipulating the minds of persons under attack.

According to N. Anisimov, psychotronic weapons (neuroweapons) are those that act to "take away a part of the information which is stored in a man's brain. It is sent to a computer, which reworks it [sometimes with human support] to the level needed for those who need to control the man, and the modified information is then reintroduced [by suggestion or mere exposure to the modified information] into the brain." Included in the arsenal are VHF generators, X-rays, ultrasound, and radio waves.

It is not uncommonly asserted that microchip implants are used to increase the strength of the influence of these electronic weapons in targeted individuals or in instances of non-consensual human experimentation. However, it is difficult to ascertain whether such reports can be taken credibly – such claims may reflect successfully convincing a targeted individual of something that can be proven as factually false at a later date, in order to entirely discredit any claims they make. The fact that such events may have transpired historically does not mean it is still happening – after all, that would leave evidence.

Unclassified official reports in relation to such weapons are not altogether sparse, but are altogether vague in the specific technologies they use or the impacts they may have on targets.

(Note: this class of weapons also includes legitimate military uses which are analogous to traditional radar, signal jamming and spoofing technologies. Such uses are not of interest for the context at hand.)

As early as 1979, the Soviet Union was promoting an international treaty against the development of weapons of mass destruction, and among others, specified the following types of weapons:

- Infrasonic means using acoustic radiation to affect biological targets;
- Means using electromagnetic radiation to affect biological target.

However, Western powers claimed that such a treaty on all potential new weapons of mass destruction would have to be so general in scope and vague in its definitions so as to render it useless, and no such

---

27 This last point on remote activation of other neuron groups appears in White E (2000), "The State of Unclassified and Commercial Technology Capable of Some Electronic Mind Control Effects", accessed from http://www.constitution.org/abus/mkt/uncom.htm on April 30, 2016. Many or perhaps all targeted individuals can attest to experiences that would corroborate this view, but the exact causality may be different for different cases.

28 Attribution to this quote cannot be verified. However, it is roughly accurate.

29 One official source where such technologies are discussed, about 10 years old already, can be found in the Defense Science Board Task Force on Directed Energy Weapons, December 2007, accessed from http://www.acq.osd.mil/dsb/reports/ADA476320.pdf on April 24, 2016.
treaty was ever drawn up. However, the Soviets did not cite potential applications for mind control (other citations in this document demonstrate that such uses of electromagnetic radiations to influence the mind and other neural processes were already in practice at that time), and it would be reasonable to wonder if the actual intent was to ban development of weapons that they would nevertheless continue developing.

In case there is any doubt that these SHOULD be classified as weapons, and therefore subject to a diversity of controls in their use, trade, etc., refer to HR 2977 Space Preservation Act of 2001, promoted by Dennis Kucinich (unrelated sections redacted):

(2)(A) The terms ‘weapon’ and ‘weapons system’ mean a device capable of any of the following:

(III) directing a source of energy (including molecular or atomic energy, subatomic particle beams, electromagnetic radiation, plasma, or extremely low frequency (ELF) or ultra low frequency (ULF) energy radiation) against that object;

(v) through the use of land-based, sea-based, or space-based systems using radiation, electromagnetic, psychotronic, sonic, laser, or other energies directed at individual persons or targeted populations for the purpose of information war, mood management, or mind control of such persons or populations;

(ii) Inflicting death or injury on, or damaging or destroying, a person (or the biological life, bodily health, mental health, or physical and economic well-being of a person)–

(B) Such terms include exotic weapons systems such as–

(i) electronic, psychotronic, or information weapons;

(iii) high altitude ultra low frequency weapons systems;

(iv) plasma, electromagnetic, sonic, or ultrasonic weapons;

However, these passages were deleted from the bill prior to being passed, on October 2, 2001.  


31 I am unsure as to how to verify the authenticity of these deleted passages. The source is https://youarenotmybigbrother.wordpress.com/2015/10/05/the-mind-control-forum-yahoo-group-eleanor-white/, accessed May 3, 2016.
**Electronic harassment (including no-touch torture):**

This is the sum of any and all torture, harassment and brainwashing experiences perpetrated by use of electromagnetic weapons, whether directed energy weapons or other weapons designed for remote neutral monitoring, synthetic telepathic communications into and from the mind of the targeted individual, or otherwise. The terms should not be confused with cyberstalking.

In Michigan, Public act 257 of 2003 makes it a felony for a person to “manufacture, deliver, possess, transport, place, use, or release” a “harmful electronic or electromagnetic device” for “an unlawful purpose”; also made into a felony is the act of causing “an individual to falsely believe that the individual has been exposed to a harmful electronic or electromagnetic device”. In Maine, Public law 264, H.P. 868 – L.D. 1271 criminalizes the knowing, intentional, and/or reckless use of an electronic weapon on another person, defining an electronic weapon as a portable device or weapon emitting an electrical current, impulse, beam, or wave with disabling effects on a human being.

Knowledge of such harassment goes back at least as far as 1993.\(^{32}\)

I believe this term is generally used in relation to physical torture, or elicitation of pain centres in the brain, rather more so to the largely psychological torture aspects of this neurowarfare against civilians who refuse to submit and be brainwashed into Nazis (for practical purposes, whether or not that is the affiliation the perpetrator believes themselves to hold). Common symptoms include:

- Sharp pain and burning in the chest, generally in the region of the heart
- ‘Fluttering’ or ‘gulping’ sensation in heart area
- ‘charged’ sensations in the heart area
- Racing or irregular heart beat
- Hard/heavy pumping of the heart beat
- Fatigue/exhaustion, dizziness, vertigo, loss of balance

- Intense headaches
- Pain in other centres, likely induced via the brain, at least in most cases
- Pain at the surface of the skin, which may involve the use of ‘active denial technology’ which boils the water molecules in the skin, causing much pain but not damaging the skin and therefore not leaving ‘evidence’
- Elicitation of various physiological processes, in ways designed to be embarrassing
- Interference with the senses, emotions, etc. (perhaps by stimulating neural processes similar to those senses, emotions, etc. in the individual)

It is commonly believed that satellites are being used a highly targeted beacons of electromagnetic radiation, although presumably in at least some cases this is complemented or even largely applied by lesser sources in cases where autonomous (perhaps vindictive) operators wish to add to the torture.

**ELINT:**

Electronic signals intelligence (ELINT) refers to intelligence-gathering by use of electronic sensors. Signal identification is performed by analyzing the collected parameters of a specific signal, and either matching it to known criteria, or recording it as a possible new emitter. ELINT data are usually considered as highly classified.

As retrieved from Wikipedia[^33], the satellites which have been deployed for radar or ELINT purposes (both of which necessarily equipped with electromagnetic radiation facilities) are as follows. Naturally, it remains unknown to the public when these satellites began to be equipped with pulse-modulation capacities of sufficient quality to diffuse outgoing synthetic telepathy communications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Launch date</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA-3</td>
<td>1984-06-25</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Out of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-8</td>
<td>1985-01-24</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Out of service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USA</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA-31</td>
<td>1988-09-02</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-37</td>
<td>1989-05-10</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Out of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-48</td>
<td>1989-11-23</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-103</td>
<td>1994-05-03</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-105</td>
<td>1994-08-27</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-110</td>
<td>1995-05-14</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-112</td>
<td>1995-05-14</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-118</td>
<td>1996-04-24</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-136</td>
<td>1997-11-06</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-152</td>
<td>2000-08-17</td>
<td>Radar reconnaissance</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA0160</td>
<td>2001-09-08</td>
<td>ELINT/Ocean surveillance</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-171</td>
<td>2003-09-09</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-181</td>
<td>2005-02-03</td>
<td>ELINT/Ocean surveillance</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-184</td>
<td>2006-06-25</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-194</td>
<td>2007-06-15</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-200</td>
<td>2008-03-13</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>No info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-202</td>
<td>2009-01-18</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-215</td>
<td>2010-09-21</td>
<td>Radar imaging</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-223</td>
<td>2010-11-21</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-229</td>
<td>2011-04-15</td>
<td>ELINT/Ocean surveillance</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-238</td>
<td>2012-09-13</td>
<td>ELINT/Ocean surveillance</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-247</td>
<td>2013-12-06</td>
<td>Radar imaging</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-250</td>
<td>2014-04-10</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-257</td>
<td>2014-09-17</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-259</td>
<td>2014-12-13</td>
<td>ELINT</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-264</td>
<td>2015-10-08</td>
<td>ELINT/Ocean surveillance</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA-267</td>
<td>2016-02-10</td>
<td>Radar imaging</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Viewed by 5-year periods, the rate of deployment of ELINT and radar satellites is as follows: 1984-1989 (5); 1990-1994 (2); 1995-1999 (4); 2000-2004 (3); 2005-2009 (5); 2010-2014 (8); 2015-2016 (2). With no less than 12 satellites equipped with electromagnetic wave capacities, it should be considered as a rather uncontroversial statement to claim that much of the globe is covered most of the time, and this does not account for a large number of other satellites (and/or undisclosed satellites) which monitor via other means – also, following the popular mythology relating to the HAARP program, a fair number of other satellites described as ‘weather satellites’ may also be equipped with targeted electromagnetic wave capacities. Moreover, this does not include any land-based or non-satellite aerial capacities along these lines. Neurowarfare applications presumably remain classified and therefore officials hidden from civilians who are vulnerable to abuse of such technologies.

Open question: Why such a large ramp up of satellites with electromagnetic wave capacities in the 2010-2014 period? I am not aware of any significant build-up of legitimate risks or threats which would justify this. I cannot speak for all places, but this most certainly is consistent with the Canadian history of 2013-2014, which to date remains essentially undocumented, but a few reminders of which will hopefully help to fill in the record once people can feel less threatened by psychiatric reprisal for
describing their experiences, in particular following disclosure on the current state of technologies in neurowarfare.

**Emotional cloning** (a.k.a. Cloned emotional signatures):

US patent 5,159,703 (1992) includes the following description through use of **silent sound**: "By using these computer-enhanced EEGs, scientists can identify and isolate the brain's low-amplitude "emotion signature clusters", synthesise them and store them on another computer. In other words, by studying the subtle characteristic brainwave patterns that occur when a subject experiences a particular emotion, scientists have been able to identify the concomitant brainwave pattern and can now duplicate it. "These clusters are then placed on the Silent Sound[TM] carrier frequencies and will silently trigger the occurrence of the same basic emotion in another human being!" “

It seems unlikely that such a technology could be implemented by **silent sound**, but an analogue of such a technology certainly exists which instead uses **electromagnetic radiations/waves** for essentially the same purpose. This may be complementary to the use of **low frequency electromagnetic waves** with more generalized impacts.

Also, applications of electromagnetic analogues of this technology seem to explain other experiences of **targeted individuals** and victims of **non-consensual human experimentation**, as described elsewhere under **mimicking harassment**, **evoked action**, driven **subvocalization**, etc., etc..

**Evoked action** (related: **Classic conditioning**, **Priming**, **Subliminal stimuli**, **Electronic weapons**):

An **evoked action** is an action that is evoked neurally, normally by a decision that is essentially conscious and intentional (i.e., not a fight or flight response to pain or a threat). This often involves visual selection of the event, in addition to encoding of motor control.\(^{34}\) However, actions may be automatically invoked, in the sense that one may intend to perform a specific action, but the brain automatically produces some other action reflecting automatic computation on the part of the brain.\(^{35}\)

This entry on **evoked action** should be considered as highly incomplete. However, in the context of **psychological warfare** in the electronic age, what is relevant is the following.

First, in relation to **mimicking harassment**, it is obvious to those who have experienced it that continuous mimicry of movements eventually leads to a situation where other parties may trigger an involuntary movement on the part of the **targeted individual** – for example, having mimicked every movement for some time, the perpetrating entity may seek to establish a sense of control over the **targeted individual** by, at a mere gesture, triggering an involuntary movement. It appears as though a similar connection may exist between mimicry and involuntary speech, most especially involuntary **subvocalizations** (which, among other things, may be used to help **brainwash** an unaware **targeted**

---


\(^{35}\) Ibid.
individual by ‘speaking in their first person’, or to extract false ‘confessions’ which may be observed via remote neural monitoring).

Second, somewhat in relation to the process of visualizing the action prior to doing it, a targeted individual who is completely unaware of such possibilities may find themselves triggered to make some kind of action, generally one which may be dangerous (for example, steer the car right, into the tree) or criminal (for example, a sexual or terrorist offense, or more innocuously, to shoplift something).

I assume that most targeted individuals can attest to such experiences, but given that the purportedly established scientific knowledge is essentially hidden undercover as ‘classified’ for ‘national security’, a targeted individual describing such experiences is liable to be directed towards a psychiatrist who will write them up with some sort of mental health record (for referring to such innocuous things as some involuntary movements, and as bad as impulses to act on a sexual perversion that the perpetrating entity have otherwise been trying to plant or force into the mind of the targeted individual).

**Extremely low frequency waves (ELF electromagnetic waves):**

Extremely low frequency (ELF) is the ITU designation for electromagnetic radiation (radio waves) with frequencies from 3 to 30 Hz, and corresponding wavelengths from 100,000 to 10,000 kilometers. ELF waves can also penetrate significant distances into earth or rock, and ‘through-the-earth’ underground mine communication systems use frequencies of 300 to 3000 Hz. The frequency of alternating current flowing in electric power grids, 50 or 60 Hz, also falls within the ELF band, making power grids an unintentional source of ELF radiation.

The following was lifted from an online source dedicated to mystical sorts of relations with mother earth and the like. The attribution is to Lewis B. Hainsworth, but I have not yet been able to verify this information from any peer-reviewed scientific outlet. I think it suffices to say, however, that ELF waves are known to have diverse relations (extent and directionality of causation?) with our cognitive and emotional states, and among many other specific experiences, are likely to explain fatigue attacks.\(^{36}\)

- Gamma waves (25-60 Hz) appear to relate to simultaneous processing of information from different brain areas, e.g., involving memory, learning abilities, integrated thoughts or information-rich task processing. Gamma rhythms modulate perception and consciousness, which disappear with anaesthesia. Synchronous activity at about 40 Hz appears involved in binding sensory inputs into the single, unitary objects we perceive.
- Beta waves (12-25 Hz) dominate our normal waking state of consciousness when attention is directed towards cognitive tasks and the outside world. Beta is a ‘fast’ activity, present when we are alert or even anxious, or when engaged in problem solving, judgement, decision making, information processing, mental activity and focus. Nobel Prize winner Sir Francis Crick and other scientists believe the 40 Hz beta frequency may be key to the act of cognition.

\(^{36}\) Such a device was patented over 40 years ago, under US patent 3773049 A (1970): “Apparatus for the treatment of neuropsychic and somatic diseases with heat, light, sound and vhf electromagnetic radiation”. White (2000), in Appendix PM1, describes Russian claims that such devices were already in use in Soviet and allied states.
- Alpha waves (7-12 Hz) are present during dreaming and light meditation when the eyes are closed. As more and more neurons are recruited to this frequency, alpha waves cycle globally across the whole cortex. This induces deep relaxation, but not quite meditation. In alpha, we begin to access the wealth of creativity that lies just below our conscious awareness. It is the gateway, the entry point that leads into deeper states of consciousness. Alpha waves aid overall mental coordination, calmness, alertness, inner awareness, mind/body integration and learning.

- Theta waves (4-7 Hz) occur most often in sleep but are also dominant in the deepest states of meditation (body asleep/mind awake) and thought (gateway to learning, memory). In theta, our senses are withdrawn from the external world and focused on the mindscape–internally originating signals. In theta, we are in a waking dream; vivid imagery flashes before the mind’s eye and we are receptive to information beyond our normal conscious awareness. Theta meditation increases creativity, enhances learning, reduces stress and awakens intuition and other extrasensory perception skills.

- Delta waves (0-4 Hz) are the slowest but highest in amplitude. They are generated in deepest meditation and dreamless sleep. Delta waves confer a suspension of external existence and provide the most profound feelings of peace.

Extremely low frequency (ELF) transmissions (or, more specifically, pulse modulations of higher frequency waves) are directed against an individual or larger population to influence their brain waves, for example by mimicking natural brainwaves, or in any cases by transmitting electromagnetic waves which may have similar effects on cellular communications and other biophysical processes involved in neural activity, thereby stimulating effects on the brain. Dr. W. Volkrodt states, with regard to the potential uses of ELF waves for neurowarfare:37

The technical principal of receivers for electromagnetic waves is fully analogous with biological information and communications system. If several thousand of the hundreds of billions of nerve cells in our brain resonate with man-made centimetre waves, the carrier frequency has to be suppressed when the signal is passed on to the synapses.

To overcome cell membranes, living organisms use electrochemical processes involving sodium and potassium ions. This suppresses the carrier frequency in the high-frequency range just as the demodulation circuit does in man-made receivers. What remains is the signal impressed on the carrier frequency, e.g. in the low frequency ELF range. This is also the frequency range at which our own nervous system normally works.

Using these frequencies, the nerve fibres convey pain sensations, the feeling of hungry, tiredness, nausea, and signals on the sense of balance to points in the brain which invoke these stages in a awake consciousness.

If interference signals are superimposed on the natural signals generated by the body as a carrier, the brain could be presented with stimulated states that we consciously perceive, but which do not appear as reality.

A state of disturbed sense of balance, which seems to us to be real, is enough to stop people from being able to run or make them fell dizzy even they are lying down.

In a ‘psychotronic war’ using microwaves modulated using ELF waves, it would no longer be necessary to kill whole armies by inducing cardiac or respiratory irregular signals. The enemy can simply be incapacitated by disturbing their states of balance or confusing the ability to think logically.

The manipulation of human beings, by means of ELF waves is relatively easy to perform.

Government authorities and military would have to overcome no insurmountable difficulties to modulate carrier frequencies with ELF signals in existing centimetre radio-relay links.

...an army of occupation could then manipulate a nation’s ability to make decisions in confusing political situations or disable undesirable activities. ELF-modulated microwave transmitters employed in this way could also serve to obviate the use of force (non-lethal weapons).

An entire nation can be gradually subjugated psychically by the means of long-term radiation using ELF-modulated centimetre waves.

This is not science fiction!

Historically, there was a legitimate military use of ELF waves. Namely, because ELF waves can be transmitted through rock, water, etc., they were used to transmit simple signals to submarines, which could then surface to receive detailed orders by other ordinary radio and satellite communications. In 2004 the Navy shut down both transmitters, with the explanation that very low frequency (VLF) communication systems had improved to the point that the ELF system was unnecessary. This would imply that there is no legitimate application for ELF research or usage, within military industries, which is not related to *neuroweapon* applications.

---

False flag:

The contemporary term false flag describes covert operations that are designed to deceive in such a way that the operations appear as though they are being carried out by entities, groups, or nations other than those who actually planned and executed them. As a rule of thumb, targeted individuals should assume that any group that the perpetrators accept/suggest as the correct explanation is very unlikely to be the actual group responsible for the electronic harassment, organized stalking, etc.

Fatigue attacks and “electronic caffeine”:

A fatigue attack occurs which the targeted individual all of a sudden feels an overwhelming sense of fatigue for little or no identifiable cause. Many targeted individuals and victims of non-consensual human experimentation report fatigue attacks which are assumed to be implemented via means of electronic weapons. The extent to which this relies strictly on remote electromagnetic mimicking of brain waves (including via pulse modulations), other means of remote stimulus and/or conditioned responses to unheard stimulus do not appear to be understood by the community of targeted individuals.
An ancient example of a device that can accomplish such a thing is the Russian medical device known as a LIDA machine, a pulsed 40 watt, 40 MHz radio transmitter, which can be used to make a target exhausted on the job when pulsing at the rate consistent with sleep, and with a pulse rate increase, DEPRIVE a target of sleep too.

This device is a radio transmitter version of other types of trance induction devices, such as a swinging watch, or pulsing lights, or pulsing sound. Trance induction works using any low speed, regular stimulus. Even slowly swinging in a hammock or rocking in a rocking chair can induce sleep. But if someone comes along and suddenly rocks a snoozing person's rocking chair at a high rock rate, that person is going to be forced awake. Same with a slowly beeping tone changing to a rapidly beeping tone. Alarm clocks use rapid beeps, for example. (Similarly, slow music is generally soothing whereas faster music is generally energizing.)

Freedom:

The Merriam-Webster dictionary includes the following in its definition of freedom:39

1: the quality or state of being free: as
   a: the absence of necessity, coercion, or constraint in choice or action
   b: liberation from slavery or restraint or from the power of another: independence
   c: the quality or state of being exempt or released usually from something onerous (freedom from care)
   d: ease, facility (spoke the language with freedom)
   e: the quality of being frank, open, or outspoken (answered with freedom)
   f: improper familiarity
   g: boldness of conception or execution
   h: unrestricted use (gave him the freedom of their home)

2
   a: a political right
   b: franchise, privilege

FOIA:

The Freedom of Information Act enables citizens to obtain non-classified information about government activities. Most Western countries have a somewhat functioning variant of this. However, it is likely to be necessary to know the internal terminology being used to make good use of the FOIA or its equivalent. Also, in the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age (neurowarfare), presumably little of any additional relevance is likely to be disclosed. However, theoretically it may be possible for the targeted individual to find out if they are on a list of sorts (however, my understanding is that there are also lists which are impervious to such information requests).

---

Gagged person:

A gagged person is whispered lies and slander, by a perpetrating entity, about a targeted individual. If the gagged person believes the lies, they become infuriated at the targeted individual – and are recruited into piling on, and targeting them. Gagged persons are threatened, either subtly or aggressively, when they are gagged by the perpetrating entity, even though they usually have no legal authority to do so. After this the gagged person will not advise the targeted individual of either the slander they have been told or the identity of the perpetrator who whispered it. The gagged person’s fear is based on the possibility that the slander may be justifiable and true – or even worse – if it is false, they fear that similar slander may be unleashed against them, unless they cooperate with the unjust targeting of the targeted individual.

Gang stalking (a.k.a. Mobbing; Organized stalking; which includes High-tech stalking by proxy):

Individuals are being flagged and placed on notification lists. This is happening without the knowledge of the targeted individual. In many countries these community notifications are being used by companies and/or agencies of the security state and others to target innocent individuals such as whistleblowers, the outspoken, those perceived as a threat to certain partisan and/or ideological views, etc. In conjunction with these notifications, in some cases this is combined with a practice that was used in the former Soviet Union called psychiatric reprisal. This is used as a means of retaliation, blacklisting, silencing, or controlling members of society. Once on the notification system, warnings about the targets are sent out to every individual the target comes in contact with, thus poisoning their associations, and socially annexing the targeted individual. As a massive understatement, this could also be described as a ‘negative energy campaign’.

As a general rule of thumb, the methods are applied in a way that is conducive to gaslighting the individual or, at the very least, that they will match established definitions of so-called mental illnesses. Failing psychiatric reprisal and/or other means of sabotaging the individual, another objective may be to drive the targeted individual to respond in some way which will lead to their incarceration (which is rather likely to be paired with exceedingly intentional efforts to try to push/provoke them to do so – apparently willingness to do evil, “for the team” (whichever ‘team’ is most likely to elicit the behaviours and preferences ‘they’ want, but generally in the direction of white supremacist neo-Nazi behaviour and preferences), is one of the desired traits in this situation). And, failing either one of those, the ‘spontaneous combustion’ of the eternally harassed, tortured and frustrated is another objective (an ‘example’, so to speak, of what happens to those who do not submit to slavery).

---

40 This can be implemented without sending a communication that is easily traceable. For example, the perpetrating entity may locate the new acquaintance, and say things which make it essentially clear that they are discussing the targeted individual, and the proceed to engage in communications which are designed to slander, discredit, etc., the targeted individual.
Common organized stalking methods include:

1) **Mobbing**/crowding a target by swarming them (not tooooo obviously, but obviously enough to be unmistakable to the target) in public.
   a. Similarly, many reports suggest the same applies when driving, with a variety of tactics devised to maximize the agitated state of the targeted individual, at times clearly with a view to leading them to do something dangerous and/or illegal
2) **Directed conversations** 1: conversations strangers have in public relating to the target's personal life (including false accusations, to make the target believe that the **slander** is widely believed, which sometimes may actually be the case).
3) **Directed conversations** 2: media content which appeared to be directed towards your life, for example something that should have been private and where media content was highly related to your personal situation, beyond what might reasonably be considered as coincidence.
4) Entrapment: setting the target up on criminal charges.
5) **Slander** campaigns: spreading lies and rumours about the target such as they are a drug dealer, accusations of various sex crimes such as rape, pedophilia, etc., with no opportunity to defend oneself. Including efforts to persuade the victim that the slander is widely believed (which may at times in fact be true, regardless of whether or not based in reality).
6) Illegal entry into the target’s home or car.
7) Illegal audio/visual surveillance/ bugging of target. (Presumably this is often identified mistakenly, whereas the reality is **remote neural monitoring**. However, traditional illegal bugging/surveillance mechanisms are liable to have more usable ‘evidence’).
8) Isolation: isolating the target from friends, family, etc., by spreading lies and rumours.
9) Insertion as faux friends to take over the social life of the targeted individual. They are always rude, and manipulative and not real friends.
10) Mail and email tampering.
11) **Mimicking harassment**: a) copying the target’s daily routine by throwing out the garbage at the same time or using the water or bathroom in an adjacent apartment at the same time; b) also, mimicking their movements, speech patterns, etc., which are liable to asserting a certain degree of perceived control over the individual by building up an ability to elicit **evoked action** or speech.
12) **Noise campaigns**: slamming doors, loud stereos, car alarms going off in tandem, using power tools at late hours.
13) Psychological sensitization/anchoring: getting the target sensitive to everyday stimuli like colors or everyday actions such as following the target in bright red clothing and/or swarming the target’s vehicle with red vehicles continuously until the target develops a phobia to the color red. (This should be understood in the sense of **classic conditioning** or **priming**. But this vocabulary also appears common.)
14) Creating ‘symptoms’ of mental illness (voices in the subconscious, V2K; trying to describe what is happening for others) putting the individual in psychiatric care.
15) Creating ‘symptoms’ or dementia because of apparent difficulty, sometimes, to express one’s feelings and thoughts; memory problems when the ‘attacks’ are enormous.
16) **Sleep deprivation**: timing noise campaigns at the time the target normally sleeps.
17) Telephone re-directs/wrong numbers.
18) Theft: stealing from a target. (Liable to be highly regular but fairly small thefts that the police will not take seriously, and may lead to being directed/forced into **psychological evaluation** if the targeted individual makes any claims of organized stalking or conspiracy against them.)
19) Moving foot and vehicular surveillance techniques: following the target wherever they go, perhaps including tracking the target using a GPS monitor, etc.
20) Being followed to restaurants, hotels, etc., where various other forms of harassment would continue. (Or intercepted there shortly after arrival.)
21) ‘Brightening’: perpetrators flash their (car) lights at the targeted individual at all times of the day to let the targeted individual know they are being watched.
22) Vandalism, breaking windows, slashing tires, dumping garbage on a target’s lawn. (Liable to be highly regular but fairly small incidents that the police will not take seriously, and may lead to being directed/forced into **psychological evaluation** if the targeted individual makes any claims of organized stalking or conspiracy against them.)
23) Ruined relationships: friendships and acquaintances going sour or talking in the same catch phrases (e.g., targeted to something assumed to have a negative psychological effect on your identity or values, perhaps unknown to these individuals) even though they do not know each other.
24) Public rudeness: rude stares, bumps or unexpected actions or talk from people you do not know or strange chat from strangers, or other behaviours that are known to be offensive or bothersome to the targeted individual.
25) Efforts to recruit you into some particular activity or event, perhaps meeting someone who has suspiciously similar interests, or invites you to events out of the blue which are suspiciously aligned with your specific interests – after which point in time their control over the venue will serve to continue with more subtle forms of the above, in addition to more calculated efforts contributing the process of establishing control over the targeted individual, to brainwash the target, in short.
26) Heavily targeted individuals may themselves end up being perpetrators of sorts, in the sense of terrorizing others, by engaging in fear-driven and hysterical descriptions of their experiences (especially when combined with various speculations relating to electronic harassment which are difficult to prove).

The key is the frequency, in addition to the events being not notable in any given instance but with a psychological effect that piles on with their high frequency and intensity. Because any single event is not that strange on its own, attempts to report on such organized stalking methods are liable to contribute to gaslighting the targeted individual, or at the least, persuading others to believe that the targeted individual is delusional and/or crazy when they try to describe the conspiracy.
It seems rather obvious that at least some degree of government complicity, whether by rogue factions or otherwise, must be necessary given the apparent futility of trying to seek official intervention in such matters, including what appears to be a *psychiatric reprisal* for those who try to report on such events.\(^4^1\)

Why would anyone get involved in such a thing? In addition to those who are coerced, having been targeted individuals, etc., who feel “there is no choice”, or what have you ... To everyday citizens, it presents itself as a group trying to monitor terrorists (or any other kind of flavour-of-the-month undesirables like gays/communists/witches) and drive them out. To other people, it’s a rotary club/chamber of commerce type operation. To others still, the most twisted conspiratorial minds, it is an organization that pulls the strings behind everything. To the puritan, it is a cleansing force. To the deviant, it is a gang of other deviants where everything is permissible. Whatever it takes to make you do what they want. I believe it is essentially white supremacist neo-Nazis, in consideration of a) its virtual perfect consistency with white supremacist ideology (strong rule the weak, eugenics towards a lovely world) and b) in observation of the (lack of) values they wish to indoctrinate into people with respect to the value of a human being, and c) views relating to various non-white and biologically (subjectively) ‘imperfect’ peoples which are projected as observations introduced to me in the course of remote neural monitoring. It is not clear to me the extent to which these operatives have been brainwashed into their views as adults or grew up in families where such views and/or abuse was deemed ‘normal’. Also, it is important to understand that, as a matter of external influences that they may be unaware of, at least some people participating in the stalking are completely oblivious as to their role.

According to Julianne McKinney, “The long-term objectives of these harassment and experimentation campaigns appear to be quite fundamental;

(1) *induce a sense of perverted “loyalty” toward the very agencies engaged in the individual’s harassment, to confuse his or her priorities where the possibility of obtaining legal redress might be concerned;*

(2) *redirect the targeted individual’s feelings of hopelessness, anger and frustration toward racial and ethnic groups, and toward select, prominent political figures, to include the President of the United States; and*

(3) *force the individual to commit an act of violence, whether suicide or murder, under conditions which can be plausibly denied by the government.*\(^4^2\)


\(^{4^2}\) McKinney J (Dec 1992). “Microwave Harassment and Mind Control Experimentation”. J McKinney at the time was the Director of the Electronic Surveillance Project and a member of the Association of National Security Alumni, an organization dedicated to exposing CIA abuses in relation to non-consensual human experimentation of electronic weapons. I was able to locate an archived copy of this document from http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_mindcon28.htm on May 13, 2016.
Gaslighting:

Gaslighting is a form of mental abuse in which a victim is manipulated into doubting his or her own memory, perception and sanity.

The word gaslighting comes from a 1938 play name Gas Light and its film adaptations. It is a form of psychological abuse in which false information is presented to the victim with the intent of making him/her doubt his/her own memory and perception.

The basic principle from the play runs something like this. Say, you have a light with a dimmer switch and the light is 100% on. Then, every few minutes, someone dims the switch by 1%. Each increment is too small to notice, but slowly slowly, things are dimming. Eventually, the lights may reach, say, 60%. You may ask “hey, doesn’t it seem like it’s getting darker in here?” And the other person may say “WHAT? Are you CRAZY? The lights work just fine! It’s as bright as ever. You must be having some mental problems”, like, not just kidding, but they’re literally trying to convince you that you’re crazy. Then, perhaps, the light is slowly dimmed further, say, to 20-30%. Left to you own devices, you would be 100% sure that the lights were in fact significantly dimmed. However, another person is there to persuade you that you are losing your sanity. Say, the lights become dimmed to 20% and you’re having troubles reading, and you comment on this. The other person may suggest that you’re losing your mental faculties and need to see a psychiatrist, or perhaps only suggest that you’re clearly having problems with your eyes and that you need to see a doctor or optometrist. Of course, when you visit the optometrist, they will confirm that you have precisely zero problems with your eyes. The whole procedure may be repeated many times until the other person manages to convince you that you’re crazy, and that you must see a psychiatrist (presumably they would apply other methods in addition to this to promote the idea that you’re crazy). Or, just as a part of demeaning you and slowly asserting control over you, they may use such techniques to incrementally diminish your sense of self (see step 1 in brainwashing), your confidence in the perceptions of your senses, and eventually, perhaps, the belief that you are in touch with reality at all, and must be entirely dependent on this other person in order to have the ‘right’ to hold any view whatsoever.
The principle of increments could be applied to anything, but what makes it gaslighting is the presence of people who will try to convince you that you’re crazy when you mention how things are dimming.

The above is a specific example of a type of gaslighting. In current parlance, gaslighting refers to any sort of technique which is designed to make you think you are crazy, that you have lost touch with reality, when in fact you have a very good grasp on reality. For example, telling people that they must be crazy to think that the security state is spying on them, or that they are being followed, or are targeted by organized stalking (which includes high-tech stalking by proxy). Such techniques are also widely documented in domestic abuse, where the abuser seeks to assert total control over the victim. If you are a victim of gaslighting, and there is any way you can get out, GET OUT! For practical purposes, given the neuroweaponry described in this glossary, you may not be able to protect yourself from the communications which seek to gaslight you, to convince you that you are crazy. In which case, search out the anti-Nazi anti-Brainwashing Toolkit (very incomplete draft available), which may have some useful advice for recognizing some diversity of gaslighting approaches in addition to strategies to deal with it psychologically.

Gaslighting is especially common in early stages of brainwashing.

Guilt:

Guilt is a cognitive or an emotional experience that occurs when a person believes or realizes—accurately or not—that he or she has compromised his or her own standards of conduct or has violated a moral standard and bears significant responsibility for that violation. It is closely related to the concept of remorse.

In a context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, it is common to try to figure out your values to the extent that the perpetrating entity can elicit a sense of guilt by harassing you endlessly about the remotest sign of ‘hypocrisy’. For example, if you’re an environmentalist, maybe to harass you for the most extremely trivial matter such as occasionally taking a taxi instead of walking, or throwing a cigarette butt on the ground. Or, if you’re a Christian, that something can in the loosest terms be framed as a ‘sin’ such as a stealing a paper clip. The list goes on. The may have a few objectives.
1) The perpetrating entity may be trying to convince you that they are ‘good’ and helping you to uphold your own values, whereas in fact they are trying to assert a sense of control where you are open to their suggestions;

2) Slowly, over time, the perpetrating entity will try to massage these feelings of guilt to gradually shift you towards the personality they want to take you to (refer to conditioning and brainwashing, and consider how they may elicit the guilty trigger for things they want to plant in relation to new values). For example, perhaps an environmentalist could be persuaded to believe that due to love of nature, a genocide to get rid of all the inferior people would be consistent with their values;

3) This can also be a part of an effort to assert control over the targeted individual by a) a continuous invasion of privacy that wears down the individual and may make them feel hopeless and b) just simply by endless nagging and harassment that wears you down psychologically, to the point that the targeted individual may simply wish to submit to control, since the option of leaving is not on the table given the present reality of no technological defensive tools available to civilians against neuroweapons;

4) Having figured out what may elicit guilt, this may be used to try to convince the targeted individual that the punishment is ‘deserved’. Whatever it takes to make you think you ‘deserve’ it – no one, and I mean NO ONE, deserves what they are doing to people.

H

HAARP (High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program):

The High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program (HAARP) was an ionospheric research program jointly funded by the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Navy, the University of Alaska, and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), designed and built by BAE Advanced Technologies (BAEAT).

Its purpose was to analyze the ionosphere and investigate the potential for developing ionospheric enhancement technology for radio communications and surveillance.[2] The HAARP program operated a major sub-arctic facility, named the HAARP Research Station, on an Air Force-owned site near Gakona, Alaska.

The findings of the research program are numerous, but of particular interest with respect to neuroweapons is the discovery of the ability to generate extremely low frequency electromagnetic waves by modulated heating of the auroral electrojet.43

Reportedly, the specific site of research has been shut down. So the conspiracy theorists should self report to a mental hospital.

**Handler:**

Agent operations are run by specially trained officers known as agent **handlers**, and can continue for long periods, sometimes for many years.\(^4^4\)

A **handler** in the intelligence services (and **MKULTRA** survivor group) is the direct supervisor of an intelligence **asset**. Someone who has been programmed (perhaps somewhat different than **brainwashed**) to do the will of a controlling agency, such as a **mind control** target, is an **asset** to the controlling agency. Present day **organized stalking** and **electronic harassment** targets are not usually acquainted with their **handlers**, however, we can be certain at any given time that each frontline perpetrator has at least one. The **handlers** know the appropriate words, tones, or other signals to invoke the **asset**’s programming.

Sometimes, agent **handling** is done indirectly, through ‘principal agents’ that serve as proxies for case officers. It is not uncommon, for example, for a case officer to manage a number of principal agents, who in turn handle agent networks, which are preferably organized in a cellular fashion. In such a case, the principal agent can serve as a ‘cut-out’ for the case officer, buffering him or her from direct contact with the agent network.

Utilizing a principal agent as a cut-out, and ensuring that the human agent network is organized in a cellular fashion, can provide some protection for other agents in the network, as well as for the principal agent, and for the case officer in the event that an agent in the network is compromised.

In the context of **organized stalking** (including **electronic stalking by proxy**), a **handler** is not one of these really high up people in an intelligence agency. The word **handler** is often used to refer to a person, often an **ex-targeted individual** and recruited from the army of front-line perpetrators (presumably after their **brainwashing/subservience** appears to be complete and secured), who remain behind the scenes scripting everything; they are the first to receive community notifications (this view is probably insufficiently considering remote influencing technologies which may also direct/influence the **stalking**).

**Harassment** (also, refer to **Stalking, Cause stalking, Gang stalking, Electronic harassment, Cyberstalking**):

**Harassment** covers a wide range of offensive behaviour. It is commonly understood as behaviour intended to disturb or upset. In the legal sense, it is behaviour which is found threatening or disturbing. Sexual **harassment** refers to persistent and unwanted sexual advances, typically in the workplace, where the consequences of refusing are potentially very disadvantageous to the victim. In 1984, the Canadian Human Rights Act prohibited sexual **harassment** in workplaces under federal jurisdiction.

The crime of **stalking** is defined legally as **criminal harassment**. The following section of the Criminal Code of Canada pertains to **criminal harassment**: Section 264. (1) No person shall, without lawful

authority and knowing that another person is harassed or recklessly as to whether the other person is harassed, engage in conduct referred to in subsection (2) that causes that other person reasonably, in all the circumstances, to fear for their safety or the safety of anyone known to them. Prohibited conduct: (2) The conduct mentioned in subsection (1) consists of (a) repeatedly following from place to place the other person or anyone known to them; (b) repeatedly communicating with, either directly or indirectly, the other person or anyone known to them; (c) besetting or watching the dwelling-house, or place where the other person, or anyone known to them, resides, works, carries on business or happens to be; or (d) engaging in threatening conduct directed at the other person or any member of their family.

**Hate crime:**

The Criminal Code of Canada says a hate crime is committed to intimidate, harm or terrify not only a person, but an entire group of people to which the victim belongs. The victims are targeted for who they are, not because of anything they have done. Hate crimes involve intimidation, harassment, physical force or threat of physical force against a person, a family or a property.

**Human rights:**

Human rights are moral principles or norms, which describe certain standards of human behaviour, and are regularly protected as legal rights in municipal and international law. They are commonly understood as inalienable fundamental rights to which a person is inherently entitled simply because she or he is a human being, and which are inherent in all human beings regardless of their nation, location, language, religion, ethnic origin or any other status. They are applicable everywhere and at every time in the sense of being universal, and they are egalitarian in the sense of being the same for everyone. They require empathy and the rule of law and impose an obligation on persons to respect the human rights of others. They should not be taken away except as a result of due process based on specific circumstances; for example, human rights may include freedom from unlawful imprisonment (see Political abuse of psychiatry), torture, and execution.

**Hypogenic method:**

A historically early term used to discuss the power of suggestion, more specifically, a hypnotically planted suggestion which is triggered by an electromagnetic transmission (in the historical case, a radio wave transmission).

**Hypnosis:**

---

45 It is not proven whether the courts will recognize an analogue for mental force, specifically, psychological torture via remote means. Means of amassing evidence would be a pre-condition to establishing a precedent, of course. Theoretically, someone with nothing better to do for the next few years could set themselves up in this regard to establish such a precedent. This would give the media something concrete to report on. It would also make the sacrificial lamb the target of much hatred, but others would respect them for the strength of character to come forward and admit to what is going on. Access to certain neuroweapons would be a precondition.
Hypnosis is a state of human consciousness involving focused attention and reduced peripheral awareness and an enhanced capacity for response to suggestion. The term may also refer to an art, skill, or act of inducing hypnosis.

Theories explaining what occurs during hypnosis fall into two groups. Altered state theories see hypnosis as an altered state of mind or trance, marked by a level of awareness different from the ordinary conscious state. In contrast, Non-state theories see hypnosis as a form of imaginative role-enactment.

During hypnosis, a person is said to have heightened focus and concentration. The person can concentrate intensely on a specific thought or memory, while blocking out sources of distraction. Hypnotised subjects are said to show an increased response to suggestions. Hypnosis is usually induced by a procedure known as a hypnotic induction involving a series of preliminary instructions and suggestion.

If you find yourself excessively open to suggestion and wonder if you might be in a partially hypnotized state, perhaps in relation to some of the technologies and strategies presented in this glossary, assert control over the situation mentally, or divert your mental attention by focusing on some physical task. Do not allow yourself to be hypnotized by anyone who you do not have a high level of trust in (and even then, a trustworthy person should be entirely OK with you recording the event). Obviously, you cannot trust the motives of a wannabe hypnotist who you cannot see.

It seems to me that the use of certain types of repeated rhythms, patterns, and metre in speech and sounds may push the individual towards a more suggestive state (in addition to hypothesized ‘hard-wired’ effects on emotion, etc. as a function of tone, rhythm, metre, etc.). In such a case, it may be effective to keep yourself busy doing other things, or if you cannot fully prevent yourself from having a sort of ‘self-hypnotic’ pattern being pushed through your brain with the effect being your own subvocalization, it should not be at all difficult to then take charge of this pattern at any instant and take it in another direction.

Finally, some writers have referred to ‘hypnotic triggers’ which cause specific involuntary actions or physiological responses. However, the appropriate framing should be classic conditioning with triggers used to elicit response, not ‘hypnotic triggers’.
Information Awareness Office:

The Information Awareness Office (IAO) was established by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) in January 2002 to bring together several DARPA projects focused on applying surveillance and information technology to track and monitor terrorists and other asymmetric threats to U.S. national security by achieving ‘Total Information Awareness’ (TIA).46 47 48

This was achieved by creating enormous computer databases to gather and store the personal information of everyone in the United States, including personal e-mails, social networks, credit card records, phone calls, medical records, and numerous other sources, without any requirement for a search warrant.49 This information was then analyzed to look for suspicious activities, connections between individuals, and ‘threats’.50 Additionally, the program included funding for biometric surveillance technologies that could identify and track individuals using surveillance cameras, and other methods.51

Following public criticism that the development and deployment of this technology could potentially lead to a mass surveillance system, the IAO was defunded by Congress in 2003. However, several IAO

46 Turley J (Nov 17, 2002). "George Bush’s Big Brother". The Los Angeles Times.
50 Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC). Total Information Awareness (TIA).
51 Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC). Total Information Awareness (TIA).
https://epic.org/privacy/profiling/tia/.
projects continued to be funded and merely run under different names, as revealed by Edward Snowden during the course of the 2013 mass surveillance disclosures.\textsuperscript{52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57}

**Informed consent:**

**Informed consent** often pertains to signing consent forms for medical procedures, such as surgery, or for cancer treatments such as radiation or chemotherapy. For clinical trials and experimentation, however, **informed consent** involves much more than just reading and signing a piece of paper. Rather, it involves two essential parts: a document and a process. The **informed consent** document provides a summary of the clinical trial (including its purpose, the treatment procedures and schedule, potential risks and benefits, alternatives to participation, etc.) and explains your rights as a participant. It is designed to begin the **informed consent** process, which consists of conversations between you and the research team. If you then decide to enter the trial, you give your official consent by signing the document. You can keep a copy and use it as an information resource throughout the course of the trial. The **informed consent** process provides you with ongoing explanations that will help you make educated decisions about whether to begin or continue participating in a trial. Researchers and health professionals know that a written document alone may not ensure that you fully understand what participation means. Therefore, before you make your decision, the research team will discuss with you the trial's purpose, procedures, risks and potential benefits, and your rights as a participant. If you decide to participate, the team will continue to update you on any new information that may affect your situation. Before, during, and even after the trial, you will have the opportunity to ask questions and raise concerns. Thus, **informed consent** is an ongoing, interactive process, rather than a one-time information session.

More generally speaking, consent can be classified into the following types:

**Implied consent** is a form of consent which is not expressly granted by a person, but rather inferred from a person's actions and the facts and circumstances of a particular situation (or in some cases, by a person's silence or inaction). Some examples include implied consent to follow rules and/or regulations at an education institution.

**Expressed consent** is clearly and unmistakably stated, rather than implied. It may be given in writing, by speech (orally), or non-verbally, e.g. by a clear gesture such as a nod. Non-written express consent

\textsuperscript{52} Poulos J (Jul 10, 2013).
\textsuperscript{53} Horgan J (Jun 7, 2013).
\textsuperscript{54} Johnson C (2011). “Dismantling the Empire: America’s Last Best Hope” Quotation: “Congress’s action did not end the Total Information Awareness program. The National Security Agency secretly decided to continue it through its private contractors.”
\textsuperscript{55} " Electronic Frontier Foundation (2003). “Total/Terrorism Information Awareness (TIA): Is It Truly Dead?”: \url{https://w2.eff.org/Privacy/TIA/20031003_comments.php}.
not evidenced by witnesses or an audio or video recording may be disputed if a party denies that it was given.

**Informed consent** in medicine is consent given by a person who has a clear appreciation and understanding of the facts, implications, and future consequences of an action. The term is also used in other contexts.

**Unanimous consent**, or general consent, by a group of several parties (e.g., an association) is consent given by all parties.

**Substituted consent**, or the substituted judgment doctrine, allows a decision maker to attempt to establish the decision an incompetent person would have made if he or she were competent.

**Innocence:**

Not guilty of a crime or offense. **Innocence** cannot be proven (refer to **kangaroo courts**). However, if one gives up on the principle of one’s own **innocence**, then eventually the prospect of perpetrating progressively worse violations of ethics and might seem OK (refer to **conditioning** and **brainwashing**).

**Inner voice cloning:**

Inner voice cloning refers to a process where, via **remote neural monitoring**, perpetrators either on the street via **organized stalking** or those participating via **electronic harassment**, may copy your **subvocalized** inner voice. In terms of **organized stalking**, this may contribute to wearing down the individual to encourage them to submit via a display of the sheer extent of the invasion of privacy that may be sustained until the **targeted individual** submits (as though it would end then ... but anyways, some periods of respite may lead the **targeted individual** who is desperate to escape the **psychological torture** to believe that this may be the case). In terms of **electronic harassment**, and more specifically the use of **pulse-modulated electromagnetic (microwave) radiations** to communicate voices (**synthetic telepathy**; **voice to skull**; **auditory disturbances**) directly into the head of the **targeted individual** via the **microwave auditory effect**, the nefarious potential is particularly dangerous to any individual who is unaware of such possibilities. Namely, having established the word choice, rhythm, tones, etc., of one’s **subvocalized** inner voice, the perpetrating entity may try to drive an involuntary **subvocalization** purporting to speak in the first person of the **targeted individual**. As mentioned elsewhere, this may be used to extract false confessions, to reinforce **planting a false memory**, and generally for the purpose of **brainwashing** the **targeted individual** by slowly refining thinking processes, where they may believe themselves to have actually come to some particular conclusion on their own – in such cases, the perpetrating entity is likely to carefully select the phrases according to what is known about psychology and personality of the **targeted individual**, in order to slowly slowly bring them over to the dark side (which, will application of other **conditioning** and **brainwashing** techniques, may very well seem like the light side by the time the process is complete).

If you ever notice anyone speaking in YOUR first person, re-read this entire glossary twice, thrice and twice more again, then refer to the **anti-Nazi anti-Brainwashing Toolkit** (very incomplete draft available).
for more specific advice on strategies to protect yourself psychologically in the process of retaining your identity and avoiding being transformed into something altogether different from what you ever were.

**Innuendo:**

Statement A logically implies statement B if and only if the truth of A guarantees the truth of B. **Innuendo** occurs when statement A suggests the truth of statement B, without logically implying it.

**Innuendo** can take diverse forms, but for present purposes largely relates to a statement which indirectly suggests that someone has done something immoral, improper, etc. This is liable to be combined with strategies bordering on (or significantly surpassing) libellous **slander** (which may not name you specifically, but it should be clear to the **targeted individual** due to other obvious signs of who is being spoken of), but by virtue of **innuendo**, the perpetrating entity can uphold a degree of plausible deniability, that they were not in fact saying that the **targeted individual** actually did precisely the thing that the **innuendo** is supposed to leave others to conclude in fact happened.

For one of a million types of examples, consider a statement made to a person who routinely stands up to claim that most Muslims are decent people, that we shouldn’t jump to conclusions, etc., and who is also critical of ongoing expansions and increasing arbitrary and unaccountable powers of the police state – someone might say “some people think you’re a terrorist” or “I guess he supports terrorism” – depending on the tone, phrasing, rhythm, etc. of the words, and the body language of the speaker, the **innuendo** may communicate, not that “some people think you’re a terrorist” as in just a few people think that, but perhaps something more like “some people are still dumb enough not to see the obvious fact that you’re definitely going in the direction of terrorism, perhaps already plotting something”. (It is worth mentioning that at the time of this statement, significant effort had been expended to slowly mould the perception of tree huggers to that of being borderline terrorists, as a result of suggesting that rapid exploitation of natural resources as critical to the economy and legislation which suggested that any threat to the economy could be considered as terrorism – I had previously run for office with the Green Party, and presumably was one of a great number of people who came under intense political repression as a result of former and/or ongoing advocacy for environmental issues).

For a second type of example, consider the following statement: “he sure LOVES children doesn’t he” (while making some gesture or other trigger which has been conditioned to be associated with a sexual interest or response).

Combined with other forms of **electronic harassment**, **remote neutral monitoring**, and triggering of **conditionings**, etc., via such **innuendo**, it may even be possible to convince the **targeted individual** THEMSELVES that this might be true, and that as a result that the 24/7 absolute privacy invasion is somehow warranted (which, personally, leads me to believe that a terrorist- or revolutionary-like response is 100% warranted, but I absolutely do not believe that this is an effective means of advancing the situation, because such a strategy is rather more likely to attract backlash and merely result in further increases in resource allocations to the police state and acceptance by many citizens of further entrenchment and/or extensions of civil and **cognitive liberty** violations.)
Key here is to consider the great diversity of meanings that *innuendo* can take depending on the phrasing, tone, rhythm, etc. of the *innuendo*-filled statement. Were you to write down the statement, it might seem purely harmless, and in recounting the situation, one might appear *delusional* to claim that it clearly meant the (intended) *innuendo* that it communicated. As already alluded to, *innuendo* can have highly pernicious interactions with techniques involved in *slander*, *blackmailing*, and even full-on *brainwashing*.

As a final note on *innuendo*, refer to *directed conversations* and *parroting*, and consider how circular thinking may be promoted in ways which are progressively manipulated in ways which a) are tiresome and frustrating, and b) which may lead to the *targeted individual's* values, etc., being progressively manipulated over time (refer to *brainwashing*).

**Intimidation:**

*Intimidation* is intentional behaviour that "would cause a person of ordinary sensibilities" fear of injury or harm. It is not necessary to prove that the behaviour was so violent as to cause terror or that the victim was actually frightened.58

Threat, Criminal threatening (or threatening behaviour) is the crime of intentionally or knowingly putting another person in fear of bodily injury. "Threat of harm generally involves a perception of injury...physical or mental damage...act or instance of injury, or a material and detriment or loss to a person."59 "A terroristic threat is a crime generally involving a threat to commit violence communicated with the intent to terrorize other."60

**Joint:**

A marijuana cigarette which, when smoked, alleviates symptoms of a diversity of illnesses and in healthy subjects generally improves mood and happiness. For medical purposes, the plant generally has significantly fewer negative side effects than almost all other (EXPENSIVE!) pharmaceutical options, and moreover is not addictive whereas many of the (EXPENSIVE!) pharmaceutical options are addictive. The theoretical lethal dose is physically impossible to actually consume, although there are legitimate (unproven) concerns that regular use, in particular among youth, may have some associations with suboptimal brain development.

The powers that be go to extreme lengths to discredit anyone who speaks of any positive associations with this plant, which would cost basically nothing to grow if it were not for draconian laws which may

---

lead to a permanent criminal record and/or prison for those who consume, grow, and/or distribute any related products.

K

Kangaroo court:

“A mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disregarded or perverted”\(^{61}\), especially without good (or any) evidence for the purported guilt of a crime or misdemeanour.

L

Laser microphone:

A laser microphone is a surveillance device that uses a laser beam to detect sound vibrations in a distant object. This technology can be used to eavesdrop with minimal chance of exposure.

The object is typically inside a room where a conversation is taking place, and can be anything that can vibrate (for example, a picture on a wall) in response to the pressure waves created by noises present in the room. The object preferably has a smooth surface. The laser beam is directed into the room through a window, reflects off the object and returns to a receiver (for example a solar panel) that converts the beam to an audio signal. The beam may also be bounced off the window itself. The minute differences in the distance traveled by the light as it reflects from the vibrating object are detected interferometrically. The interferometer converts the variations to intensity variations, and electronics are used to convert these variations to signals that can be converted back to sound.

However, countermeasures exist in the form of specialized light sensors that can detect the light from the beam. Rippled glass can be used as a defense, as it provides a poor surface for a laser microphone.

However, by simple extrapolation it should be easily possible to adapt this technique to a maser or focused microwave beam in order to fire the beam through ordinary building materials and bounce it off of internal metal objects such as heating ducts or filing cabinets. At this point the only acceptable defense is electromagnetically and acoustically isolating the interior of the structure.

It seems rather obvious that an analogue of the laser microphone has been devised to engage in remote neural monitoring, presumably something along the lines of sending a microwave beam and reading the resulting patterns to reconstruct certain basic features or neural activity, presumably calibrated to previously established brainwave patterns of the individual. However, it is possible that the remote neural monitoring is making use of other technologies that have yet to be uncovered on either a theoretical or officially documented basis.

Learned helplessness:

Learned helplessness is behaviour typical of an organism (human or animal) that has endured repeated painful or otherwise aversive stimuli which it was unable to escape or avoid. After such experience, the organism often fails to learn escape or avoidance in new situations where such behaviour would be effective. In other words, the organism seems to have learned that it is helpless in aversive situations, that it has lost control, and so it gives up trying. Such an organism is said to have acquired learned helplessness.62

Research has found that human reactions to a lack of control differ both between individuals and between situations. For example, learned helplessness sometimes remains specific to one situation but at other times generalizes across situations.63 64 65 Such variations are not explained by the original theory of learned helplessness, and an influential view is that such variations depend on an individual's attributional or explanatory style.66 According to this view, how someone interprets or explains adverse events affects their likelihood of acquiring learned helplessness and subsequent depression.67 For example, people with pessimistic explanatory style tend to see negative events as permanent ("it will never change"), personal ("it's my fault"), and pervasive ("I can't do anything correctly"), are likely to suffer from learned helplessness and depression.68 (So it should not be surprising that perpetrating

---

entities will try to ‘teach’ such outlooks.) Such people can often be helped to learn a more realistic explanatory style by cognitive behavioural therapy, a therapy heavily endorsed by Seligman.

In CIA interrogation manuals learned helplessness is characterized as ‘apathy’ which may result from prolonged use of coercive techniques which result in a "debility-dependency-dread" state in the subject, "If the debility-dependency-dread state is unduly prolonged, however, the arrestee may sink into a defensive apathy from which it is hard to arouse him."\(^69\)

**MALINTENT:**

MALINTENT is technological system that was developed by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security to be implemented for detection of potential ‘terrorist suspects’.\(^70\)

The system does various test scanning, for example including elevated blood pressure, rapid heart and breath rate, and non-verbal cues (so don’t you dare even TWITCH in a ‘suspicious’ way!). According to the scientists, the MALINTENT system uses a barrage of non-invasive sensors and imagers to detect and evaluate a person's facial expressions which are purported to gauge be planning to commit an attack or crime.

In its state of development in 2008, almost 10 years ago, it could already recognize seven primary emotions and emotional clues and will eventually have equipment which can analyze full body movement, an eye scanner and a pheromone-reader.\(^71\)

If the sensors pick up anything considered alarming, analysts can decide whether to subject a person to questioning.\(^72\)

So all they have to do is use ELF waves to affect your physiological variables and then subject you are ‘suspicious’ and in need of interrogation for no fucking reason? And this wasn’t front page news until the program was killed? What. The. Fuck?

**Masers:**

A maser, an acronym for "microwave amplification by stimulated emission of radiation", is a device that produces coherent electromagnetic waves through amplification by stimulated emission. The first maser was built by Charles H. Townes, James P. Gordon, and H. J. Zeiger at Columbia University in 1953.

---


\(^70\) Allison B (Sept 23, 2008). "Homeland security detects terrorist threats by reading your mind". Fox News.

\(^71\) Liz H (Sept 24, 2008). "The airport security scanner that can read your mind". UK Daily Mail.

\(^72\) "New airport screening 'could read minds'". IndianaExpress.com. September 24, 2008.
Contemporary masers can be designed to generate electromagnetic waves at not only microwave frequencies but also radio and infrared frequencies. For this reason Charles Townes advised replacing "microwave" with the word "molecular" as the first word in the acronym maser. The laser works by the same principle as the maser, and the maser was the forerunner of the laser, inspiring theoretical work by Townes and Arthur Leonard Schawlow that led to its invention in 1960.

In 2012, a research team from the National Physical Laboratory and Imperial College London developed a solid-state maser that operates at room temperature by using pentacene-doped p-Terphenyl as the amplifier medium.\(^\text{73}\)

---

**Mass murder** (refer to suggestion and synthetic telepathy):

Mass murder (sometimes interchangeable with ‘mass destruction’) is the act of murdering a number of people, typically simultaneously or over a relatively short period of time. The FBI defines mass murder as murdering four or more persons during an event with no ‘cooling-off period’ between the murders. A mass murder typically occurs in a single location where one or more people kill several others. Many acts of mass murder end with the perpetrator(s) dying by suicide or suicide by cop.

There are appear to be increasing levels of suspicion, in some circles, that rogue agents in the security state, whether via use of the variety of electronic weapons discussed in this glossary or via other means, are pushing targets towards situations where they may commit a mass murder. Among other things, this would serve to justify a) the historically unprecedented levels of surveillance, and b) increased budgetary appropriations to ‘keep the public safe’. In the context of neurowarfare, it should not appear delusional to wonder whether it may also serve experimental purposes to devise methods which may persuade people to go commit a murder (see mass murder), perhaps even against a suggested target.

**MEDUSA:**

MEDUSA (Mob Excess Deterrent Using Silent Audio) is a directed-energy non-lethal weapon designed by WaveBand Corporation in 2003-2004 for temporary personnel incapacitation. The weapon is based on...

---

the **microwave auditory effect** resulting in a strong sound sensation in the human head when it is subject to certain kinds of **pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiation**. The developers claimed that through the combination of pulse parameters and pulse power, it is possible to raise the auditory sensation to a ‘discomfort’ level, deterring personnel from entering a protected perimeter or, if necessary, temporarily incapacitating particular individuals.\(^{74}\)

**Megalomania (also, narcissistic personality disorder):**

**Megalomania** is a psychopathological condition characterized by fantasies of power, relevance, omnipotence, and by inflated self-esteem. Historically it was used as a name for **narcissistic personality disorder** prior to the latter’s first use by Heinz Kohut in 1968, and is used today as a non-clinical equivalent. It is not mentioned in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) or the International Statistical Classification of Diseases (ICD).

**Narcissistic personality disorder** (NPD) is a personality disorder, characterized by grandiosity, excessive need for admiration, and little empathy for others.\(^{75}\) People with the disorder often come across as arrogant, callous, and envious, tend to be exploitative in their interpersonal relationships, and can be excessively preoccupied with personal adequacy, power, prestige and vanity. As a personality disorder, those with NPD generally have poor insight into their condition and may not acknowledge that their behaviour causes problems for others or themselves.

It is classified as a cluster B personality disorder by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.

**Magnetophosphenes:**

**Magnetophosphenes** are flashes of light (phosphenes) that are seen when one is subjected to a changing magnetic field – among others, this is experienced by some people when in an MRI. This changing field\(^{76}\) causes current within the retina or visual cortex resulting in the illusion of light. The effect was first reported by d’Arsonval (1896).\(^{77}\)

---

\(^{74}\) Personally, I have never experienced this effect, and it is not clear to me how the volume of a **pulse-modulated electromagnetic** communication into the head from a distance would be delivered in a manner that would be perceived as so loud as to be unbearable. What is clear, however, is that the microwave auditory effect was sufficiently well understood over 10 years ago that a private company invested significant resources into pushes theoretical boundaries into another direction. Suffice to say, the microwave auditory effect exists (as though that were a matter of debate), and can be calibrated using pulse-modulated transmissions (as though that were a matter of debate).

\(^{75}\) Presumably it is well within the realm of possibility to **brainwash** someone into exhibiting such a disorder.

\(^{76}\) An explainer on the basic physics relating to this was extracted from [http://www.revisemri.com/questions/safety/magnetophosphenes on April 30, 2016.](http://www.revisemri.com/questions/safety/magnetophosphenes on April 30, 2016.)

“**Faraday's law of induction states that changing magnetic fields induce electrical currents in any conducting medium. Induced currents are proportional to the conductivity of the conducting medium and the rate of change of the magnetic field. Thus, time-varying magnetic fields (e.g. the gradients in MRI) will induce circulating eddy currents in conductors in the body and particularly in electrically excitable nerve and muscle cells. Sufficiently large gradient fields, therefore, may affect normal cell function. A well-established example of this is the sensation of**
A 1979 study roughly determined the threshold for the appearance of magnetophosphenes as a function of magnetic-field frequency in normal and colour-defective volunteers in addition to the site in the visual system where the magnetophosphenes arise. A similar study in 1980 reports on the specific thresholds and other variables of interest.

Then, formal study of this topic appears to have largely dropped off the radar, although an online search shows that at least some publicly available research has referenced these studies.

This should be of some interest with regards to many reports of unwanted images, etc. being introduced into the minds of targeted individuals. However, due to the likely difficulty of creating a targeted magnetic field compared to more common uses of electromagnetic radiation in triggering/influencing neural and/or physiological processes, I believe that many (not all) such experiences instead most often involve introducing an idea by suggestion, with some triggering of an image or visual ‘memory’ with most of the activity happening within the brain of the targeted individual, and rather limited informational input from the perpetrating entity. Also, this may involve progressively changing an image or visual ‘memory’ by power of suggestion and by introduction of progressively different images, images which need not be particularly detailed, but which need only contain sufficient detail in order to suggestively and progressively change the ‘memory’.

**Man-in-the-middle attack:**

In cryptography and computer security, a man-in-the-middle attack is an attack where the attacker secretly relays and possibly alters the communication between two parties who believe they are directly communicating with each other. One example of man-in-the-middle attacks is active eavesdropping, in which the attacker makes independent connections with the victims and relays messages between them to make them believe they are talking directly to each other over a private connection, when in fact the

---

It is not clear how to track down a copy of this original research, but it is frequently cited in related literature.


Lövsund P, Å Öberg, SEG Nilsson and T. Reuter (1980). "Magnetophosphenes: a quantitative analysis of thresholds", *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, 18(3), 326-334. An extract from the abstract demonstrates that key variables of interest were known by 1980, but the precise mechanisms were still unknown: "Low-frequency and transient magnetic fields of moderate flux densities are known to generate visual phenomena, so-called magnetophosphenes. In the present study, time-variable very low frequency (10–50 Hz) electromagnetic fields of moderate flux density (0–40 mT) were used to induce magnetophosphenes. The threshold values for these phosphenes were determined as a function of the frequency of the magnetic field both in normal subjects and colour defective ones. Maximum sensitivity occurred at a frequency of approximately 20–30 Hz, and with broadband light the threshold flux density was 10–12 mT. The threshold values were found to be dependent upon the intensity and the spectral distribution of the background light."
entire conversation is controlled by the attacker. The attacker must be able to intercept all relevant messages passing between the two victims and inject new ones.

For the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, this concept only needs minor refinement. For a rather ‘mundane’ example of how this may apply to a targeted individual, for consider how one of the first priorities is to socially isolate/castrate the targeted individual. Consider three (of many) types of applications that may be made via use of neuroweapons:

1) Having ‘cloned’ the voice of a contact, friend, family member, etc., the perpetrators may inject words and sentences into conversations (and it sounds exactly like them due to voice cloning), and you are none the wiser. For example, being ‘spoken to’ by someone you are interacting with, but their lips are not moving (in some cases this may also involve ventriloquism, but it should not be difficult to detect the difference if you are aware of this). What is really happening is synthetic telepathy being sent when one’s gaze shifts from their face for a split second. Among other things, the purpose of this is to one, sensitize you to stimuli in your environment, and two, to deceive you. It is a power game. The deception may involve things like money or sex, and they want you to act on the misleading information in order to compromise your social status.

2) Not altogether different from the above scenario, this may be applied to sow discord between people, along the principle of “divide and conquer them one friendship and family member at a time”. Say, the perpetrating entity is trying to drive you and a friend apart. There's some knowledge of pet peeves about each other. Your stalkers (remote or otherwise) play this in some way to add to the irritation, and you bring it up with the friend, which perhaps leads to some conflict. Say, via remote neural monitoring or more traditional techniques, they observe how both of you are planning to address the situation. BUT, before you have a chance to speak about it next time you meet up, you both face some directed conversations, perhaps unknown to you, or perhaps via manipulations via synthetic telepathy (with the support of a computer to process information and to help a human craft and some messages) to inflame the situation. The plan could orchestrated so that BOTH of you are walking into the next meeting with a plan to talk about it, each one of you having a plan that is maximally likely to make you hate each other, say things about each other that will drive you apart. Or, perhaps, both of you will give priming signals which lead BOTH of you to believe that the OTHER is respectively the perp. Result? You are both involved in organized stalking (electronic stalking by proxy, in other parlance) each other AND YOU DON’T EVEN KNOW IT! Such strategies could be applied to any type of relationship, whether personal, business, political, or other.

3) For someone who is involved in any sort of peaceful advocacy (including by elected politicians, other recognized leaders in civil society, etc.), this may involve the use of remote neural monitoring, in addition to monitoring of completed actual writing or publications, organizational strategies, etc., to pre-empt anything that the peaceful advocate may be putting into motion. If there is a plausible prospect for success, the perpetrating entity may strive to maintain the upper hand, by always knowing what’s coming, and releasing various media, rumours, etc., which are specifically calibrated to undermine the strategy that the peaceful activist is about to follow through on. This could take a greater diversity of forms depending on the specific plans of
the peaceful activist. And, in the future, similar applications of such strategies are liable to make use of remote neural monitoring for seeking out thought crimes, such as an interest to engage in peaceful advocacy or speaking truth to power, and, if it is not possible to coerce or brainwash the peaceful advocate out of their desired path of action and communication, the man-in-the-middle attack may be used to interfere with any effort to network and get projects off the ground (may also be applied to any sort of work/business opportunity of the targeted individual). This is liable to be accompanied by many means of organized stalking, especially by targeting of the prospective contacts to make the networking relationship feel costly and undesirable, in addition to spreading vicious rumours which, given knowledge of what the potential contacts know about the peaceful advocate, these rumours would be associated with that peaceful advocate, in an effort to destroy any such advocacy before networking and communications could help it to get off the ground – in short, severe political repression. One example I experienced was, in starting a project to end unpaid internships (with reasonable exceptions for non-profit activities or opportunities revolving around training which allowed a business to ‘try out’ a potential hire in non-profit centres) called the GivingYourselfAway Internship Project, there was a release of a political cartoon in the Globe and Mail which portrayed a mob of minimum wage workers asking an executive “do you want fries with that?” while placed under a guillotine – clearly, this was to be perceived as a threat to public safety due to the supposed potential for youth to rise up violently – however, around that time the Ontario government also enacted additional protections for interns which reduced the ease with which for-profit businesses can make use of interns for $0/hr labour. I still intend to implement the legal code violation monitoring mechanism proposed as the organizational principle of that project, but other issues seem rather more pressing at present.

Naturally, this may apply to much higher levels of organization, such as those who are organizing to expose the realities of neuroweapons, applications for political repression, etc., or even at the level of international security (most likely supplemented by a dedicated agent provocateur, whose devious strategies may take advantage of the man-in-the-middle attack strategy).

For strictly computer-related applications, many online forums discuss issues surrounding authentication, etc., but few of these are likely to be impervious to remote neural monitoring of targets.

Martha Mitchell Effect:

The Martha Mitchell Effect is named after a historical situation where Martha Mitchell, wife of the Attorney-General during the Nixon Administration, told her psychologist that top White House officials were engaged in illegal activities. Her psychologist labeled these claims as caused by mental illness. The Mitchell Effect can be defined as a situation where “improbable reports are erroneously assumed to be symptoms of mental illness [due to a] failure or inability to verify whether the events have actually taken place, no matter how improbable intuitively they might appear to the busy clinician.”

If you are a targeted individual, and have somehow been drawn into making claims about the present reality, and the psychiatrist tries to write you off as ‘mentally ill’, one should consider refusing to speak with them any further until they have gone and researched the Martha Mitchell Effect.

**Microwave auditory effect** (a.k.a. the Frey effect; refer to synthetic telepathy for applications):

The very surprising fact that microwave electromagnetic waves can be perceived as sound in the brain. Since we can see light, but not hear it, this is very surprising. The effect was first reported by persons working in the vicinity of radar transponders during World War II.

During the Cold War era, the American neuroscientist Allan H. Frey studied this phenomenon and was the first to publish information on the nature of the microwave auditory effect.\(^{81}\) Research into radar hearing by Dr. Allen Frey began in the 1960s and established that roughly three-tenths of a watt per square centimeter of skull surface is required to generate the clicks from which the voice is synthesized. Synthesis of voice from clicks is a primitive form of "digital audio." The image included in this entry shows the basic idea of how voice can be transmitted via microwave pulses.\(^{82}\)

For some years in the 1990s and early 2000s, the United States Army recognized "voice to skull" technology, which they abbreviated as "V2K," in their online thesaurus. For reasons unknown, the Army removed that thesaurus entry circa 2007. Some references to developing more advanced types of voice to skull can be found among patents, and rare United States Air Force references to the technology in the late 1990s forward.\(^{83}\)

The mechanics of this effect have been explained more precisely in the civilian sector as early as 2007.\(^{84}\)

---

\(^{81}\) Frey AH. (1962). “Human auditory system response to modulated electromagnetic energy”. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 17(4), 689-692. As noted by White E (2000) in relation to later research by Frey in 1974 which successfully used this technology to directly transmit a human voice into the mind of another: “A pattern seems to be repeated where research which could be used for mind control starts working, the Unclassified researchers lose funding, and in some cases their notes have been confiscated, and no further information on that research track is heard in the unclassified press.”


Microwave mind control (refer to brainwashing and electronic weapons):

The use of microwave (electronic) weapons, namely those which speak into your mind, engage in remote neural monitoring, and which may modulate moods and other behaviours and/or physiological responses (in conjunction with conditioning) to attempt to sequentially assert control over an individual, to the point that they are essentially brainwashed, or willing to submit to any or all directives they are given (which may involves brainwashing or use of conditioning for them to believe that they are ‘powerful’ or somehow doing the right thing when engaged in such psychological torture).

Microwave Doppler movement measuring device:

The Life Assessment Detector System (LADS) by VSE Corp., a microwave Doppler movement measuring device, can detect human body surface motion, including heartbeat and respiration, at ranges up to 135 feet (41.15 meters). The LADS consists of a sensor module, a neural network module, and a control/monitor module. The sensor module is an x-band (10 GHz) microwave transceiver with a nominal output power of 15 milliwatts, operating in the continuous wave (CW) mode. The neural network module device can store many complex patterns such as visual waveforms and speech templates, and can easily compare input patterns to previously ‘trained’ or stored patterns. The control/monitor module provides the LADS’ instrument controls, such as on-off switches, circuit breakers, and battery condition, as well as motion, heartbeat waveform, pulse strength, and pulse rate displays.85

Among other likely applications, such a device could be paired with essentially any type of conditioning or priming (for example, words, gestures and images, whether subliminal or supraliminal, or alternatively electromagnetic waves which would be essentially unobservable by the victim). Then, such triggers could be used for the purpose of eliciting fear, paranoia, etc., due to irregular heartbeats or a degree of apparently unexplained constriction of the lungs or breathing apparatus.

---

85 E White (2000), appendix TWR1. It should be highlighted that such advances already existed almost 20 years ago, and that obviously significant further advances in remote monitoring via electromagnetic, most concerningly for the purpose of remote neural monitoring, means have been achieved in the meantime.
For a rather nefarious type of application of being able to trigger a skipped heart beat or heart palpitation or increase in heart rate, the perpetrating entity, having **planted a false memory**, might then try to elicit this ‘memory’ at basically the same time as triggering the heart event, and then via **synthetic telepathy** to elicit a subvocalization where the target says “I really really did X”, claim “that’s what **guilt** feels like”, perhaps followed by an ELF wave geared to triggering a tingly sensation which is supposed to be some sort of elation or release, accompanied by “that’s what forgiveness feels like”.

Such strategies might be applied for a variety of reasons, not excluded to:

a) persuading the target that they are **guilty** of something and hence ‘deserved’ it;

b) persuading the target to publicly admit to something (which perhaps they never did) and therefore self-destruct for purposes of credibility, or social-political and/or career advancement;

c) establish a control over the individual, who may still be somewhat aware that it’s a carefully orchestrated set-up from the inside out (or alternatively that they actually did it but that it is not at all possible that any ‘good’ entity could possibly see **torture** and **brainwashing** as a means of redress or ‘justice’), but having gone through such an experience, may be persuaded to submit to the domination of the nefarious entities responsible for the **brainwashing**;

d) so that later, having massaged the meanings of various words and triggers away from their applied usage during the **psychological torture** and **brainwashing**, the newly ordained slave puppet may be persuaded to believe that it was all for their own good, perhaps even that the perpetrators of **psychological torture** and **brainwashing** against **civilians** through combinations of **neuroweapons** and ‘traditional’ **conditioning** and **brainwashing** techniques are agents of God, ‘angels’, or some such thing (and hence the term **Voice of God** for **synthetic telepathy**) – this will be specifically tailored according to whatever can be figured out about the specific beliefs, desires, insecurities, etc., of the **targeted individual**.

Other applications are by no means benign. The ability to trigger a physiological stress event can be a powerful tool for **brainwashing**, in the sense that whenever doing, saying, thinking, etc., the ‘wrong’ thing, the ‘stick’ can be applied – if the **targeted individual** is unaware of such possibilities, he/she may sequentially develop high discomfort associations with the activities/views/etc. that the perpetrating entity is trying to eliminate from the personality and consciousness of the **targeted individual**. Contrarily, a release from such stress may itself serve as a sort of ‘the absence of the stick is itself the carrot’ scenario, where when straying into thoughts/behaviours/etc. (perhaps introduced **suggestively**, or by driving a **subvocalization** of a ‘desired’ phrase or way of thinking or treating people) that the perpetrating entity wishes to **condition** and **brainwash** INTO the **targeted individual**, a positive ‘absence of the stick is a carrot’ association may be progressively ‘train’ the **targeted individual** into these new values, ways of thinking or behaviours.

Theoretically, such triggers may plausibly be paired with software which is programmed to ‘train’ itself to recognize certain brainwave patterns, for the purpose of mimicking these patterns to additionally influence heart and breathing related phenomena, or at the very least, to elicit the ‘desired’ stress/fear/comfort/etc. response.

**Millimeter waves (and weapons):**
The millimeter-wave region of the electromagnetic spectrum is usually considered to be the range of wavelengths from 10 millimeters (0.4 inches) to 1 millimeter (0.04 inches).

**Millimeter waves** travel solely by line-of-sight, and are blocked by building walls and attenuated by foliage. The high free space loss and atmospheric absorption limits propagation to a few kilometers. Thus they are useful for densely packed communications networks such as personal area networks that improve spectrum utilization through frequency reuse.

One current application is the Active Denial System (ADS), **directed energy weapon** developed by the U.S. military, designed for area denial, perimeter security and crowd control. The ADS works by firing a high-powered beam of 95 GHz waves at a target, which corresponds to a wavelength of 3.2 mm. The ADS millimeter wave energy works on a similar principle as a microwave oven, exciting the water and fat molecules in the skin, and instantly heating them via dielectric heating. One significant difference is that a microwave oven uses the much lower frequency (and longer wavelength) of 2.45 GHz. The short millimeter waves used in ADS only penetrate the top layers of skin, with most of the energy being absorbed within 0.4 mm (1/64"), whereas microwaves will penetrate into human tissue about 17 mm (0.67").

The ADS's repel effect in humans occurs at slightly higher than 44 °C (111 °F), though first-degree burns occur at about 51 °C (124 °F), and second-degree burns occur at about 58 °C (136 °F). Most human test subjects reached their pain threshold within 3 seconds, and none could endure more than 5 seconds.

---

Mimicking harassment (parroting):

Mimicking harassment (parroting) is one of the most relied upon methods and tactics being used in organized stalking and electronic harassment. It basically amounts to continuously copying the movements, words, tones, rhythms, etc. of the targeted individual, and may involve a substantial degree of directed conversation in addition to the parroting itself.

---

For a less easily believed types of **mimicking harassment (parroting)**, and this is especially likely to apply to **targeted individuals** who are particularly outspoken on certain issues, and especially if they are able to be outspoken in a particularly effective manner which demolishes easily anticipated counterarguments, **parroting** may be done by the media or individuals on TV (which either implies that much media is ‘in on it’, or perhaps in some cases that **mind influencing technologies and strategies** are being used to **suggest** certain content relating to the **targeted individual**). This could involve more easily believable situations such as mining the life, writings, etc. or the **targeted individual** to create content in some media outlet that you are known to regularly consume. Perhaps less easily believed, are instances where (illegal) **remote neural monitoring** is used to (illegally) directly relay very recent thoughts (sometimes almost immediate) to the live media outlet the **targeted individual** is currently watching or listening to – for example, you are listening to the radio and the radio announcer is **parroting** back what you have just **subvocalized**, or what you are reading. Non-verbal cues may also appear in such media.

Almost everyone that the **targeted individual** is believed to be in contact with (or in more extreme cases extending to anyone they know in any capacity at all) may be contacted, then coerced or intimidated into participating into this criminal relaying and repeating activity against the target and victim, not realizing that they are involving themselves in a criminal **conspiracy** – a similar effect may be achieved by spreading various **slandering** about the **targeted individual**, in order to turn their contacts against them: whether or not they truly believe the slander, in addition to the **intimidation**, etc., they may fear repercussions for failing to join in on the criminal **harassment**. A **parrot** is asked, coerced, fooled, bribed or intimidated into participating.

This may have a few types of effects, which should not be considered as mutually exclusive, and the following is certainly not an exhaustive description of the psychological effects it may have. a) **Mimicking harassment** may wear down the **targeted individual** psychologically by driving them crazy with extreme irritation and destroying their ability to concentrate on just about anything (just about anyone who had siblings of a similar age can probably attest to experiencing this at a relatively young age). b) If applied by people experienced in such nefarious means of **psychological torture**, it may eventually produce an involuntary movement (see **evoked action**) on the part of the **targeted individual** at a mere partial gesture, thereby leading to a loss of sense of control on the part of the **targeted individual**, and perhaps a sense of ‘power’ on the part of the lost souls who have so little control over themselves that they must seek control over others to feel like that have any ‘power’. c) Similar to b, **mimicking harassment** may be used in conjunction with motion- or sound-based **conditionings** (or other **conditionings**) to produce a diversity of physiological and/or mental responses (including triggering a planted ‘memory’) at a mere gesture.

**Mind control** (mind influencing technologies and strategies):

See **brainwashing**. The term ‘mind influence’ or ‘**mind influencing technologies and strategies**’ should be preferred because ‘**mind control**’ has a rather ominous ring which attributes more power and **intimidation** than is warranted. In the sense that it might be asserted as ‘**mind control**’, this is rather more likely to involve **conditioned** triggers that the target is unaware of, or which, due to eliciting
positive/negative associations (or actual conditioned triggers), may persuade the victim to feel like they must do things that they might not otherwise do.

Despite my preference to refer to this as ‘mind influencing technologies and strategies’ (which is a less intimidating framing) and to frame this rather more so under brainwashing than ‘mind control’, it may nevertheless be useful to outline some basic technologies and strategies as play:

- Influence (including by suggestion, remotely or otherwise) of thoughts and thought patterns; feelings and their expression; influence of behaviour.
- **Organized stalking** (street theater) that ‘anchors’ (see priming) feelings to certain words, and sometimes colours, certain types of people or objects. Creating the illusion of ‘guilt’.
- ‘Hypnotic’ trigger words that result in (or influence) specific sensations, feelings or behaviours.
- Monitoring what the target is paying attention to, to influence where to look, what to think about, in what terms, etc..
- Real-time mind reading (especially pernicious before the targeted individual becomes aware of it); erasing thoughts and memories; short-term memory problems.
- **Planting a false memory**, and primed/conditioned ‘synthetic’ associations (at times supported by a computer programmed to imbue the association according to the specific context, applied via synthetic telepathy).
- Induced dreams or manipulation of dreams.

This description is by no means exhaustive. However, in the interests of diverting attention away from the rather intimidating notion of mind CONTROL, other related concepts are defined in other entries in this glossary.

**MKULTRA:**

One of the surest ways to find yourself under character assassination from some anonymous individuals as ‘mentally ill’, ‘deluded’, or otherwise unable to keep a grasp on reality, is to make any reference whatsoever to the (illegal) MKULTRA experiments performed by the CIA from the 1950s to 1970s (and thereafter ‘disbanded’, i.e., with virtual certainty continued under some other unknown name or resource allocation). The main outline and objectives of the program are as follows.

Project MKULTRA—sometimes referred to as the CIA’s mind control program—was the code name given to an illegal program of non-consensual human experimentation, designed and undertaken by the United States Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). Experiments on humans were intended to identify and develop drugs and procedures to be used in interrogations and torture, in order to weaken the individual to force confessions through mind control. Organized through the Scientific Intelligence Division of the CIA, the project coordinated with the Special Operations Division of the U.S. Army's Chemical Corps. The program began in the early 1950s, was officially sanctioned in 1953, was reduced

in scope in 1964, further curtailed in 1967 and officially halted in 1973. The program engaged in many illegal activities, including the use of unwitting U.S. and Canadian citizens as its test subjects, which led to controversy regarding its legitimacy. MKULTRA used numerous methodologies to manipulate people's mental states and alter brain functions, including the surreptitious administration of drugs (especially LSD) and other chemicals, hypnosis, sensory deprivation, isolation, verbal and sexual abuse, as well as various forms of torture.

The scope of Project MKULTRA was broad, with research undertaken at 80 institutions, including 44 colleges and universities, as well as hospitals, prisons, and pharmaceutical companies. The CIA operated through these institutions using front organizations, although sometimes top officials at these institutions were aware of the CIA's involvement. As the US Supreme Court later noted, MKULTRA was:

concerned with "the research and development of chemical, biological, and radiological materials capable of employment in clandestine operations to control human behaviour". The program consisted of some 149 subprojects which the Agency contracted out to various universities, research foundations, and similar institutions. At least 80 institutions and 185 private researchers participated. Because the Agency funded MKULTRA indirectly, many of the participating individuals were unaware that they were dealing with the Agency.

---

One 1955 MKULTRA document gives an indication of the size and range of the effort; this document refers to the study of an assortment of mind-altering substances described as follows:96

1. Substances which will promote illogical thinking and impulsiveness to the point where the recipient would be discredited in public.97
2. Substances which increase the efficiency of mentation and perception.
3. Materials which will cause the victim to age faster/slower in maturity.98
4. Materials which will promote the intoxicating effect of alcohol.
5. Materials which will produce the signs and symptoms of recognized diseases in a reversible way so that they may be used for malingering, etc.99
6. Materials which will cause temporary/permanent brain damage and loss of memory.
7. Substances which will enhance the ability of individuals to withstand privation, torture and coercion during interrogation and so-called ‘brainwashing’.100
8. Materials and physical methods which will produce amnesia for events preceding and during their use.100
9. Physical methods of producing shock and confusion over extended periods of time and capable of surreptitious use.
10. Substances which produce physical disablement such as paralysis of the legs, acute anemia, etc.
11. Substances which will produce a chemical that can cause blisters.
12. Substances which alter personality structure in such a way that the tendency of the recipient to become dependent upon another person is enhanced.101
13. A material which will cause mental confusion of such a type that the individual under its influence will find it difficult to maintain a fabrication under questioning.101
14. Substances which will lower the ambition and general working efficiency of men when administered in undetectable amounts.
15. Substances which promote weakness or distortion of the eyesight or hearing faculties, preferably without permanent effects.
16. A knockout pill which can surreptitiously be administered in drinks, food, cigarettes, as an aerosol, etc., which will be safe to use, provide a maximum of amnesia, and be suitable for use by agent types on an ad hoc basis.

97 Being rather difficult to imagine that a foreign intelligence service would produce media which discredited its own agents, it seems rather obvious, this being the first identified objective, that the project was rather more geared towards domestic operations targeting domestic political suppression, and not legitimate “national security” objectives in the context of a country which stands for freedom and democracy.
98 I.e., to reduce the lifespan of opponents and to increase the lifespan of people who they support.
99 Presumably this could be used to avoid agents being called for other duties, for example in situations where legitimate national security objectives were at play.
100 Presumably to cover up illegal experimentation or interrogation methods.
101 Since presumably any foreign agent would be well attuned to such things, it seems rather obvious that this would be used against civilians or domestic agents, for example to “recruit” them into activities they may not otherwise have wanted to do, for them to follow orders they would not otherwise have followed, and/or to pay them significantly less (or no) money than they may otherwise have demanded for such services.
17. A material which can be surreptitiously administered by the above routes and which in very small amounts will make it impossible for a person to perform physical activity.

But it never happened. Just like electronic harassment doesn’t exist, nor does any ongoing non-consensual human experimentation, nor do pulse-modulated communications or brainwave stimulations (all of which documented elsewhere in this glossary).

After Congress learned of the program, most records were destroyed. However, one batch of documents was missed, enabling Congressional oversight to shut down the program (it is generally believed to have continued elsewhere in the agency). These remaining 20,000 pages are available to the public. \(^{102}\)

Other known experiments which included ‘mind control’ elements include Project CHATTER, Project BLUEBIRD, Project ARTICHOKE, Project MKULTRA, Project MKSEARCH, Project SLAMMER and Project MKNAOMI, in addition to discrediting tactics applied as a part of Project MKDELTA.

**N**

**‘Five Eyes’ intelligence alliance:**

The ‘Five Eyes’ intelligence alliance originates from UKUSA—a secret wartime treaty that says member nations can spy on each others’ population without warrants or limits, and that this can be shared with the spied-on country’s SIGINT agency. It is a multilateral agreement for cooperation in signals intelligence between Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom and the United States. The alliance of intelligence operations is also known as the Five Eyes. \(^{103}\)

Emerging from an informal agreement related to the 1941 Atlantic Charter, the secret treaty was renewed with the passage of the 1943 BRUSA Agreement, before being officially enacted on March 5, 1946 by the United Kingdom and the United States. In the following years, it was extended to encompass Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Other countries, known as ‘third parties’, such as Germany, the Philippines, Italy, Turkey and several Nordic countries also joined the UKUSA community. \(^{104}\)

The result is that laws which constrain spying on own citizens without due process (a warrant) are essentially void, because other partners can collect this information and exchange it. For much of the Cold War, not even elected officials were aware of this secret treaty which violates numerous

---

\(^{102}\) One download location for these documents is at [http://www.wanttoknow.info/mind_control/cia_mind_control_documents_orig/](http://www.wanttoknow.info/mind_control/cia_mind_control_documents_orig/). These documents may of historical interest, but technologies have advanced significantly in the meantime, so this information is dated.


constitutionally entrenched principles in most parties to the treaty (subject to judicial interpretation, which does not seem to be forthcoming), and its text was only released to the public in 2010\textsuperscript{105}.

In recent years, this has been accompanied by significant erosions of compartmentalization of information – previously, very few agencies in any given country were able to share information with other agencies without a specific legal order. Increasingly, state agencies are encouraged to freely share information in the absence of due process or explanations of reasons, with essentially no protections for risk of data leakages to diverse criminal elements, and implying massive data sharing between often unaccountable security agencies of these states, which now have access to treasure troves of data previously forbidden to them.

This following table presents the main security agencies of the \textbf{Five Eyes} intelligence alliance. It would be naïve to think that this list is exhaustive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>State police (enforce domestic laws)</th>
<th>Domestic spying (domestic threats, political repression) – often linked with state police or international spying</th>
<th>International spying (supposedly directed to non-civilian targets)</th>
<th>Air force and aerospace (which includes satellite technologies)</th>
<th>Navy (significant radar/sonar expertise)</th>
<th>Army (land-based operations)</th>
<th>Military intelligence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>ASIS; RAAF; RAN</td>
<td>Australian Army</td>
<td>AUSTINT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>RCMP</td>
<td>CSE (adjacent to CSIS)\textsuperscript{106}</td>
<td>CSIS, CSE</td>
<td>RCAF; RCN</td>
<td>Canadian Army; Intelligence branch (CF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>NZP</td>
<td>SIS; RNZAF; RNZN</td>
<td>NZ Army</td>
<td>NZ Intelligence Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>National Crime Agency</td>
<td>MI5; MI6; GCHQ; JTRIG\textsuperscript{108}</td>
<td>RAF</td>
<td>Royal Navy; British Army</td>
<td>DMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>FBI; NSA (formally, not CIA); DHSI Citizen Watch; Infraguard</td>
<td>NSA; CIA; US Air Force; NASA; NRO (satellites); DRAPA (research); NOAA (ocean and)</td>
<td>US Navy; US Army</td>
<td>NSA; CIA; Military Intelligence Corps; DIA; US Air Force (satellites)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{105} The treaty, in its present form, is presently downloadable from \url{http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukusa}.

\textsuperscript{106} In 2013, the former government announced a $1.2 billion new headquarters for the agency, the most expensive government building to be constructed in Canadian history: "Inside Canada's top-secret billion-dollar spy palace", Oct 8, 2013, CBC, Accessed from \url{http://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/inside-canada-s-top-secret-billion-dollar-spy-palace-1.1930322} on May 2, 2016.

\textsuperscript{107} On Jan 29, 2016, RT reported that Canada stopped sharing classified information after discovering that the NSA had shared personal details of Canadians: “Canada halts intelligence sharing with Five Eyes after ‘accidentally’ sending over Canadians’ data”, accessed from \url{https://www.rt.com/news/330530-canada-halts-intelligence-sharing-nsa/} on May 1, 2016.

\textsuperscript{108} Some independent online outlets identify this unit as being responsible for a number of highly questionable tactics used to discredit/slander/set-up individuals of certain political groups and influence public opinion in general.
The main reason this entry is included it to draw attention to the potential for a) information sharing, in addition to b) capacity in relation to satellite activities and c) EM transmissions other than radio, at a distance, which plausibly explain reports of FBI drones circling around certain locations without clear explanations for why or what they are doing.\textsuperscript{109} Also, this should draw attention to the degradation of information compartmentalization, which can be assumed to be a) a significant intelligence threat and b) a threat to the privacy and security of the public.

**Noise campaign:**

A noise campaign is an orchestrated effort to produce stress in a victim through prolonged exposure to significant noise levels. A noise campaign can range from multiple neighbours routinely playing loud music, individual stalkers with air-horns or fireworks, or organized ‘repair work’ that involves a high level of noise.

**Non-consensual human experimentation** (also known as involuntary human experimentation – specifically this this context, Involuntary mind control experimentees or Electromagnetic mind-control experiments):

Non-consensual human experimentation relates to are individuals who are the subject of study for scientific purposes, including military and social control purposes, sometimes without their knowledge, but most certainly without their consent. Historically, non-consensual human experimentation has included individuals who belong to a politically and socially disenfranchised class which renders them unable to have their complaints heard, or which leads nefarious types to believe that it is OK to experiment upon these ‘lesser’ people without their permission. For example, prisoners, those confined to a mental health facility perhaps for failing to be sufficiently in line with mainstream societal expectations, those who are deemed mentally inferior for any other reason, those who belong to disenfranchised groups (such as native Indians or African Americans, historically, in the USA). Probably the most well-known example of non-consensual human experimentation in a Western country involves the MKULTRA project of the CIA, which involved experiments on vulnerable individuals to refine drugs, other technologies, and abusive means of persuasion for the purpose of social control and brainwashing (or, if you take their word at face value, to develop better methods for CIA agents to resist interrogation).

While the full scope of relevance for non-consensual human experimentation certainly goes well beyond this, for present purposes, this largely refers to experimentation of a diversity of electronic weapons on vulnerable (and/or outspoken) individuals who are unlikely to be able to have their experienced taken as credible. Also, clearly the era of ‘mere’ experimentation has passed, these tools having been made operational for the purposes of suppressing the speech (and even thought!) of those

\textsuperscript{109} The Electronic Frontiers Foundation has reported on this and assembled a visual database of many related observations. However, I have not re-located the source, so scepticism is recommended.
who are critical of certain political issues, most especially relating to various perceived corruptions, concerns about the rise of the spy state, tyranny in general, and various forms of unnecessary social control which are likely to have their strongest effects against individuals and groups deemed ‘inferior’ by certain segments of society, some of which have been well implanted within the security state since at least WWII (i.e., pro-eugenics anti-socialists of the white supremacist version, a.k.a., Nazis, at least 1,000 of which were imbedded in the CIA at least up to the end of the Cold War). We are well beyond experimentation and have passed well into the stage of implementation, which appears to primarily revolve around a conspiracy to implement the most extreme form of social control and the most fundamental attack on freedom at the very level of cognitive liberty itself (where a mere thought crime may make an individual a primary target for attacks via electronic weapons), that humanity has ever faced. Electronic weapons are with 100% certainty in use, including against civilians of the countries that employ them. However, it appears that non-consensual human experimentation continues, against groups which are deemed ‘inferior’ or in any case sufficiently vulnerable to have difficulties having their experiences made public, in addition to politically targeted individuals who can be presumed to be both victims of state terrorism committed by rogue actors within the state and subjects of non-consensual human experimentation at the same time.

In the USA, non-consensual human experimentation has lax safeguards as a part of legislation referred to as The Common Rule, which is the Federal Policy regarding human subjects protection. It is written with several loopholes for the allowance of non-consensual human experimentation, mostly by intelligence agencies.

**Neural oscillation** (‘brain waves’):

This entry is not important for a non-specialist in understanding the current situation of neurowarfare, but it may be of interest as an introduction to some of the ways in which neuroweapons can influence cognition and neural activities.

Neural oscillation is rhythmic or repetitive neural activity in the central nervous system. Neural tissue can generate oscillatory activity in many ways, driven either by mechanisms within individual neurons or by interactions between neurons. In individual neurons, oscillations can appear either as oscillations in membrane potential or as rhythmic patterns of action potentials, which then produce oscillatory activation of post-synaptic neurons. At the level of neural ensembles, synchronized activity of large numbers of neurons can give rise to macroscopic oscillations, which can be observed in the electroencephalogram (EEG) (or remote neural monitoring technologies, which are not yet demonstrated in a scientific manner in the civilian sector). Oscillatory activity in groups of neurons

---


111 “Dr. John Hall’s letter”, accessed from [http://www.freedomsos.com/blog/dr-john-halls-letter](http://www.freedomsos.com/blog/dr-john-halls-letter) on May 7, 2016. Please keep in mind that I have not tracked down the specific loopholes referred to. Having located the specific loopholes, the existence of such loopholes could hardly be considered as “circumstantial evidence” – rather, it would take a stunning amount of naivety to supposed that such loopholes exist but are not abused.
generally arises from feedback connections between the neurons that result in the synchronization of their firing patterns. The interaction between neurons can give rise to oscillations at a different frequency than the firing frequency of individual neurons. A well-known example of macroscopic neural oscillations is alpha activity.

Neural oscillation can also arise from interactions between different brain areas. Time delays play an important role here. Because all brain areas are bidirectionally coupled, these connections between brain areas form feedback loops. Positive feedback loops tend to cause oscillatory activity which frequency is inversely related to the delay time. An example of such a feedback loop is the connections between the thalamus and cortex. This thalamocortical network is able to generate oscillatory activity known as recurrent thalamo-cortical resonance. The thalamocortical network plays an important role in the generation of alpha activity.

**Neurotechnological warfare (a.k.a. Psychotronic warfare, Neurowarfare):**

This term is largely related to the additional discussion relating to classical psychological warfare.

**Neurotechnological warfare** is said to have originated from extensive research programmes and numerous conferences into the field during the 1970s and 1980s sparked Cold War fears of mind control and other neurotechnological weaponry (neuroweapons) being developed by Eastern Bloc countries which led to popularisation of the term in the West. (Of course, I find it extremely suspicious/unlikely to think that the losers of the Cold War were at all times ahead in such technologies, and the winners of the Cold War always passive observers who were behind in these technologies.)

**Neurotechnological warfare** or psi warfare is the use of electronic machines to send beams of energy or frequencies directly to people’s brains and bodies through the air. This is the new stealth warfare. It is inexpensive and simple, and often hard to detect and defeat. The same method is used, at times, to brainwash people to believe certain information and not other information by making subtle suggestions to them via electronic beams or frequencies.

---


115 Wilson L. (2014). Psychotronic warfare and radionics. The Centre for Development. Accessed from http://www.drlwilson.com/articles/PSYCHOTRONIC.htm on April 24, 2016. (Note, this site also serves as a promotional outlet for professional services being marketed and should be considered part advertising, part information.)
Neuroweapons:

Any weapon that targets the nervous system or brain functioning of a target, whether as a matter of responses triggered in conjunction with longer-term pre-established conditionings, or as a matter of more generalizable effects which most or all targeted individuals are likely to be vulnerable to. While traditionally these may have involved things like drugs or neurotoxins involved in chemical warfare, in the present day, the nature of the issue largely revolves around the use of electromagnetic weapons which serve as neuroweapons by use of electromagnetic waves to interfere with (or mimic) the natural roles of brainwaves in guiding communication and information processing in the brain,116 whether used against enemies of a state or for the purpose of social control and/or political repression within a friendly/unfriendly/domestic state.

Nuremberg Code (also refer to Operation Paperclip):

In response to the atrocities of WWII, the Nuremberg Code was established in 1946 to govern medical research in order to avoid a repeat of the experimentation conducted by Nazi doctors.

Under the principles of the Nuremberg Treaties, no person may be experimented upon for any reason, without their consent, and before they give their consent, they must have full knowledge of the length

116 WR Adey (Dec. 1979), "Neurophysiological effects of radiofrequency and microwave radiation", Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine, 55(11), 1079–1093. Among other things, this article shows that these processes were already roughly understood nearly 40 years ago. Also very interesting, is that it demonstrates that the use of pulse modulations of high frequency electromagnetic waves (450 MHz) to effectively transmit low level frequencies (e.g., 1-30 Hz) which influence brain waves and therefore communications and other processes in the brain, has been a known entity for nearly 40 years.

No doubt, application for new technologies involved in influencing brain processes in targeted individuals or populations have advanced to the point of being essentially unbelievable to those who are unaware of such knowledge and related offensive neuroweapons. An online search of this article in conjunction with some other terms in this glossary will lead you to a number of other related articles demonstrating that such knowledge has been around quite some time (presumably before such research was co-opted, presumably with significant optionality in the choice, into this service of those who are charged to keep the nation and the public safe from all significant threats.)
of the experiment, the outcomes, any potential dangers, any potential ill effects on health, it must be fully understand and explained, and they must give individual consent. More explicitly, the ten points of the Nuremberg Code are:

1) Required is the voluntary, well-informed, understanding consent of the human subject in a full legal capacity.
2) The experiment should aim at positive results for society that cannot be procured in some other way.
3) It should be based on previous knowledge (like, an expectation derived from animal experiments) that justifies the experiment.
4) The experiment should be set up in a way that avoids unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injuries.
5) It should not be conducted when there is any reason to believe that it implies a risk of death or disabling injury.
6) The risks of the experiment should be in proportion to (that is, not exceed) the expected humanitarian benefits.
7) Preparations and facilities must be provided that adequately protect the subjects against the experiment’s risks.
8) The staff who conduct or take part in the experiment must be fully trained and scientifically qualified.
9) The human subjects must be free to immediately quit the experiment at any point when they feel physically or mentally unable to go on.
10) Likewise, the medical staff must stop the experiment at any point when they observe that continuation would be dangerous.

Oh:

As in, “Oh shit”, this is fucking real.

Operation Paperclip:

Operation Paperclip (1949–1990) was the Office of Strategic Services (OSS) program in which more than 1,500 German scientists, engineers, and technicians were brought to the United States from Nazi Germany and other countries for employment in the aftermath of World War II. It was conducted by the Joint Intelligence Objectives Agency (JIOA) and in the context of the burgeoning Cold War. One purpose of Operation Paperclip was to deny German scientific expertise and knowledge to the Soviet

Union\textsuperscript{118} and the United Kingdom,\textsuperscript{119} as well as to inhibit post-war Germany from redeveloping its military research capabilities. The Soviet Union had competing extraction programs known as ‘trophy brigades’ and Operation Osoaviakhim.\textsuperscript{120}

In such a historical context, there does appear to be some legitimacy in bringing so many Nazi scientists into the CIA. However, it seems rather obvious that, in addition to being allowed to continue their research with very little ethical limitations for example in mind control, they left their own mark on the organization. Protections against infiltration by these Nazi researchers appear to have been few or none. They were offered new identities and well paid work in laboratories for the American government, under the additional condition that they hand over all their research performed during Nazi rule. This became the basis of the mind control research, with drugs, microwaves (electromagnetic waves), etc. This Nazi-era research formed the basis of ‘too much’ of the research illegally performed by the CIA (refer to \textit{MKULTRA}).

(A conspiracy theorist might even wonder if it gets more devious than that, perhaps that these leading scientific figures may have always had connections in the USA, and failing a Nazi victory in Europe and/or the broader world, already believed themselves to have assurances to continue with their devious plans. Now THAT would be a conspiracy!)

\textbf{P}

\textbf{P300 (neuroscience)}:

The P300 (P3) wave is an event related potential (ERP) component elicited in the process of decision making. It is considered to be an endogenous potential, as its occurrence links not to the physical attributes of a stimulus, but to a person's reaction to it. More specifically, the P300 is thought to reflect processes involved in stimulus evaluation or categorization. It is usually elicited using the oddball paradigm, in which low-probability target items are mixed with high-probability non-target (or "standard") items.

\textsuperscript{121}When recorded by electroencephalography (EEG), it surfaces as a positive deflection in voltage with a latency (delay between stimulus and response) of roughly 250 to 500 ms.\textsuperscript{122} The signal is typically measured most strongly by the electrodes covering the parietal lobe. The presence, magnitude, topography and timing

\textsuperscript{118}Ibid.

\textsuperscript{119}Johnson B (1978). The Secret War, p184. Pen an\textsuperscript{d} Sword.


\textsuperscript{121}This image appears as Figure 4 (P300 latency and amplitude trajectories across the lifespan as obtained from the cross-sectional dataset) in van Dinteren R, M Arns, MLA Jongsma and RPC. Kessels (Feb 2014), “P300 Development across the Lifespan: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis”, \textit{PLoS ONE}, 9(2): e87347. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087347.

of this signal are often used as metrics of cognitive function in decision making processes. While the neural substrates of this ERP component still remain hazy, the reproducibility and ubiquity of this signal makes it a common choice for psychological tests in both the clinic and laboratory.

Early observations of the P300 (more specifically, the component that would later be named the P3b) were reported in the mid-1960s. In 1964, researchers Chapman and Bragdon (1964) found that ERP responses to visual stimuli differed depending on whether the stimuli had meaning or not. They showed subjects two kinds of visual stimuli: numbers and flashes of light. Subjects viewed these stimuli one at a time in a sequence. For every two numbers, the subjects were required to make simple decisions, such as telling which of the two numbers was numerically smaller or larger, which came first or second in the sequence, or whether they were equal. When examining evoked potentials to these stimuli (i.e., ERPs), Chapman and Bragdon found that both the numbers and the flashes elicited the expected sensory responses (e.g., visual N1 components), and that the amplitude of these responses varied in an expected fashion with the intensity of the stimuli. They also found that the ERP responses to the numbers, but not to the light flashes, contained a large positivity that peaked around 300 ms after the stimulus appeared. Chapman and Bragdon speculated that this differential response to the numbers, which came to be known as the P300 response, resulted from the fact that the numbers were meaningful to the participants, based on the task that they were asked to perform. (This paragraph should suffice to demonstrate that these principles have been understood and demonstrated since at least 50 years ago.)

Since the mid-1980s, one of the most discussed uses of ERPs such as the P300 is related to lie detection. In a proposed "guilty knowledge test" (Farwell LA, SS Smith (Jan 2001). "Using brain MERMER testing to detect knowledge despite efforts to conceal", Journal of Forensic Sciences, 46(1), 135-143), a subject is interrogated via the oddball paradigm much as they would be in a typical lie-detector situation. (However, to my knowledge this only reveals a desire to hide something, which for practical purposes may involve disallowing a planted memory to be elicited as ‘evidence’. I have personally experienced this no less than several hundred thousand times, in an effort which simultaneously strives to reinforce the planted memory. The method is inherently bunk as a result.) This practice has recently enjoyed increased legal permissibility while conventional polygraphy has seen its use diminish, in part owing to the unconscious and uncontrollable aspects of the P300. The technique relies on reproducible elicitation of the P300 wave, central to the idea of a Memory and Encoding Related Multifaceted Electroencephalographic Response (MERMER) developed by Dr. Lawrence Farwell.

Applications in brain-computer interfacing (BCI) have also been proposed. The P300 has a number of desirable qualities that aid in implementation of such systems. First, the waveform is

---

consistently detectable and is elicited in response to precise stimuli. The P300 waveform can also be evoked in nearly all subjects with little variation in measurement techniques, which may help simplify interface designs and permit greater usability. The speed at which an interface is able to operate depends on how detectable the signal is despite “noise.” One negative characteristic of the P300 is that the amplitude of the waveform requires averaging of multiple recordings to isolate the signal. This and other post-recording processing steps determine the overall speed of an interface.

I highlight that this entry demonstrates civilian sector advances in this and related technologies, and does not provide information on the state-of-the-art in purportedly ‘national security’ uses which remain classified. It should be rather clear that related principles and technologies are being applied for brainwashing and social control, including thought crime and pre-crime, rather more so than any sort of ‘legitimate’ applications as described above.

**Peace:**

*Peace* occurs between heterogeneous social groups and is characterized by a lack of conflict and freedom from fear of (psychological or physical) violence. Commonly understood as the absence of hostility, *peace* often involves compromise, and therefore is initiated with thoughtful listening and communication to enhance and create mutual understanding.

*Peace* in the broader socio-political sense can be distinguished from ‘inner peace’. Inner *peace* (or *peace* of mind) refers to a state of being mentally and spiritually at *peace*, with enough knowledge and understanding to keep oneself strong in the face of discord or stress. Being ‘at *peace*’ is considered by many to be healthy homeostasis and the opposite of being stressed or anxious. *Peace* of mind is generally associated with bliss and happiness.

**Pedophilia:**

*Pedophilia* is a psychiatric disorder in which an adult or older adolescent experiences a primary or exclusive sexual attraction to prepubescent children. Although girls typically begin the process of puberty at age 10 or 11, and boys at age 11 or 12, criteria for *pedophilia* extend the cut-off point for prepubescence to age 13. A person who is diagnosed with *pedophilia* must be at least 16 years old, but adolescents must be at least five years older than the prepubescent child for the attraction to be diagnosed as *pedophilia*.

---

128 Donchin et al. (Jun 2000).
130 World Health Organization/ICD-10 (1993), "The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders Diagnostic criteria for research World", section F65.4.
In the present context of psychological warfare including neurowarfare, this is relevant due to the potential for slander, slander geared towards blackmail, and a variety of situations relating to conditioning and brainwashing geared towards establishing control over a targeted individual, most especially with a view to blackmail. The perpetrating entity may try to sequentially condition a sexual interest from normal and healthy sexual attractions towards increasingly young persons. Then, try to drive a subvocalization of this interest to extract a ‘confession’. By trying to condition a response of feelings, images and/or project images of sexual organs at any time the targeted individual is physically aligned with or otherwise aware of increasingly young children, in combination with driven subvocalizations, use of mimicking harassment, conditioned triggers and/or projected sexually-oriented imaging to drive an evoked action, etc., the targeted individual may eventually come to believe that they are in fact a pedophile.

Theoretically speaking, of course. Anyone who admitted to such an experience would see themselves rapidly written off as an actual pedophile were they to openly admit to such an experience. Blackmail. Control. Guilt. Self-loathing. Brainwashing. Anyone experiencing such a situation should refrain from pornography which may help avoiding elicitation of the conditioned and/or otherwise triggered association with sexual organs or arousal, and ensure maximum awareness when around youth or children to be aware of this particularly disgusting type of electronic harassment. In fact, it would be recommended to abstain from any sort of sexual thoughts whatsoever, and to always re-direct your consciousness or physical activity to unrelated issues, no matter how intensely the perpetrating entity may try to use diverse strategies to manipulate your sexual identity, interests, attractions, etc.

In the United States, following Kansas v. Hendricks, sex offenders who are diagnosed with certain mental disorders, particularly pedophilia, can be subject to indefinite civil commitment.

What percentage of child sexual abuse is the result of mind control experimentation and/or establishing blackmail for potential future movers and shakers? If there was ever any doubt that those involved in electronic harassment have some serious mental disorders...

**Predictive programming:**

Predictive programming predicts that people would be more likely to accept an idea based on exposure to the concept in fiction.

A related idea refers to the use of the power of suggestion using the media of fiction to create a desired outcome.

(It seems rather more likely that someone creates a work of fiction, and some nefarious character/organization takes up the idea and makes it happen. However, I think it is essentially not debatable that at least some media are involved in degrees of programming designed to soften or manipulate public opinion with regard to things that they might otherwise have opposed/supported. On the matter of predictive programming, it doesn’t seem very likely to be very relevant, but perhaps not worth discounting altogether. I find it more plausible to think that entertainment media would try to warn us of possibilities that we might not believe, rather than trying to soften us up to accept them.)
**PGP:**

PGP is free and unbreakable encryption, available world-wide.

**Plausible deniability:**

Plausible deniability is the ability for persons (typically senior officials in a formal or informal chain of command) to deny knowledge of or responsibility for any damnable actions committed by others (usually subordinates in an organizational hierarchy) because of a lack of evidence that can confirm their participation, even if they were personally involved in or at least willfully ignorant of the actions. In the case that illegal or otherwise disreputable and unpopular activities become public, high-ranking officials may deny any awareness of such act in order to insulate themselves and shift blame onto the agents who carried out the acts, confident that their doubters will be unable to prove otherwise. The lack of evidence to the contrary ostensibly makes the denial plausible, that is, credible, although sometimes it merely makes it unactionable. The term typically implies forethought, such as intentionally setting up the conditions to plausibly avoid responsibility for one’s (future) actions or knowledge. In some organizations, legal doctrines such as command responsibility exist to hold major parties responsible for the actions of subordinates involved in heinous acts and nullify any legal protection that their denial of involvement would carry.

In politics and espionage, deniability refers to the ability of a powerful player or intelligence agency to pass the buck and avoid blowback by secretly arranging for an action to be taken on their behalf by a third party ostensibly unconnected with the major player. In political campaigns, plausible deniability enables candidates to stay clean and denounce third-party advertisements that use unethical approaches or potentially libellous innuendo.

---

132 This is obviously the situation. And, moreover, they have the ability to monitor our every damn thought as we try to figure our way around it. What a sick fucking game. Blow that God damned whistle. Someone can pull this off. Worst case scenario, it’s hard to imagine that life in prison would be much worse than what you’re a part of, if that’s what you’re a part of. And that’s what you’re a part of. Something that may end any form of human freedom whatsoever if it is not stopped. What if, for example, we NEED to have a revolution, but every brain on the planet is monitored for every thought? How to explore controversial ideas in such a situation? Expose corruption?
In the US, plausible deniability is also a legal concept. It refers to lack of evidence proving an allegation. Standards of proof vary in civil and criminal cases. In civil cases, the standard of proof is "preponderance of the evidence" whereas in a criminal matter, the standard is "beyond a reasonable doubt." If an opponent cannot provide evidence for his allegation, one can plausibly deny the allegation even though it may be true.

Although plausible deniability has existed throughout history, that name for it was coined by the CIA in the early 1960s to describe the withholding of information from senior officials in order to protect them from repercussions in the event that illegal or unpopular activities by the CIA became public knowledge. The roots of the name go back to Harry Truman’s national security council paper 10/2 of June 18, 1948, which defined "covert operations" as "...all activities conducted pursuant to this directive which are so planned and executed that any U.S. Government responsibility for them is not evident to unauthorized persons and that if uncovered the U.S. Government can plausibly disclaim any responsibility for them." [NSC 5412 was de-classified in 1977, and is located at the National Archives, RG 273.]

**Planting a false memory:**

Basically, planting a false memory operates first with a suggestion, and then diverse other efforts to reinforce that memory (refer to warnings in relation to subvocalization). The question is not “can they do it” for people who are not aware of the possibility and highly attentive to such things, the question is when you may be vulnerable. Unfortunately, in the present situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age, it may be difficult for many targeted individuals to have full control over these factors.

People are particularly prone to having their memories be affected by misinformation when it is introduced after the passage of time has allowed the original event memory to fade. One reason this may be true is that with the passage of time, the event memory is weakened, and thus, there is less likelihood that a discrepancy is noticed while the misinformation is being processed.

The Discrepancy Detection principle (Tousignant et al. 1986). It essentially states that recollections are more likely to change if a person does not immediately detect discrepancies between misinformation and memory for the original event. Of course, it should be kept in mind that false memories can still occur even if a discrepancy is noticed.

Also, you may be vulnerable to memories being planted if you are sleep deprived, in part because you may not have the energy to sustain your cognitive independence, and in part because you’re plain and simply tired and less able to recognize the suspicious signs of the new ‘memory’ being planted, or of an old recollection being slowly manipulated to fundamentally alter details into a completely different ‘memory’. Here, be especially attuned to the possibility that someone on the other end of the augmented cognition device may imagine themselves performing a specific act, and try to project this into your mind (via synthetic telepathy), and then reinforce this as the actual ‘memory’. They may try to get you to subvocalize something like “that’s a real memory” or “that really happened" or "I can't believe I did that" or "I'm sooo sorry", at the same time as trying to trigger a conditioned response associated with guilt, or trigger an increase in heart rate or a skipped beat (this may also involve a
degree of *conditioned* response, but this may even involve the ability of *electromagnetic weapons* to influence cellular communications, plausibly including those relating to the electric signals driving the pumping of the heart\(^{133}\), so as to persuade you to believe that it all really happened. (Of course, an external third party observer to the whole situation may actually believe that it all really happened, given these other observations.)

Also, being in any sort of *hypnotic* state may make you more susceptible to ‘memories’ being planted.

Also worth noting is that you are more susceptible to planted misinformation when you are busy doing something. Example: I found myself regularly bombarded with efforts to reinforce false ‘memories’ when I was doing cognitively intensive tasks. Recognizing that it was a dangerous situation, most often I broke off from the cognitively intensive task, unless the looming deadline was truly pressing (in which case ‘they’ would increase their efforts by orders of magnitude).\(^{134}\)

In the context of *psychological warfare* in the electronic age, I believe that the primary purposes of planting memories are a) to establish a sense of loss of control on the part of the victim, so that they will submit completely to the perpetrating entity, b) to promote the *delusion* that the perpetrating entity serves ‘good’, wherein the victim may be persuaded to believe that they ‘deserve’ what is happening to them, and c) for *blackmail* purposes – if you believe you did it, or in any case believe that someone else may investigate your brain and find the ‘memory’, then the planted memory can be used as *blackmail*.

---

**Political abuse of psychiatry**\(^{135}\) (also see, *psychiatric reprisal*):

\(^{133}\) Lin JC (1989). “Electromagnetic Interaction with Biological Systems”, Springer. Presumably there has been a great deal of classified research on how such effects can manipulate biophysical and/or neural processes. Thankfully, we can easily trust the people we pay to keep us safe never to use them against us, because they work for us.


\(^{135}\) For the purposes of this glossary, this should be understood as largely referring to efforts to have people delivered with a mental health record, for the purpose of forever being able to destroy their credibility of any
Political abuse of psychiatry is the misuse of psychiatry, including diagnosis, detention, and treatment, for the purposes of obstructing the fundamental human rights of certain groups and individuals in a society. In other words, abuse of psychiatry including one for political purposes is deliberate action of getting citizens certified, who, because of their mental condition, need neither psychiatric restraint nor psychiatric treatment. Psychiatrists have been involved in human rights abuses in states across the world when the definitions of mental disease were expanded to include political disobedience. As scholars have long argued, governmental and medical institutions encode menaces to authority as mental diseases during political disturbances. Nowadays, in many countries, political prisoners are sometimes confined and abused in psychiatric hospitals. Psychiatric confinement of sane people is a particularly pernicious form of repression.

Psychiatry possesses a built-in capacity for abuse that is greater than in other areas of medicine. The diagnosis of mental disease allows the state to hold persons against their will and insist upon therapy in their interest and in the broader interests of society. In addition, receiving a psychiatric diagnosis can in itself be regarded as oppressive. In a monolithic state, psychiatry can be used to bypass standard legal procedures for establishing guilt or innocence and allow political incarceration without the ordinary odium attaching to such political trials. The use of hospitals instead of jails prevents the victims from receiving legal aid before the courts, makes indefinite incarceration possible, and discredits the individuals and their ideas. In that manner, whenever open trials are undesirable, they are avoided.

Examples of political abuse of the power entrusted in physicians, and particularly psychiatrists, are abundant in history. Descriptions of political abuses of psychiatry tend to be focused almost exclusively on Nazis, Soviets and Maoist communists, however a book published in 2010 also demonstrates that such abuses also extend throughout the post-WWII era in the USA as well136 (perhaps just better at hiding the truth than other powers).

**Political repression:**

Political repression is the persecution of an individual or group within society for political reasons, particularly for the purpose of restricting or preventing their ability to take part in the political life of a society thereby reducing their standing among their fellow citizens.

Where political repression is sanctioned and organised by the state, it may constitute state terrorism, genocide, politicide or crimes against humanity. Systemic and violent political repression is a typical feature of dictatorships, totalitarian states and similar regimes. Acts of political repression may be carried out by secret police forces, army, paramilitary groups or death squads. Repressive activities have

---

also been found within democratic contexts as well.\textsuperscript{137} 138 This can even include setting up situations where the death of the target of repression is the end result.\textsuperscript{139}

If political repression is not carried out with the approval of the state, a section of government may still be responsible. An example is the FBI COINTELPRO operations in the United States between 1956 and 1971.

In a context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, for example including diverse forms of electronic harassment in addition to remote neural monitoring, there appear to be legitimate concerns that the political repression and social control may be reformed in a manner where not only the actions and speech of non-conformists may be threatened, but where cognitive liberties themselves may be threatened to the point where individuals may not even be allowed to THINK ‘incorrect’ thoughts without fear of reprisal. I.e., a most draconian and absolute form of political repression and social control is theoretically possible given existing electronic weapons. This, most especially so in the absence of disclosure/whistleblowing to the public about the existence and potential of such electronic weapons.

**Pre-crime** (also, see thought crime, remote neutral monitoring):

Pre-crime is a term coined by science fiction author Philip K. Dick. It is increasingly used in academic literature to describe and criticise the tendency in criminal justice systems to focus on crimes not yet committed. Pre-crime has been defined as ‘substantive coercive state interventions targeted at non-imminent crimes’. Pre-crime intervenes to punish, disrupt, incapacitate or restrict those deemed to embody future crime threats. The term pre-crime embodies a temporal paradox, implying both that a crime has not occurred and that the crime that has not occurred is a foregone conclusion (McCulloch and Wilson 2016).

**Priming (psychology)** (related: classic conditioning, subliminal stimuli):

Priming is an implicit memory effect in which exposure to one stimulus (i.e., perceptual pattern) influences the response to another stimulus. Priming can occur following perceptual, semantic, or conceptual stimulus repetition.

For a simple example, consider a situation where someone always/routinely appears in a red shirt shortly before a type of harassment or street theatre begins which is known to make the targeted individual irritated, angry, fearful, etc. In time, simply seeing anyone in a red shirt may elicit the associated response. The priming will be more rapidly effective with strongly perceived stimuli, but can also work with subliminal stimuli. If subliminal stimuli are used for the priming, one may find oneself facing out-of-place emotional, mechanical and/or biophysical evoked actions, and if

\begin{footnotes}
\end{footnotes}
priming/conditioning are involved, it should be possible to eventually establish the trigger – however, in the case where conditioned and/or directly applied use of electronic weapons are the source of influence on neural activity and/or related biophysical responses, in some cases an entirely different type of conscious attention may identify the priming and conditioned trigger, and in other cases it will be essentially impossible to consciously pinpoint the trigger – one may train oneself to be consciously aware of the outcomes of the trigger in order to mitigate against undesirable effects or being persuaded to do things that one doesn’t actually want to do/think, but only specialized scientific instruments are able to read incoming electromagnetic waves and the brain does not have the ability to consciously intuit the specific form/nature of the incoming wave.

The targeted individual may have nothing more to go on than the elicited effect without being able to identify the specific trigger in such cases. (Bear in mind here that low frequency waves, or pulse modulations which mimic low frequency waves, are also known to have certain effects on mood, emotion, energy levels, etc.) Also, the stimulus for the priming is something that would generally be unremarkable to other people, so the targeted individual would look ‘crazy’ if they point it out – but, the stimulus is so regularly presented that it is simultaneously 100% obvious to the targeted individual and completely unremarkable to anyone else. This is part of the gaslighting, harassment, etc., perhaps with an aspect of psychiatric reprisal involved (since the people in white coats will try to take it as ‘evidence’ or delusional paranoia). As such, it is also part of isolating the individual (useful for brainwashing), in the sense that it is designed to make friends, family, etc., think that the targeted individual is losing their mind, perhaps becoming a ‘paranoid delusional schizophrenic’.

Prison of your own making (or, jail without the bars):

This generally involves a process where a person has been convinced, for whatever reason, that they are stuck in their present situation, and have no power to break free and take control of their life. In a situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age, nefarious actors may strive to convince you that everything that has happened to you is completely your own fault, and that the lack of options is due to your own failures, etc. Essentially, this is a trick to convince you that you can be ‘free’, whereas if the targeted individual is persuaded to ‘free’ themselves in the manner suggested by the nefarious perpetrating entity, for example to submit to a false admission (which may be used for blackmail purposes), or otherwise to submit to whatever they want you to do – the end result may be increasing comfort with an utter lack of freedom, a prison which could prove to be incredibly difficult to break free from (in particular given the neurally whipped conditioning and brainwashing that is likely to accompany such decisions).

Breaking free from such a prison requires recognizing whether you are in fact in a prison of your own making, or whether the prison has in fact been carefully constructed by others. In either case, be the master of your own destiny, and do not become a slave puppet by deluding yourself into believing that you have broken down the walls of the prison of your own making, when in reality you have done nothing but strengthen the chains and walls of the prison of their making.

Propaganda:
Propaganda is a form of communication that is aimed at influencing the attitude of a community toward some cause or position. As opposed to impartially providing information, propaganda, in its most basic sense, presents information primarily to influence an audience. Propaganda often presents facts selectively (thus possibly lying by omission) to encourage a particular synthesis, or uses loaded messages to produce an emotional rather than rational response to the information presented. Using manipulative suggestion is also common, e.g. “How evil is the Pope?”, where a few nice things and a few bad things might be said, and the actual conclusion not that strong, but the reader/listener mostly remembers “Pope” and “evil”. The desired result is a change of the attitude toward the subject in the target audience to further a political agenda. Propaganda can be used as a form of political warfare. Common media for transmitting propaganda messages include news reports, government reports, historical revision, junk science, books, leaflets, movies, radio, television, and posters.

Psychic driving:

Psychic driving was a psychiatric procedure in which patients were subjected to a continuously repeated audio message on a looped tape to alter their behaviour. In psychic driving, patients were often exposed to hundreds of thousands of repetitions of a single statement over the course of their treatment. They were also concurrently administered muscular paralytic drugs such as curare to subdue them for the purposes of exposure to the looped message(s). The procedure was pioneered by Dr. D. Ewen Cameron, and used and funded by the CIA's Project MKULTRA program in Canada. Similar techniques are alleged to have been used in the kidnapping and death of CIA operative William Francis Buckley by Aziz al-Abub, a medical doctor from Beirut who was seen as epitomizing medical torture. Aziz al-Abub, also known as Ibrahim al-Nadhir, was known for using his medical training to refine torture techniques. His techniques were shown to be closely connected to the CIA-developed torture techniques. As with the CIA, he used drugs to make it easier to handle those he tortured, carefully determined how long to keep a prisoner hooded and when to isolate them and other techniques seen as medical torture.

The topic of psychic driving is dealt with in some detail in the docudrama entitled The Sleep Room (1998) directed by Anne Wheeler. The psychic driving procedure was a chronological precursor to Cameron's de-patterning – theoretically, psychic driving could then be used with some efficacy in establishing a new personality.
Psychological evaluation:

A psychological evaluation is defined as a way of testing people about their behaviour, personality, and capabilities to draw conclusions using combinations of techniques. Over the years, it has developed from unethical methods of locking people up for tests to the many different strategies seen today. We currently see evaluation being used in several different settings for multiple purposes, such as education or legal situations. The purpose behind many modern psychological evaluations is to try to pinpoint what is happening in someone’s psychological life that may be inhibiting their ability to behave or feel in more appropriate or constructive ways. It is the mental equivalent of physical examination. It is important to use psychological evaluation properly otherwise violations of the professional ethical code can occur, resulting in harm to the client and invalid assessment results. There is also a risk of evaluation based upon unscientific principles, as found in pop psychology, or pseudo-psychology.

While it is very unfortunate that the following advice will also amount to directing some individuals who actually need help AWAY from resources that should be available to help them, if you are personally familiar with many of the terms and technologies defined in this glossary, these two points are VERY IMPORTANT.

1) If at all possible, the targeted individual should NOT submit to a psychological evaluation, should reject any suggestion to do so, and otherwise try to remain very peaceful and calm in their insistence that it is not at all necessary and that they are in perfectly good command of their senses and mental faculties. If someone is trying to force you to submit to a psychological evaluation, LEAVE! Some vague excuse like “I just remembered I have an important that I cannot miss” might work, and “I’m sure you have more important things to do than worry about my personal life” might suffice to make any feigned interest unquestionably suspicious if they push further. In online outlets, when called crazy for speaking certain truths, you can just point out that it’s VERY suspicious that they always try to malign people as mentally ill and efforts to draw such slanders into rational conversation almost always fail, the slandered only willing to twist all words in a way to attack the credibility of the speaker or their words, without ever offering any sort of rational debate. At present, regardless of whether the people in white coats are aware of their role in the situation, being forced/drawn into a psychological evaluation is liable to result in the equivalent of a psychiatric reprisal.

2) In any instance where the targeted individual is forced to undergo a psychological evaluation, it is recommended to completely deny any description whatsoever that has been provided to them about your claims, or perhaps to say something like “I guess I was just having an active imagination (or just made up a story because I thought it would be funny) but I’m feeling 100% fine now and recognize that all of those things are completely impossible and there couldn’t possibly be any conspiracy, because conspiracies never ever exist in the real world”. Do NOT, I repeat DO NOT be lured into any specific description of the experiences WHATSOEVER, perhaps saying “you know, I really don’t feel that it’s relevant to anything”. Sticking to these lines may cost you some days or weeks of illegal confinement, in which case you can be fully assured that this is indeed a psychiatric reprisal and you

---

are correct to refuse to self ‘incriminate’ by setting yourself up for a mental health record. Finally, in such a situation, demand that you will not submit to any psychological evaluation unless there is a video record of the proceedings and that you are satisfied that you will be able to easily access the proceedings.

**Psychological torture** (i.e., psychological terrorism, especially state-complicit; also, see torture):

*Psychological torture* is a type of torture that relies primarily on psychological effects, and only secondarily on any physical harm inflicted.

The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (commonly known as the United Nations Convention against Torture) is an international human rights treaty, under the review of the United Nations, that aims to prevent torture and other acts of cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment around the world. The Convention requires states to take effective measures to prevent torture in any state under their jurisdiction, and forbids states to transport people to any country where there is reason to believe they will be tortured.\(^{141}\)

The text of the Convention was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly on December 10, 1984 and, following ratification by the 20th state party, it came into force on June 26, 1987. June 26 is now recognized as the International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, in honour of the Convention. As of May 2015, the Convention has 158 state parties.

The Convention gave for the first time in history a definition of psychological torture:

*Torture* is any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity.\(^{142}\)

Many forms of psychological torture methods attempt to destroy the subject's normal self-image by removing them

---


from any kind of control over their environment, creating a state of learned helplessness, psychological regression and depersonalization. Other techniques include forced nudity and head shaving, sleep deprivation, hooding and other forms of sensory deprivation.

While psychological torture may not leave any lasting physical damage—indeed, this is often one of the motivations for using psychological rather than physical torture—it can result in similar levels of permanent mental damage to its victims.\textsuperscript{143}

**Psychological warfare** (for present purposes, neurowarfare is often a more appropriate term):

Psychological warfare (PSYWAR), or the basic aspects of modern psychological operations (PSYOP), have been known by many other names or terms, including MISO, Psy Ops, Political Warfare, ‘Hearts and Minds’, and propaganda. The term is used "to denote any action which is practiced mainly by psychological methods with the aim of evoking a planned psychological reaction in other people". Various techniques are used, and are aimed at influencing a target audience's value system, belief system, emotions, motives, reasoning, or behaviour. It is used to induce confessions or reinforce attitudes and behaviours favourable to the originator's objectives, and is sometimes combined with black operations or false flag tactics. It is also used to destroy the morale of enemies through tactics that aim to depress troops psychological states.\textsuperscript{144} 145 Target audiences can be governments, organizations, groups, and individuals, and is not just limited to soldiers. Civilians of foreign territories can also be targeted by technology and media so as to cause an effect in the government of their country.

Of importance, in the present context, is that psychological warfare (neurowarfare) has expanded from things like flyer drops, radio diffusions and planted media stories, etc. (all of which can be easily tuned out just by turning the radio off, or not reading the dropped flyers, etc.), or even traditional interrogation techniques, to include extensive use of the electronic weapons referred to in this glossary, most especially ones which use pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations to communicate directly into the minds of targeted populations (see synthetic telepathy). In short, psychological warfare in the modern day appears to have the objective to go far further than to merely demoralize victims of such methods, or even to merely interfere with the functioning of non-civilian personnel, and extend to efforts to brainwash entire populations (such an effort was in full swing in Canada with 2013-2014 being a particularly dark time – nary a genuine laugh or smile could be seen for nearly two years during this period - but few have yet to take the plunge towards a first open description of these events), and most especially targeted individuals who may have a degree of influence within a population (including for purposes of repression of statutorily acceptable political expression). In China, I have observed the use

---

\textsuperscript{143} Khamsi R (5 March 2007). "Psychological torture 'as bad as physical torture'". New Scientist. Accessed from https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn11313-psychological-torture-as-bad-as-physical-torture on April 27, 2016. The figure is credited to the same article.


of these technologies in combination with on-the-ground efforts to try to stir up revolutionary fervour (I believe, of the pro-Nazi variant) in a complete absence of any notion of how anything would get better as a result. At the very least, the objective would be to stimulate additional political repression in China, perhaps in order to ‘slander’ them better to the international community (regardless of the extent of covert political repression which appears to be presently going on in much of the West) and/or to stir up a backlash to additional social controls as a result.

Psychiatric reprisal:

This was a practice first identified as being used in the former Soviet Union. Targeted individuals of this practice were misdiagnosed as being mentally ill and hundreds of thousands of activists, dissidents and citizens who were outspoken against their government were placed in psychiatric facilities. Many thousands of individuals were eliminated in this way before the practice was brought to light.

This may be used in conjunction with many other strategies, in particular various forms of gaslighting. Regardless of whether the targeted individual actually believes they are crazy, they may be drawn into (or forced into) a situation where a psychiatrist is questioning (in some cases interrogating) them, and at the stroke of a pen can a) leave them with a mental health record which can be used to discredit the individual in the case of any official complaint, and b) have them confined against their will even if they are in fact perfectly sane and have committed no crime. Due to the possibility of a) and b), what amounts to a threat of psychiatric reprisal may serve the purpose of blackmail; due to heightened fear, disgust, lack of respect and general social sanction against those with a mental health record, threats to disclose a mental health diagnosis (generally illegal, but anyways..) may also serve as material for blackmail.

Since the perpetrating entity is perfectly aware of the checkboxes which have been established to describe various mental illnesses, the methods of harassment are liable to be refined in a manner where, when the targeted individual makes a complaint, they can be written off as crazy. In pushing the matter, for example to initiate a legal process, they may be required to submit to a psychological evaluation, which can result in them being forcibly confined and treated with mind numbing drugs. Due to existing diagnostic criteria in psychiatry, even a well-meaning psychiatrist may do such a thing, without any
intention on their part of participating in the psychiatric reprisal. As a result, it is advisable, if persuaded or forced to submit to a psychological evaluation, to refrain from making any comment whatsoever with regard to the actual experiences suffered, and to claim that everything is A-OK. Until broader awareness of the technologies and strategies involved in electronic harassment is made clear to citizens on a very widespread basis, it will be exceedingly difficult to avoid what will essentially function as a psychiatric reprisal against anyone who tries to make the truth known. Sadly, these victim of torture cannot go to the police, and cannot speak to a psychiatrist about their experiences, and this will remain the case until the media and/or civil society is persuaded to report openly about these issues and/or a clear legislative agenda is enacted to provide a means of pursuing complaints and/or legal action which are not liable to be met with a psychiatric reprisal.

While the extent to which the profession of psychiatry is “in on it”, the following makes it rather predictable how a psychiatric reprisal can be orchestrated:

1. All complaints about covert harassment can be dismissed as paranoia.
2. All complaints about, DOD Voice of God, voice-to-skull, Neurophone, Frey microwave, Neural Decoding, Synthetic or Artificial Telepathy technology, etc., can be dismissed as psychosis, delusions, or schizophrenia. This is although the technology is patented at the United States Patent and Trademark Office
3. All complaints about media feedback in surveillance can be dismissed as delusional.
4. All complaints about oppression, torture, abduction, etc., can be dismissed as fantasy.

**Pulsed energy projectiles:**

This type of weapon involves the emission of an invisible electromagnetic pulse which, upon contact with the target, ablates the surface and creates a small amount of exploding plasma. This produces a pressure wave that stuns the target and knocks them off their feet, and electromagnetic radiation that affects nerve cells causing a painful sensation. The technology can also be used as a lethal weapon.

(It is not clear to me whether this technology is yet in active deployment, whether for military purposes or for the purpose of ‘crowd control’, i.e., suppression of peaceful protest.)
Pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations:

In consideration of the microwave auditory effect, this refers to pulse modulations of microwave frequency electromagnetic radiations which can transmit auditory perceptions at great distance into one’s head (see voice to skull or synthetic telepathy for explanations and references relating to this effect). For example, if you have a transmission at 100 MHz, the transmission may be ‘pulse modulated’, say, to transmit a pulse 10 times a second, in which case the received communication mimics a 10 Hz wave. This overcomes a major technological barrier to transmitting low frequency waves, which are relatively long, and therefore would require unrealistically massive antennae for transmission – the pulse modulated transmissions of higher frequency waves are then able to mimic the lower frequency waves for many purposes.

Applications across a diversity of frequencies are liable to influence many, or perhaps at some later date all, neural and biophysical processes, in particular because these waves and related magnetic fields influence inter-cellular communications and in at least some cases ion gradients in cells. However, the current abilities in targeting such technologies are not publicly known, which implies a great vulnerability to the public in the case where nefarious actors may get their hands on such technologies.

For a rather well-known example of the effects of pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves on neural function, consider that strobe lights are known to cause seizures in some persons with epilepsy. The visual region of the electromagnetic spectrum is known as light. A strobe light is a sort of pulse-modulated electromagnetic wave, diffusing, for example, 10 or 20 pulses per second – anyone who has been exposed to a strobe light, for example at a dance club or party, is fully aware that directing one’s attention away from the immediate surroundings and allowing the pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiation (the strobe light in this case) can have relatively profound impacts on cognition and emotion.

Over 15 years ago, as early as the year 2000, there was already a product on the market with highly pinpointed accuracy in pulse modulations which are able to pass through many types of materials such as walls, etc., named the ‘ground penetrating radar’ produced by the Patriot Scientific Corporation. The impulse radar (pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves) of this technology uses low power inherently because the transmissions occur in pulses separated by periods of no transmission. The power of the pulses is offset by the dead time between the pulses. The average output of the current system is about 300 MICROwatts. THE LOW AVERAGE POWER OF AN IMPULSE SYSTEM EFFECTIVELY HIDES THE TRANSMISSIONS FROM CONVENTIONAL RECEIVERS.\(^{146}\)

Q

Questions:

Humans ask them. Be human. Don’t stop asking questions. Ever. The only things that are black and white, are black and white themselves. And even then, pure black is theoretically something which

\(^{146}\) E White (2000), appendix TWR4.
reflects no light whatsoever (a physical impossibility outside of a black hole) and white is merely the sum of all visible colours, in which case black and white don’t even really exist for practical purposes.

Everything is a construct. Everything is real. Nothing speaks for itself. Everything is open to interpretation. Embrace the contradiction. Be human. Do not stop asking questions. Perhaps the only thing that is more quintessentially human than to speak truth to power, is to ask questions. Question that.

R

Rage Against the Machine:

A fairly anti-establishment hard rock band from the 1990s. You just don’t get that kind of stuff coming out of the music industry any more, do you? Perhaps it is time for a repeat of the 1960s and 1970s in that regard. Support your local peace-preferring anti-establishment artist today.

Rape:

Rape is a type of sexual assault usually involving sexual intercourse or other forms of sexual penetration perpetrated against a person without that person’s consent. The act may be carried out by physical force, coercion, abuse of authority or against a person who is incapable of giving valid consent, such as one who is unconscious, incapacitated, has an intellectual disability or below the legal age of consent. The term rape is sometimes used interchangeably with the term sexual assault.

Widespread and systematic rape and sexual slavery can occur during international conflict. These practices are crimes against humanity and war crimes. Rape is also recognized as an element of the crime of genocide when committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a targeted ethnic group.

People who have been raped can be traumatized and develop posttraumatic stress disorder.

Lack of consent is key to the definition of rape.

Duress is the situation when the person is threatened by overwhelming force or violence (for example harassment, intimidation and/or threat of blackmail via nefarious applications of electronic weapons), and may result in the absence of an objection. This can lead to the presumption of consent (rather unlikely that any sort of consent would be assumed, however, since the situation is generally one of trying to assume complete domination and psychological control over the targeted individual).

In a context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, I hope it should suffice, given the definitions of conditioning and stimulated response (whether by gestures or neurologically invoked conditioned triggers), evoked action, etc., to assert that it is in fact possible to rape a person remotely. No small number of targeted individuals attest to this. In addition to the direct emotional and psychological trauma and the highly invasive nature of this sexual penetration into one’s cognition and even
physiology, this form of sexual harassment (rape) may also serve to offer some sort of sick sense of power to assets who are very much under the thumb of their handler(s) and whose only means of having any sense of control over anything is to exert abusive control over another individual.

In 1998, Judge Navanethem Pillay of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda said: "From time immemorial, rape has been regarded as spoils of war. Now it will be considered a war crime." 147

Mental rape:

- When your mind is invaded and then rape by words or images. 148
- The definition of ‘rape’ is to steal a person’s innocence without their consent although this is most often used in sexual cases. However, mental rape occurs probably just as much, if not more, than other forms of mental abuse and can result in the victim finding it hard to ever trust another person again. ... Mental rape is the act of stealing the victim’s thoughts (and sometimes emotions) then reporting them in secret back to the abuser who then uses the information to manipulate their victim even further. Not only are the participants stealing the victims thoughts, they are also committing a violation of the victims privacy and basic human rights. 149
- A targeted individual is attacked by a perpetrating entity in the most painful non-physical way possible: Their mind and soul are assaulted with painful, horrifying visions, sensations, and/or (real or planted) memories, and their will and sanity broken until afterward they're powerless, hopeless and numb, but not dead, although they may wish they were. Minimal to no sexual contact actually occurs, but as the name indicates, everything else is there to resemble a rape — the ultimate violation of privacy and consent, extreme humiliation that annihilates all sense of self-esteem, near-absolute helplessness even against your very own mind and body, and the corrupt perversion of what could otherwise be a source of identity and joy. ... A Well-Intentioned Extremist, Anti-Villain, or even the heroes may resort to Mind Rape if circumstances force them to (and will probably regret it with all grief for the rest of their existence), but only the most disgusting lowest of the low shall take a sick enjoyment out of it. 150

The best prevention against rape is for the rapist to not rape people. However, in the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, until these new electronic weapons come under full social, legislative and judicial control, targeted individuals will need to devise psychological and habitual methods of mitigating against rape and mental rape.

Remote neural monitoring:

What does remote neural monitoring (RNM) do? For purposes of electronic evaluation, electrical activity in the speech centre of the brain can be translated into the subject's verbal thoughts. On the side of eliciting a response, RNM involves sending encoded signals to the auditory cortex of the brain directly bypassing the ear. This encoding helps in detecting audio communication\textsuperscript{151}. It can also perform electrical mapping of the brain's activity from the visual centre of the brain, which it does by bypassing the eyes and optic nerves, thus projecting images from the subject's brain onto a video monitor.

Here is an example of a reconstruction from the civilian sector using more proximate technologies than those assumed to be in remote neural monitoring: \textsuperscript{152}

With this visual and audio memory, both can be visualised and analysed. This system can, remotely and non-invasively, detect information by digitally decoding the evoked potentials in 30-50Hz, 5 milliwatt electromagnetic emissions from the brain. The nerves produce a shifting electrical pattern with a shifting magnetic flux which then puts on a constant amount of electromagnetic waves. There are spikes and patterns which are called evoked potentials in the electromagnetic emission from the brain.

For the purpose of remote neural monitoring, the perpetrating entity will introduce various stimuli in order to get the targeted individual to think about something. Then, unless the targeted individual can think about something else, via remote neural monitoring it is possible to monitor and evaluate the response. Of course, the method has no protections against the possibility that ‘memories’ may have been planted or that the ‘information’ collected may be the result of efforts to reprogram the brain of the individual.

Another recent civilian sector breakthrough relates to semantic maps (mapping words). The abstract states summarizes how the study “systematically map[s] semantic selectivity across the cortex using voxel-wise modelling of functional MRI (fMRI) data collected while subjects listened to hours of narrative stories. We show that the semantic system is organized into intricate patterns that seem to be consistent across individuals. We then use a novel generative model to create a detailed semantic atlas. Our results

\textsuperscript{151} This had been demonstrated as early as the July 1973 issue of Popular Electronics, which reported on a system to read EEG signals (the stuff of which thought reading is made) at a distance by passing a radio signal through the human head and analyzing the passed-through signal. However, it appears that this specific issue of the magazine has been cleansed from the web.

\textsuperscript{152} The general principle for this technology can be reviewed at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eigenface. I was unable to locate the original source for this image, but it seems to be derived from research by Alan S. Cowen during his studies at Yale University.
suggest that most areas within the semantic system represent information about specific semantic domains, or groups of related concepts, and our atlas shows which domains are represented in each area. This study demonstrates that data-driven methods—commonplace in studies of human neuroanatomy and functional connectivity—provide a powerful and efficient means for mapping functional representations in the brain. In short, it is indeed possible to map neural activation related to language and concepts (ideas) (and presumably, then, to train software to recognize these maps and work backwards to determine what is being thought of) – however, the civilian sector has yet to officially prove the ability to do so by remote means, for example by using an analogue of the laser microphone to intercept brain wave activity and to progressively learn which signals are linked to which words/concepts, etc.

Unverified account of how this may operate which appears roughly accurate (non-civilian technologies):

A scan of the specific brain emissions given off when the victim subvocalizes using an array of pulsed frequency MASERS fired at the targeted individual, enables the victim to be scanned. By firing an array of ELF pulse modulated MASERS, which scan up and down the window of frequency emissions given off by subvocalized thought (or images and other thought processes), interference effects can be measured in the MASER beam. The victim’s ELF brain emissions will interact constructively or destructively with the pulsed frequency MASER carrying ELF in the ELF window associated with subvocalized thoughts. If we fire an array of pulsed MASERS, which are out of phase with each other, extraneous noise can be filtered out in the digital domain. Since the converging ELF modulated MASERS are being effected by the low-level emissions in the victim’s brain, the shifts in the ELF pulsed signal going into the targeted individual’s brain can be detected. A simplistic version of this would be the laser beam shone at the window of the person that is being bugged (a laser microphone). The vibrations in the window cause modulations in the laser that can be converted into electrical signals and hence into sound. In this way the subvocalized thoughts (or images and other thought processes) in the victim’s brain can be read. Having already built up a library of excitation potential signatures for differing words and groupings of words, a sophisticated computer can begin to decode the emission signatures into word streams. In this way the subvocalized thoughts of the victim can be stored in the memory of a supercomputer and analysed to give a read out of what the target is thinking.

Here’s another explanation drawn from an online source:

How does RNM. work? It employs a set of programs functioning at different levels, like:

1) The signals intelligence system which applies electromagnetic frequencies (EMF), to excite the brain for the system and the electronic brain link (EBL).

2) The brain stimulation system that has been planned as particle emission intelligence, which means receiving information from unintentionally created electromagnetic waves in the environment. However, it is not related to radioactivity or nuclear detonation.

---

3) The recording machines that have electronic equipment to examine electrical action in human beings from afar. This computer-generated brain charting can always record all electrical events in the cerebrum.

4) The recording aid system deciphers individual brain maps for ‘security purposes’.

The machinery involved can, remotely and non-invasively, detect information by digitally decoding the evoked potentials in 30-50Hz, 5 mW electromagnetic emissions from the cerebrum. The EMF emissions can be decoded into current thoughts and audiovisual perception, in the subject’s gumption.

Civilian sector research has also demonstrated the ability to decode visual imagery during sleep, whether as a matter of ‘organic’ dreaming or in response to stimulus. It is not clear whether it is possible to plant a false memory via such means, but the period just before sleep and just after awakening, in addition to periods of sleep deprivation are (according to my personal experience) certainly periods of high vulnerability in this regard.

**Right of revolution:**

In political philosophy, the right of revolution is the right or duty of the people of a nation to overthrow a government that acts against their common interests. Stated throughout history in one form or another, the belief in this right has been used to justify various revolutions including the English Civil War, the American Revolution and the French Revolution.

However, in times of tyranny it may not always be desirable to upend the entire political infrastructure to achieve anti-tyranny objectives. If the political structure is already propitious to serving the interests of a public that is ready and willing to defend their rights, ideally it should suffice to remove the rogue elements within the governing apparatus, for example rogue elements within the unseen parts of the security state. For national security in the international sense, it should not be viewed as generally desirable to excessively distract legitimate security forces which serve the common interest and are dedicated to protecting the public from doing what we pay them to do. Peaceful means may often be sufficient to achieve such objectives and should at all times be preferred as a means of upending, neutralizing or even eradicating tyrannical factions which act contrary to the common interest, and only when continued pursuit of peaceful means of anti-tyrannical efforts becomes patently absurd in the face of reality, should genuine consideration of the more extreme versions of any right to revolution be promoted as self-evident.

Considering that all of the technologies and methods defined in this glossary generally lead to some sort of psychiatric reprisal or other means of political repression (but not likely worse, after all, we do not live in North Korea or anything), the organizing method should be as a pre-emptive organization dedicated to peaceful and/or violent revolutionary objectives in the eventuality that all of these patently impossible things should ever come to pass. If claims relating to such technologies and strategies are...

---

evidence of mental illness, then anyone who opposes the organization of a pre-revolution on such a basis can only be ... crazy, no?

A.k.a., how to legally organize a revolution in plain sight against something that the public is not allowed to know about. Worst case scenario? Full disclosure. Which would suit many people just fine.

**Ritual abuse:**

*Ritual abuse* is an extreme, sadistic form of abuse of children and non-consenting adults. It is methodical, systematic sexual, physical, emotional and spiritual abuse, which often includes *mind control, torture,* and highly illegal and immoral activities such as murder, child pornography and prostitution. The abuse is justified by a religious or political ideology.\(^{155}\)

It is believed that, in at least some cases, such methods may be directed towards the creation of an army of ‘Manchurian Candidates’, by starting the abuse and programming from a very young age, with the objective to use such an army to eventually achieve world domination. Dr. Cordyn Hammond states that many such victims he worked with had relatives in NASA, in the CIA, and in the military, including very high-ups in the military.\(^{156}\) (Of course, that could be the product of a *disinformation* campaign to cover up the true nature of the research and programming, one that the victims could presumably be persuaded to believe without too much difficulty.) Regardless of the extent to which this may be a realistic threat, it is clear that such groups would also have no qualms about using *neuroweapons* to further their aims.

**S**

**Schizophrenia:**

This is a mental health classification based on a checkbox list which refers to ‘symptoms’ such as ‘false beliefs’, ‘unclear or confused thinking’, ‘hearing voices’, ‘reduced social engagement’, ‘lack of motivation’ a variety of ‘hallucinations’, etc. There is precisely zero neurological or biological basis for any such ‘diagnosis’, and the ‘diagnosis’ is 100% based on the descriptions of experiences made by the ‘patient’, followed by a psychiatrist writing down that these experiences are a product of *delusional* thinking, and cannot possibly reflect anything that was actually experienced. Commonly understood indicators of ‘schizophrenia’ in addition to ‘hearing voices’ include things like ‘being followed’, ‘being monitored by the CIA’, belief in *conspiracy* theories, etc. Note, that the diagnostic criteria is essentially identical to what an individual would describe were they in fact speaking openly about an actual *conspiracy,* and


\(^{156}\) Hammond, CH (1992). “Cults, Ritual Abuse and Mind Control”, from transcripts relating to a presentation he gave many times. His credentials include: Professor of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Utah School of Medicine; Past President, American Society of Clinical Hypnosis; Past President, International Society for Neuronal Regulation (ISNR); Director and Founder of the Sex and Marital Therapy Clinic, University of Utah; Past Editor, The American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis; Author of 57 journal articles or reviews and eight books, including a leading textbook. However, after many threats, he no longer speaks on the subject.
being targeted by various intimidation, harassment and surveillance technologies which are now known to exist.

However, the above description does not necessarily mean that such experiences can always be attributed to external manipulations, and perhaps there are in fact individuals who have such experiences and ‘symptoms’ which originate from ‘deluded’ and ‘anti-social’ thinking or behaviour. Plausibly, nefarious types have merely piggybacked upon pre-existing definitions in order to have their critics silenced by destroying their credibility via a mental health record.

**Self-incrimination:**

Self-incrimination is the act of exposing oneself (generally, by making a statement) “to an accusation or charge of crime; to involve oneself or another [person] in a criminal prosecution or the danger thereof”. Self-incrimination can occur either directly or indirectly: directly, by means of interrogation where information of a self-incriminatory nature is disclosed; indirectly, when information of a self-incriminatory nature is disclosed voluntarily without pressure from another person.

In an instance where a targeted individual feels forced to make an untrue statement that may appear as self-incrimination, the real criminals are the party that forced the statement (this includes elicited subvocalizations which the perpetrating entity will try to portray as ‘evidence’).

In an instance where innocent statements by the targeted individual are twisted beyond recognition of their original meaning and used as ‘evidence’ of self-incrimination, the real crimes are slander (defamation), harassment and others depending on the specific laws of the country.

**Sensitization:**

---

**Sensitization** is an example of non-associative learning\(^{158}\) in which the progressive amplification of a response follows repeated administrations of a stimulus. Sensitization often is characterized by an enhancement of response to a whole class of stimuli in addition to the one that is repeated. For example, repetition of a painful stimulus may make one more responsive to a loud noise.

**Silent sound (a.k.a. sound from ultrasound):**

Silent sound, or sound from ultrasound is the name given here to the generation of audible sound from modulated ultrasound without using an active receiver. This happens when the modulated ultrasound passes through a nonlinear medium which acts, intentionally or unintentionally, as a demodulator.

Ultrasound has much shorter wavelengths than audible sound, so that it propagates in a much narrower beam than any normal loudspeaker system using audio frequencies. This makes it possible to have the sound directed in a very specific direction rather than being heard widely.

A transducer can be made to project a narrow beam of modulated ultrasound that is powerful enough, at 100 to 110 dBSPL, to substantially change the speed of sound in the air that it passes through. The air within the beam behaves nonlinearly and extracts the modulation signal from the ultrasound, resulting in sound that can be heard only along the path of the beam, or that appears to radiate from any surface that the beam strikes. This technology allows a beam of sound to be projected over a long distance to be heard only in a small well-defined area; a listener outside the beam hears nothing. This effect cannot be achieved with conventional loudspeakers, because sound at audible frequencies cannot be focused into such a narrow beam.

This technology is commercially available, for example the HyperSonic Sound and Audio Spotlight products. In addition to concerns that this allows audible transmission to an individual which may not be heard by others, additional subliminal programming into the signals may be even more of a threat to the public, considering possible applications used together with conditioned triggers. To my knowledge, silent sound is not common in electronic harassment.

**Sleep deprivation:**

Sleep deprivation is the condition of not having enough sleep; it can be either chronic or acute. A chronic sleep-restricted state can cause fatigue, daytime sleepiness, clumsiness and weight loss or weight gain. It adversely affects the brain and cognitive function. However, in a subset of cases sleep deprivation can, paradoxically, lead to increased energy and alertness and enhanced mood; it has even been used as a treatment for depression. Few studies have compared the effects of acute total sleep deprivation and chronic partial sleep restriction. Complete absence of sleep over long periods has not been seen in humans (unless they suffer from fatal familial insomnia); it appears that brief microsleeps cannot be avoided. Long-term total sleep deprivation has caused death in lab animals.

---

\(^{158}\) Non-associative learning refers to "a relatively permanent change in the strength of response to a single stimulus due to repeated exposure to that stimulus. Changes due to such factors as sensory adaptation, fatigue, or injury do not qualify as non-associative learning."
Physiological effects:

aching muscles; confusion, memory lapses or loss; depression; development of false memory; hallucinations; hand tremor; headaches; raccoon eyes; malaise; stye; periorbital puffiness, commonly known as ‘bags under eyes’ or eye bags; increased blood pressure; increased stress hormone levels; increased risk of diabetes; increased risk of fibromyalgia; irritability; nystagmus (rapid involuntary rhythmic eye movement); obesity; seizures; temper tantrums in children; yawning; mania; symptoms similar to: attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and psychosis.

On attention and working memory

Therefore, if in a situation of sleep deprivation, one should be highly attuned to not lose hope and be driven into any suicidal state of mind. It will pass, eventually, although certain targeted individuals may have to psychologically prepare themselves for months or even years of routine or even “unending” high levels of sleep deprivation. Please do not read that as a threat or intimidation. Some people will need to be psychologically prepared for that possibility.

Due to this possibility, the targeted individual is highly vulnerable to the potential for planting a false memory when sleep deprived. So, if you are sleep deprived and are aware that nefarious actors are trying to plant a false memory, it is advised to document the situation. Due to various cognitive impairments during sleep deprivation, it may become difficult to keep touch with reality, and diverse psychological torture and other conditioning and brainwashing methods may be used for the purpose of planting a false memory. Simply being aware of the possibility of this should serve as a strong protection. However, it is possible that the treatment will endure for a very long time, perhaps weeks, months or even years to try to force you to believe that the false memory is true. In which case, documenting the experience will help you to keep touch with reality, no matter that in some vulnerable and weak moments, one may start to actually believe the false memory.

This may provide the basis for other “false memories”, which the nefarious actors involved in the psychological warfare in the electronic age may use to feed back to you, to trigger at a later date, and claim it as a “real memory”.

So do not be led to believe that you are contracting some particular disease associated with tremors, such as Parkinson’s disease, for example.

Going for a walk or other relaxing exercises may help with this.

So try to keep in check your irritableness. If you are sleep deprived in the course of psychological warfare in the electronic age, you may end up getting angry with friends, families or co-workers, with negative effects on your personal relationships and career prospects (potentially even losing a job). You might let them know something like “I’ve been under an enormous amount of external pressure lately, and haven’t been sleep much at all. Sorry, that was uncalled for” – even if it becomes apparent that the other party is in fact involved in the organized stalking and harassment – they will LOVE it to know that they are having such a negative effect on you, and the ability to deal with the situation with good composure will reduce their sense of power over you.

So, in a highly sleep deprived state, unless you are speaking with known friendly entities who are aware of the situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age, you should most certainly avoid discussing things like “hearing voices”, “seeing things”, “being followed”, “being harassed by people you don’t know”, or anything relating to nefarious actors acting from within the agencies of the state which are charged to protect us. Stick to descriptions of the specific technologies being used, and refrain from discussing the perceptions without reference to the specific technologies and scientific principles which are relevant.
Among the numerous physical consequences of sleep deprivation, deficits in attention and working memory are perhaps the most important; such lapses in mundane routines can lead to unfortunate results, from forgetting ingredients while cooking to missing a sentence while taking notes.

The attentional lapses also extend into more critical domains in which the consequences can be life-or-death; car crashes and industrial disasters can result from inattentiveness attributable to sleep deprivation.

Microsleeps

Microsleeps occur when a person has a significant sleep deprivation. Microsleeps usually last for a few seconds and happen most frequently when a person is trying to stay awake when he or she is feeling sleepy.

Some sleep deprivation techniques are (please don’t use them, this is for informational purposes only):

During the sleep deprivation period, the targeted individual and their polysomnograph record are continuously observed; when the targeted individual displays sleep electrophysiological signals or assumes a sleep posture, he/she may be activated by acoustic and, if necessary, tactile stimuli. This technique is used for total sleep deprivation as well as REM or NREM sleep deprivation. This technique often requires polysomnography. Similar methods may also be used to allow a very small amount of sleep (so you might ‘forget’ that you are being extremely sleep deprived, which would be rather obvious if you literally didn’t sleep for many days, or so that you might later be persuaded that in fact you were sleeping, say, 6 hours a night and not 15 minutes or 2 hours a night).


167 So, you should keep a pen and paper handy under situations of sleep deprivation, so that you can keeps lots of lists and take notes on important things, from the mundane such as a basic list of steps needed to execute a task, to penning down some details about the psychological torture that you are experiencing (in the second case, if you are being subjected to organized stalking and in a public place, almost certainly someone will do something like lean over your shoulders to look in on what you’re writing, or speak of how this is suspicious to be writing things down, to which I respond “now THAT is suspicious”).

168 For practical purposes, it may not be possible to avoid operating a car or heavy machinery during a period of sleep deprivation, although ideally it should be avoided. Since most working sites are very safety oriented, you might inform your employer that you have been under a lot of external pressure and are sleeping poorly, and are not confident that you can operate the machinery safely. If they become hostile and your job may be threatened, well, it’s your call on how to deal with the situation – one can always smile and feign that it will be no problem. If possible, you should have such discussions on paper, and keep a copy for yourself – in the eventuality of an accident or insurance claim, you should be protected by having made the situation clear, and be able to testify that you felt under pressure that you were not for practical purposes able to refuse the unsafe work without maybe losing your job. Also, in such situations, you really need to exercise extremely more attention than usual (ironically), because electronic weapons may be used to project images or thoughts of you making certain motions in order to achieve an evoked action, or other conditioned involuntary twitches or “mimicking-induced” copying motions, with the result that you may be stimulated to make a motion that will endanger the safety of yourself or others.

169 E.g., neighbours making loud noises, or perhaps sounds communicated by pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves which transit audio into your head.
Other induced physiological responses, such as those which may be influenced by pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves which operate in the ELF spectrum, and/or including those which involve a conditioned response such as stress, increased heart rate, heart palpitations, etc., may also be applied to prevent the targeted individual from falling asleep.

Under one interrogation technique, a subject might be kept awake for several days and when finally allowed to fall asleep, suddenly awakened and questioned. Menachem Begin, the Prime Minister of Israel from 1977 to 1983, described his experience of sleep deprivation as a prisoner of the NKVD in Russia as follows:

In the head of the interrogated prisoner, a haze begins to form. His spirit is wearied to death, his legs are unsteady, and he has one sole desire: to sleep... Anyone who has experienced this desire knows that not even hunger and thirst are comparable with it.

It is not common among targeted individuals to refer to a sort of ‘electronic caffeine’ which is used in the sleep deprivation, although the extent to which this relies strictly on brain wave manipulation, other means of stimulus and/or conditioned stimulus do not appear to be understood by the community of targeted individuals.

170 White E (2000) in Appendix PM7 relates a description of an article in the Los Angeles Herald Examiner (Section A, November 22, 1976) which, described disclosure made to the Associated Press under the FOIA Act from the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency. While I cannot locate an online copy of the original article, let alone a copy of the specific FOIA release, it is worth relating, as does White (2000), that the state of development on the Soviet side of the story (the state of American developments generally never having been disclosed) included a description of synchronization of the microwave signal with a frog’s heartbeat, resulting in heart seizure.

As frightening as such possibilities may sound, rest assured, if faced with a perpetrating entity that is willing to try to use such a technology to intimidate you in such a manner, your life cannot possibly take a turn for the better if you allow yourself to be intimidated into allowing them to assert control over you. Such an entity can only possibly be in the practice of pure evil, and this should evoke a duty to stand up to tyranny, not to be whipped into an obedient dog, a zombie, or a puppet slave. From my personal experience, significant disruptions in heart activity (palpitations, skipped beats, etc.) can be achieved in a healthy individual, but I have no knowledge of whether such technologies may in fact be able to trigger a seizure of heart activity. If you are fairly certain that any heart disruptions are not naturally occurring, and instead related to such technologies, it is not recommended to seek professional monitoring of the situation (very unfortunately, and any qualified doctor will tell you this is HORRIBLE advice which would be borderline criminal if coming from a doctor), because the resulting data may be hacked for the purpose of evaluating the precise signals which were most effective in disrupting the heart patterns of the targeted individual.

Keep calm. Breathe regularly. Engage in some light activity to keep things flowing. Do not let the sick monsters get any perverted pleasures from seeing you squirm, freak out, or end up in the emergency room only to be persuaded that you are a hypochondriac or perhaps crazy (however, if you are truly having an emergency situation, absolutely do not hesitate to seek medical attention from professionals who are legally obliged to monitor your situation and try to ensure your survival). I really don’t think there’s much more the targeted individual can do, until effective technological solutions are developed for public safety.


172 It remains debated as to whether this constitutes torture, however, with security state officials routinely arguing that it isn’t, and humanitarian organizations arguing that it is.

Slander (a.k.a. defamation):

Slander and defamation are common features of organized stalking. In short, slander is the communication of a false statement that harms the reputation of an individual person, business, product, group, government, religion, or nation. Under common law, to constitute defamation, a claim must generally be false and have been made to someone other than the person defamed. Some common law jurisdictions also distinguish between spoken defamation, called slander, and defamation in other media such as printed words or images, called libel.

In the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, where electronic harassment and organized stalking appear to be increasingly common yet generally not addressed whatsoever by the authorities of the state (very suspicious), the use of slander can meet several objectives which are most certainly not mutually exclusive.

First, the mere threat of slander may serve for the purpose of blackmail. To blackmail someone, the threat need not relate to disclosing an event that actually transpired – all that is necessary is that the targeted individual can be persuaded to believe that some or many other in the community may believe this. For example, that you cheat on your taxes, cheated on a romantic partner, raped an adult, minor or even a child, or basically any personality ‘flaw’ (real or imagined) that they have discovered that the targeted individual might find embarrassing enough (for example, the concern that the targeted individual will be branded as ‘mentally ill’ if they try to publicly disclose the reality of electronic harassment and organized stalking; that the targeted individual secretly loves something that they would be embarrassed to admit to) that they may submit to increasing levels of control on the part of the perpetrating entity in order to avoid disclosure. In at least some cases, the blackmail itself may serve as a means to be able to proceed with brainwashing without the targeted individual feeling like they are at all able to speak, write, communicate, or even THINK about the unfortunate nature of their situation. Various forms of electronic harassment, in addition to organized stalking, are liable to be applied to make the blackmail threat seem credible. (Indeed, the perpetrating entity is rather likely to start spreading the slander if/when the targeted individual continues with their whistleblowing or efforts to make anyone aware of the reality of the electronic harassment and/or organized stalking).

Second, and not mutually exclusive with the above description of how slander and/or the threat of slander can serve the purpose of blackmail, the slander can be refined according to the specific personality, values, insecurities, etc., of the targeted individual, as a part of attacking the targeted individual’s sense of self, an early stage in the process of brainwashing the targeted individual, or at the very least, contributing to their willingness to, at a minimum SHUT UP, and ideally, to be ‘recruited’ (i.e., forced) to participate in the organized stalking or getting involved in the sorts of harassment and bullying that contribute more generally to the ‘success’ of the organized stalking and electronic harassment as a means of social control.

Third, the threat of slander, and more relevantly in this case, the widespread spreading of slander (or at least making sure that the targeted individual is exposed to individuals who publicly communicate awareness of the slanderous details, even if the targeted individual is not explicitly pinpointed at any
moments of the communication) may serve the purpose of generally tiring out and wearing down the targeted individual. Similar to the second point, this may serve as a means to try to force the targeted individual to shut up about whatever social issue, political issue, or matter of disclosure/whistleblowing that the targeted individual continues to communicate about (this may be applied in conjunction with negative conditionings or other forms of psychological and/or physiological ‘punishment’ which are intended to be associated with the ongoing communication). In the sense of wearing down the individual, the slander may also be related to the process of trying to brainwash the targeted individual. But, in the case that the perpetrating entity may have concluded that the program of control or brainwashing has basically failed and will continue to fail, the slander may serve the purpose of pure punishment by a vindictive perpetrating entity which is angered that you will not submit to them (this may also serve as intimidation to others). (And, meanwhile, ongoing efforts to slander the targeted individual, in particular in a context where remote neural monitoring is understood to be possible, may serve the purpose of continuing to figure out the psychology and personality of the targeted individual better, with the objective of being able to devise alternative strategies to assert control over or brainwash the targeted individual).

Fourth, perhaps even in conjunction with planting a false memory, as the organized stalking process continues, with the sheer weight of many people (and voices) who surround you at most or all times, to try to convince you that the elicited images, recollections, etc., in fact represent an event that actually transpired. In which case, if the targeted individual themselves can be made to believe such a planted memory, for example, as supported by an intense and continuous project of neuro-programming and conditioning, to believe that they have highly perverted sexual interests, and are therefore ‘guilty’ in a sense that these ‘facts’ can be used to blackmail them.

This list should not be considered as an exhaustive description of how slander is being used in the current situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age. In short, slander helps with blackmailing, processes involved in asserting control over the targeted individual or even brainwashing them, serves to intimidate others who don’t roll over and do what they’re told, and the slander/blackmailing/brainwashing nexus is all highly intertwined.

Social control:

Sociologists identify two basic forms of social control:

Informal means of control: Internalisation of norms and values by a process known as socialization, which is defined as "the process by which an individual, born with behavioural potentialities of enormously wide range, is led to develop actual behaviour which is confined to the narrower range of what is acceptable for him by the group standards".174

Formal means of social control - External sanctions enforced by government.

Originally, the concept simply referred to society’s ability to regulate itself. However, in the 1930s, the term took on its more modern meaning of an individual’s conversion to conformity. Social control theory began to be studied as a separate field in the early 20th century.

The concept of social control is related to another concept, which is the notion of social order. Social control is a thing which is identified as existing in the following areas of society:

- The education system
- Policing and the law
- Psychiatry
- Social work
- The welfare state
- The working environment

In a context where electronic weapons and remote neutral monitoring can be applied for purposes of psychological warfare in the electronic age, the potential for essentially unobserved applications of social control and/or political repression, perhaps even so far as a complete abrogation of cognitive liberty for some or all individuals – this situation can only be assumed to be a serious threat, until enough whistles are blown, society-wide awareness of such electronic weapons is achieved, and an appropriate legislative and regulatory apparatus is devised to ensure that the guiding principles of traditional legal, political and social freedoms and responsibilities are upheld. At present, neither the letter and most especially not the spirit of the law is being upheld – significant civilian/citizen involvement will be required to ensure that electronic harassment does not end up being the tool of the previously unimaginable levels of social control and political repression.

**Stalking:**

Stalking is a term commonly used to refer to unwanted, obsessive attention by individuals (and sometimes groups of people) to others. Stalking behaviours are related to harassment and intimidation. The word ‘stalking’ is used, with some differing meanings, in psychology and psychiatry and also in some legal jurisdictions as a term for a criminal offense. It may also be used to refer to criminal offences or civil wrongs that include conduct which some people consider to be stalking, such as those described in law as ‘harassment’ or similar terms.

**Stasi/Gestapo:**

Stasi: The Ministry for State Security (German: Ministerium für Staatssicherheit, MfS), commonly known as the Stasi, also State Security Service (German Staatssicherheitsdienst, SSD), was the official state security service of the German Democratic Republic (GDR) (Deutsche Demokratische Republik, DDR),

---
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colloquially known as East Germany. It has been described as one of the most effective and repressive intelligence and secret police agencies to have ever existed.

The number of *Gestapo* and *Stasi* officers was not actually all that high, and were primarily involved in processing all the information, while as much as 1/7 of the population\(^{178}\) was busy working as informants.

Historian Robert Gellately says that it is somewhat of a myth that people normally reported on neighbours out of ideological commitment or fear of authority – rather, they may often have had more banal motives such as greed, jealousy and petty differences. He also states that he "*found cases of partners in business turning in associates to gain full ownership; jealous boyfriends informing on rival suitors; neighbours betraying entire families who chronically left shared bathrooms unclean or who occupied desirable apartments. And then there were those who informed because for the first time in their lives someone in authority would listen to them and value what they said.*"\(^{179}\)

**Gestapo**: The *Gestapo*, abbreviation of Geheime Staatspolizei, or the Secret State Police was the official secret police of Nazi Germany and German-occupied Europe.

**StingRay (cell phone tower simulator)**:

The *StingRay* is an IMSI-catcher, a controversial cellular phone surveillance device, manufactured by Harris Corporation.\(^{180}\) Initially developed for the military and intelligence community, the StingRay and similar Harris devices are in widespread use by local and state law enforcement agencies across the United States and possibly covertly in the United Kingdom. Stingray has also become a generic name to describe these kinds of devices.\(^{181}\)

One of these devices can be outfitted to monitor as many as 10,000 phones at a time. Police routinely claim that they can only be used to intercept metadata, but in fact they can be set to intercept, record and store the communications (which then does not leave any paper trail of a request from the corporate provider). By viewing the signal strength of an identified phone, the device can be used to narrow in on the source of the signal, enabling an operator of such a device to identify the location of the phone.

It is only recently that these technologies have come to light, since police were (illegally, yet to be tested in court) required to not disclose the usage of such devices even when presenting evidence in court – reportedly, in many cases police would instead claim that a confidential informant provided them with the information, whereas in fact due process was violated in an absence of a warrant.

---


\(^{181}\) Gallagher, R (Sept 25, 2013). "Meet the machines that steal your phone’s data". Ars Technica (Condé Nast).
Street theater:

Street theater when spoken of in an organized stalking context refers to carefully scripted harassment by neighbours and strangers especially, but can include harassment by family, friends and co-workers. The methods and scripts employed in street theater are very subtle, innumerable and almost always are meant to mimic normal life and be symbolically meaningful only to the targeted individual.

These acts are designed to keep a target at a high stress level, and are crafted so that outside observers are likely to wave the skits off as just being a complaint about something that is annoying but just happens from time to time. The cumulative effect of such acts can be crushing to sensitive targets. Noise, crowding in person or on the highway, stealing items from shopping cart when in the checkout line (or high regularity of other petty thefts), and kids sent to hang out in front of, stare, make noise, and even throw tennis balls against the target’s house are simple examples. What differentiates street theater from normal but annoying/unsettling experiences is that street theater goes on every day, often by different mind control (mind influencing) operatives, far too often to be random or ‘natural’. Cover is provided by the tendency of people to refuse to acknowledge the frequency of these acts and consider the complainer just being overly sensitive or irrationally or in a deluded manner seeing some conspiracy against them (and in the case of street theatre, it is indeed a conspiracy against the targeted individual).

The street theatre may include engineered skits where your (current or previous) thoughts are spoken to you by strangers on street or events requiring knowledge of what you were thinking. Refer to remote neural monitoring to see how this can happen, unless you prefer explanations which rely on unrealistic distances of possible organic human-to-human telepathic communications.

Subliminal stimuli (a.k.a. subliminal messaging):

Subliminal stimuli (literally "below threshold"), as opposed to supraliminal stimuli ("above threshold"), are any sensory stimuli below an individual's threshold for conscious perception.\(^{182}\) A recent review of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies shows that subliminal stimuli activate specific regions of the brain despite participants being unaware.\(^{183}\) Visual stimuli may be quickly flashed before an individual can process them, or flashed and then masked\(^{184}\), thereby interrupting the processing. Audio stimuli may be played below audible volumes or masked by other stimuli.\(^{185}\)


\(^{183}\) Brooks, SJ, V Savov, E Allzén, C Benedict, R Fredriksson and HB Schiöth. (Feb 2012). "Exposure to subliminal arousing stimuli induces robust activation in the amygdala, hippocampus, anterior cingulate, insular cortex and primary visual cortex: a systematic meta-analysis of fMRI studies". *NeuroImage*, 59(3), 2962–2973

\(^{184}\) This may be experienced, for example, by projecting images of genetalia when aligned with a member of the gender one is attracted to, in combination with conditioning to establish gestural and/or verbal triggers. The following is a particularly troubling application of such technologies. This may be used to apply a conditioned trigger such as influencing physiological responses related to sexual excitation, most likely for the purpose of establishing a sense of being hopelessly controlled by the perpetrating entity and a general sense of having lost control over one’s basic functions – or, far more nefariously, to apply the conditioned triggers when meeting any awareness of progressively younger children (for example encountering the word “child”, “youth”, “12 years old”,

\(^{185}\)
Applications of subliminal stimuli often base themselves on the persuasiveness of the message. Importantly, research on ‘action priming’ has shown that subliminal stimuli can trigger only actions a receiver of the message plans to (or anyways may be persuaded to have an inclination to) perform anyway; however, consensus of this finding remains unsubstantiated by other research. Most actions can be triggered subliminally only if the person already has the potential to perform a specific action.\(^{186}\)

The potential applications of subliminal stimuli may appear very scary at first thought because it seems like someone might program (condition and brainwash) you without your even knowing. However, awareness of the possibility alone is strong protection – after many repetitions, with careful awareness of one’s thoughts, emotions, urges, etc., and effort to eventually derive the source of the subliminal stimuli (which are likely to have begun or be more strongly enforced with some supraliminal messaging at times) will enable a targeted individual to at least divert their consciousness away from the subliminally planted trigger, or perhaps even reprogram the trigger (however, reprogramming the trigger is likely to be nearly impossible if the perpetrating entity is continuously using diverse methods to reinforce the association, so asserting control over one’s consciousness by redirecting every time the stimulus is applied may, for practical purposes, be the best you can hope for).

A very obvious means of masking subliminal stimuli in a public setting which is very unlikely to leave easily recorded evidence, if one is not aware of what is going on and not paying attention, is for one individual or group to make lots of noise or a distraction and for another to plant or elicit the conditioned trigger – for example, several people make a loud noise which completely distracts you at the moment that a sexually attractive individual crosses in front of you, while another person says the verbal trigger or makes a gesture which you are not consciously aware of but which your brain picks up, "8 years old", "toddler", etc., in an effort to brainwash the targeted individual towards increasingly pedophilia-like sexual thoughts. If unaware of such possibilities, one may find oneself sitting across from a psychiatrist describing the situation, and end up locked up for life (psychiatric reprisal) as a potential threat to public safety. Moreover, it leaves one vulnerable to the possibility of believing that one actually DOES have such preferences (and it seems very possible that the unaware targeted individual may in fact be conditioned and brainwashed into highly deviant and illegal preferences), which would enable the perpetrating entity to claim that the targeted individual "deserves" whatever happened to them, or that the draconian and torturous level of privacy invasion is required for the purpose of public safety – that, somehow, such evil entities that would perpetrate such a thing should be believed to represent "good", whereas in consideration of this description, nothing but the precise opposite could possibly apply. Such a situation (or a diversity of analogous situations unrelated to sex) may be countered by diverting one’s attention to non-sexual thoughts, although the perpetrating entity is sure to try to overpower this by suggestion, projecting images, and use of various conditioned triggers. In consideration of this, in such a situation, one should fiercely defend one’s conscious focus to avoid thinking about sexual thoughts in any manner whatsoever, as this may be applied to a diversity of nefarious ends, perhaps so far as to try to plant a memory that one in fact committed some non-consensual sexual act or committed an act of sexual violence.

Among other citations, this is described in the lecture series produced by The Great Courses, by DN Robinson, entitled “Great Ideas of Psychology” – for example, having established the minimum audible threshold, a subject who is exposed to 10 repetitions at 20% of the threshold may guess having heard the word twice, and at 50% of the threshold may guess having heard the word five times, despite not actually having “heard” the word. A later version of this glossary will indicate the specific lecture number and the minute/second where the relevant section begins, but the entire series is time well spent.

thus enabling the eventual programming of an unknown-to-you association with a verbal or gestural trigger, to be used at a later date to make mysterious emotional and/or physiological things happen in order to make the targeted individual feel like they have lost control over their body and that someone else is able to control them, feeding a sense of powerlessness and inevitability that they must do as they are told, since “there is no choice”.

The image to the right explains one of many forms of subliminal stimuli (the case of audio – sound). Analogues for many other types of stimuli, from images to gestures and EM waves, can be assumed to be in use on some regular basis.

This entry is well worth exploring in much more detail, but for brevity additional explanation is not included. The current Wikipedia entry on subliminal stimuli provides a good overview. Key concepts for audio stimuli include the ‘objective threshold’, the ‘subjective threshold’ and ‘auditory masking’. Key concepts for visual stimuli involve the use of subliminal (very rapid) images to elicit a natural and/or conditioned trigger, ‘emotion eliciting stimuli’, ‘word and non-word stimuli’ and ‘masking visual stimuli’.

Subvocalization:

Subvocalization, or silent speech, is the internal speech typically made when reading; it provides the sound of the word as it is read. This is a natural process when reading and it helps the mind to access meanings to comprehend and remember what is read, potentially reducing cognitive load.

In a context where pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations are being used to communicate voices directly into your head, there is a very serious danger here that you may attribute certain subvocalizations to your very own self. In which case, it is strongly recommended to be very aware of your own first person, and to always question the origin of strange thoughts or subvocalizations that come to mind. For example, a nefarious actors might try to slowly manipulate you via driving your own subvocalizations (I have some theories on how they drive this, somewhat along the lines of mimicking harassment, but have not yet developed it fully), say, starting with “that’s a terrorist” every time you see a brown man with a beard, and perhaps, trying to convince you that you’re really smart and a spy and can immediately identify terrorists, with the possibly effect of Muslims getting regularly called in as suspicious. A diverse variety of other nefarious applications could be made, so far as “I want to kill …”, or “I hate myself because…”, or “I’m sexually attracted to young children…” – combined with other conditioned responses, if you are not aware of the reality that basically everyone subvocalizes (you’re not crazy), and also the reality of pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiation used to transmit voices into your head.

Another very interesting aspect relating to subvocalization (and also vocalized speech) is that it is possible to decode thought even before it is subvocalized. This was demonstrated experimentally in 2012: “While [subjects] read the text out loud, the team worked out which neurons were reacting to what aspects of speech and generated a personalised decoder to interpret this information. The decoder

---

was used to create a spectrogram – a visual representation of the different frequencies of sound waves heard over time. As each frequency correlates to specific sounds in each word spoken, the spectrogram can be used to recreate what had been said. They then applied the decoder to the brain activity that occurred while the participants read the passages silently to themselves.188 ... [2014:] Despite the neural activity from imagined or actual speech differing slightly, the decoder was able to reconstruct which words several of the volunteers were thinking, using neural activity alone.189 ... The [civilian sector] team is now fine-tuning their algorithms, by looking at the neural activity associated with speaking rate and different pronunciations of the same word, for example.”190

If you notice that people are starting to try to learn about and copy your speech patterns, there is a near 100% likelihood that such strategies will soon be used against you. Also, if you are not careful, you may be led into any number of false ‘confessions’, or perhaps even be brainwashed into believing that you in fact did something that you never did, e.g., “I really did …”, which can be latched onto to try to persuade you that you are guilty of something, or even if you cannot be persuaded to believe that you actually did something that you never did, that this ‘confession’ is justification for what they are doing to you. No one deserves that kind of treatment, NO ONE. Do not even WONDER about how you might ‘deserve’ it.

_Suggestion:_

_Suggestion_, in the context of _psychological warfare_ in the electronic age (neurowarfare), is the psychological process by which one person guides the thoughts, feelings, or behaviour of another.

---


Modern scientific study of hypnosis, which follows the pattern of Hull's work, separates two essential factors: 'trance' and suggestion.\(^{191}\) The state of mind induced by 'trance' is said to come about via the process of a hypnotic induction—essentially instructing and suggesting to the subject that they will enter a hypnotic state. Once a subject enters hypnosis, the hypnotist gives suggestions that can produce sought effects. Commonly used suggestions on measures of 'suggestibility' or 'susceptibility' (or for those with a different theoretical orientation, 'hypnotic talent') include suggestions that one's arm is getting lighter and floating up in the air, or that a fly is buzzing around one's head. The 'classic' response to an accepted suggestion that one's arm is beginning to float in the air is that the subject perceives the intended effect as happening involuntarily.

The power of suggestion should be considered as strategically linked to classic conditioning in the context of psychological warfare in the electronic age. I am not aware of cognitive or manifested physiological outcomes which are not susceptible to 'training' via these methods.

As a matter of curiosity, when faced with difficulties to stay in control of one’s cognition, for example when a verbal or visual trigger/suggestion is used to elicit a response, it is claimed that temporal lobe stimulation (presumably by mimicking certain brainwaves, although it is likely to be somewhat more complex than that), “can activate images stored in the subject's memory, including nightmares and monsters that are normally suppressed”.\(^{192}\)

It is likely that suggestion, likely including efforts by those on the other end of the remote neural monitoring to visualize themselves doing a particular action and transmitting the ‘idea’ of this action via synthetic telepathy, may contribute to a variety of experiences related to being set up for priming or trying to suggest/persuade the targeted individual to take a particular course of action, which may range from the entirely trivial to the lowest of the low of criminal acts.

**Suggestive guilt:**

See entry on subvocalizations for some of how this can work. Also, refer to the entry on synthetic telepathy on how imagined or real ‘memories’ or ideas may be triggered. In my personal experience, this primarily involved efforts to brainwash me into believing that I had various forms of despicable desires, in order to persuade me that I ‘deserved’ it (refer to voice of God for how/why they hoped I might have believed this).

Here are some examples of the sorts of things that the perpetrating entity might say, either having already engaged in planting a false memory, or perhaps genuinely trying to dig into the privacy of your mind. This should be read in a context where remote neural monitoring is assumed to be currently in practice, regardless of whether the technological specifications of the technology remain inaccessible to the public. “You need a lawyer” (yeah, I need a lawyer to sue your asses). “I’m guilty ...” (Yeah, YOU are guilty of breaking dozens and hundreds of laws, no matter that some other specific laws have not been


\(^{192}\) Persinger MA, as quoted in E White (2000) appendix PM7, itself quoting from the July 1998 issue of Nexus Magazine.
broken, and moreover, are acting completely antithetical to long-cherished values of the civilization and culture you purport to act on behalf of). “You’re under investigation...” (Uh, yeah, just wait until we manage to investigate YOU guys for all the crap you’re doing). Or, if you can manage to just keep busy doing other stuff, you might be able to learn about the people who are monitoring you, by observing the things they try to persuade you to believe are a reason that you ‘deserve’ what is happening to you.

**Synthetic telepathy**: (a.k.a. Voice to skull (to be integrated), a.k.a. Artificial telepathy)

**Synthetic telepathy** is any technology which enables silent communication of words, images, or even ideas from one mind to another mind, including individuals who are interfaced with a computer which aids them in assembling the communication to be transmitted. Outgoing communications may involve **low frequency electromagnetic transmissions** which, due to their low frequency, cannot contain much information but may affect states of the brain. Outgoing communications involve higher frequency **pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations** which, when they hit the brain, travel to the cochlear region of the ear and are perceived as a ‘voice in your head’.\(^{193}\) (Other influences on neural states and brain activity as influenced by **low frequency electromagnetic waves** should be considered as something other than **synthetic telepathy**, however.) The other direction of ‘communication’ for **remote neural monitoring** does not appear to be well understood in **civilian** sources, although some breakthroughs are referenced elsewhere in this document. This likely involves a technology roughly analogous to the **laser microphone**, which reads interference patterns after bouncing **electromagnetic waves** off the brain, by using these interference patterns to determine the brain waves associated with a diversity of cognitive events (whether conscious or perhaps even subconscious). Then, the other party of the communication is interfaced with a computer that helps to decode the interference patterns, which are then progressively compared with assumptions/information about the actual cognitive and sensual experiences occurring in the **targeted individual**, in a way that eventually enables the perpetrating entity to be aware of what the other individual is thinking, seeing, doing, etc. For longer distances, presumably some sort of signal relay is used, certainly in some cases involving the use of relays to satellites.

It is important for citizens to know that such technologies exist so that **targeted individuals** will not receive a mental health record for speaking to authorities about related experiences, and so that they can be prepared to psychologically defend themselves if they come under a psychological attack via **synthetic telepathy**. Importantly, this is not restricted to the communications of words, images or abstract thoughts. The extent to which these methods rely on **classic conditioning** is not clear, but it is obvious that such communications are also used to directly influence the emotional state of targets.\(^{194}\)

---


\(^{194}\) For a very early work on how this may have more generalized effects, one may consult DR Justesen (1975) “Microwaves and Behaviour”, *American Psychologist*, Mar; 30(3):391-401. However, for those who have experienced such things, it is exceedingly obvious that more targeted influences on emotions are now possible via microwave radiations, although the extent to which these effects are more generalizable across individuals and/or paired with **conditioning/brainwashing** is not entirely clear.
, often with the objective of ‘teaching’ the target to feel one way or another about specific issues (i.e., brainwashing).

While synthetic telepathy has certainly advanced well beyond sounds to include images, thoughts, etc., targets report regular and invasive good fidelity sound transmissions. Voices saying profane and disparaging things are common. False sounds of telephones ringing, pagers beeping, alarm clocks going off, knocking on the target’s door, etc. have been reported. The fidelity of these transmissions indicates improvement over the method demonstrated by Dr. Joseph Sharp (see microwave auditory effect).

White E (2000) in appendix US1 cites an article entitled “Mind-Altering Microwaves” in the July 1998 issue of Nexus Magazine, which itself cites a March 23, 1991 news bulletin from the ITV News Bureau (London), describing the first known use of such technologies in a military setting, in the First Gulf War: “a sophisticated electronic system to ‘speak’ directly to the mind of the listener, to alter and entrain his brainwaves, to manipulate his brain’s electroencephalographic (EEG) patterns and artificially implant negative emotional states—feels of fear, anxiety, despair and hopelessness.” The extent to which that early application met expectations is presumably undocumented, but many or perhaps all targeted individuals are well aware that such technologies have advanced significantly, a fact which poses an enormous threat for cognitive liberty in consideration of potential applications for the purpose of conditioning and brainwashing. Personally, for a very long time, I experienced fear, loathing and other emotional responses when working on, observing or thinking about things they wanted to brainwash me away from, and experienced elation, comfort, etc. (at times when my guard was low, for example after significant sleep deprivation or when busy with other activities), when they tried to suggest certain things they wanted to brainwash into me. As such, to prevent brainwashing and uphold one’s own cognitive liberty, one must remain aware of emotions and how they may be used to draw associations between certain thoughts, activities, desires, values, etc. for the purpose of brainwashing.

This figure is credited to US Coalition Against Covert Harassment. Accessed from https://uscach.org/ on April 26, 2016.
Targeted individual (a.k.a. subjects of experimentation and/or assets):

A term that has been used by a variety of people who have been attacked using many of the electronic weapons and techniques described in this glossary. Some believe themselves to be under attack by traditional criminal syndicates, others understand themselves to be targets of suppression by partisan, ideological and/or nationalist plants working under the cover of the security state in their respective countries to repress constitutionally protected freedom of speech or the right to peaceful protest. In many cases, these appear to be individuals who suffered under attacks from such electronic weapons and strategies, whether for purposes of experimentation, political repression or intimidation, and who spoke out openly about what is happening instead of submitting to whatever forces were trying to establish their obedience. In some cases, these targeted individuals seem to have been selected on the basis of belonging to a hated group, being vulnerable in some manner, and not so much in relation to trying to shut them up or brainwash them into submission to become a slave puppet of the forces which are presently using these technologies for highly nefarious sends.

Refer to organized stalking for a description of common experiences of targeted individuals. Also, this entire glossary is designed to fill in all the rest of the gaps in the technologies (neuroweapons) and strategies being used against targeted individuals. There appear to be two general groups of targeted individuals: 1) those who are targeted because someone thought they were vulnerable or weak and could be ‘recruited’ into a brainwashed, coerced and/or intimidated informal enslaved army of sorts, or alternatively who were deemed ‘expendable’ for torturous experimentation of these methods, and 2) those who are targeted because they are outspoken about various threats to the wellbeing of the general population. In both cases, the psychological torture, organized stalking, use of neuroweapons, etc. against these individuals serves as intimidation against others.

Terrorism (in some cases, including freedom fighting):

There is no established definition of terrorism in international law, largely because the need to recognize a legitimate right to revolution, a legitimate right for freedom fighting, etc., tends to prevent
any such agreement. Many nation states have their own specific definitions of terrorism, and this definition is not based on those nation-specific laws.

Dictionary.com defines terrorism as follows, with some minor modifications to reflect the realities of neurowarfare and electronic weapons: 197

1. the use of violence (psychological and/or physical) and threats to intimidate or coerce, especially for political purposes.
2. the state of fear and submission produced by terrorism or terrorization.
3. a terroristic method of governing or of resisting a government.

In the present context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, including via neuroweapons, many who end up on related watch lists may in fact be ‘Truthers’, some of whom secretly fight for the freedom of the handlers, frontline perpetrators, in addition to seeking to promote the ongoing freedom of targeted individuals and diffusion of information which may serve to protect the public. Of course, it is rather obvious who the real terrorists are in such a situation – those who brainwash others into perpetrating terroristic strategies are clearly orders of magnitude more guilty than the frontline perpetrators.

THINTHREAD:

ThinThread is the name of a project that the United States National Security Agency (NSA) pursued during the 1990s198. The program involved wiretapping and sophisticated analysis of the resulting data, but according to the article, the program was discontinued three weeks before the September 11, 2001 attacks due to the changes in priorities and the consolidation of U.S. intelligence authority.199

The "change in priority" consisted of the decision made by the director of NSA General Michael V. Hayden to go with a concept called Trailblazer, despite the fact that ThinThread was a working prototype that claimed to protect the privacy of U.S. citizens. ThinThread was dismissed and replaced by the Trailblazer Project, which lacked the privacy protections.200 A consortium led by Science Applications International Corporation was awarded a $280 million contract to develop Trailblazer in 2002.201

Trailblazer was chosen over a similar program named ThinThread, a less costly project which had been designed with built-in privacy protections for United States citizens. Trailblazer was later linked to the NSA electronic surveillance program and the NSA warrantless surveillance controversy.

Several whistleblowers involved in the much cheaper ThinThread project which was purported to protect the privacy of US citizens, and who reported waste, fraud and abuse in the TrailBlazer Project were later raided by the FBI.

**Thought crimes** (in the electronic age; also see pre-crime):

‘Traditionally’, or rather as used in popular culture, thought crimes have referred to instances where people are prevented from voicing opinions which are politically incorrect or which others may potentially be offended by. In the present day in the West, complaints relating to thought crimes abound from those who wish to express racist, homophobic and/or sexist views – however, these are socially enforced norms and rarely, almost never, involve any sanction by use of any powers associated with the state unless actively promoting violence (or in some jurisdictions, ‘merely’ hateful attitudes) towards any group on such bases.

The term thought crime was popularized in in the novel Nineteen Eighty-Four by George Orwell (published in 1949), where the job of The Thought Police (the secret police of the novel) is to uncover and punish thought crime. The Thought Police use surveillance and psychological monitoring to find and eliminate members of society who challenge the party's authority and ideology.

Cases of theocracies and/or ideologically conformist (generally authoritarian) political entities (such as a nation or state) where outward conformity has been required abound in history – easily understood requirements for outward conformity such as not questioning the authority of a king, other dictator figure and/or specific political or social institution also abound historically. I do not deem it to be constructive to go about listing specific historical or present cases, but it should suffice to say that the range of ‘thought control’ may range from raised eyebrows in disagreement to lifelong torture.

In the context of an age where electronic weapons are real, the concept of thought crimes needs to be updated. While the traditional view of what constitutes a thought crime should still involve considering the entire concept as morally repugnant – with some reasonable exceptions where clear, transparent and publicly available argumentation is put forward, and where there are at least occasional venues for

---

good-faith open debate in any absence of any social or formal reprisal for taking any position – thought crimes in the electronic age are an entirely different issue.

In instances of theocracies and/or ideologically conformist (generally authoritarian) political entities, it has always been sufficient to outwardly display conformity, while retaining complete cognitive liberty to privately dissent within the freedom of one’s own mind. In the age of electronic weapons, freedom of conscience and cognitive liberty is significantly threatened in a manner that goes well beyond ‘merely’ requiring outward conformism. Any such notion of thought crimes in the electronic age should be strictly prohibited – among other things, it should be considered as desirable that some people (conditional on having a strong moral compass and/or mastery of anti-brainwashing techniques) may, at times, wish to explore a variety of otherwise ‘unacceptable’ thoughts, actions, etc., within the confines of their own mind, in order to understand human consciousness, the human condition, and the potential for ‘deviance’ more fully, and should expect to be able to do so in a complete absence of external monitoring of such intellectual inquiry which would constrain their perceived freedom of such pursuits, including an expectation to be free from externally directed cognitive interference which may strive to surreptitiously persuade (brainwash) them into a preference for such ‘deviant’ streams of thinking or action, for the purpose of, say, psychiatric reprisal and/or blackmail.

Requiring outward conformism is very often morally repugnant itself – any actual or perceived requirement of inner conformity would be the greatest threat to individual and societal freedom ever faced in the history of the planet, and that is not an exaggeration in the slightest.

**Transcranial magnetic stimulation:**

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a method used to stimulate small regions of the brain. During a TMS procedure, a magnetic field generator, or ‘coil’, is placed near the head of the person.\(^{208}\) The coil produces small electric currents in the region of the brain just under the coil via electromagnetic induction. The coil is connected to a pulse generator, or stimulator, that delivers electric current to the coil.\(^{209}\) In addition to legitimate medical and health potential of such technologies, there may also be a civilian sector interest to demonstrate the potentials of neuroweapons and their threats.

One discovery in the civilian\(^ {211}\) sector using such technology relates to an experiment where researchers discovered that a group which had the targeted brain region shut down reported 32.8% less belief in God, angels, or heaven, and were 28.5% more positive in their feelings toward an immigrant who criticised their country (reduced religious faith was also found). Clearly such technologies are not benign.

---

\(^{208}\) NiCE (Jan 2014). “Transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating and preventing migraine”.


Could an opposite effect be elicited, for MORE hostility against certain groups, or to promote additionally dogmatic subservience to a programmed ideological outlook?

**Torture (for this context, especially no-touch torture):**

*Torture* means any act or omission by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person (a) for a purpose including (i) obtaining from the person or from a third person information or a statement, (ii) punishing the person for an act that the person or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, and (iii) intimidating or coercing the person or a third person, or (b) for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, but does not include any act or omission arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.

While *torture* breaks numerous laws in every country on the planet, the international legal framework is guided by The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

**Totalitarianism:**

*Totalitarianism* is a political system where the state recognizes no limits to its authority and strives to regulate every aspect of public and private life wherever feasible.

**U**

*Unique electric resonance frequencies (brain):*

This theory posits that every individual has a *unique electric resonance frequency* in their brain, and may be understood as one of the mechanisms whereby *remote neural monitoring* operates. However, it is altogether possible that this concept was planted by nefarious operatives who wish to instil fear and *intimidation* in the populace. Far more likely, rather more well understood (and often very ‘traditional’) means of identifying the location and identity of an individual are used, after which point advanced means of tracking and *remote neural monitoring* are applied.

**V**

*Vision reconstruction:*
As early as 2011, civilian researchers at Berkley were encoding models which intercepted brain activity for the purpose of reconstructing visual imagery (in addition to predicting which words would be associated with those images/thoughts). This civilian technique was based on fMRI imaging.212

**Voice of God** (electronic weaponry):

Refer to **voice to skull** or **pulse-modulated electromagnetic radiations** for some technical explanations. This is basically just a different word for the same thing. In applying these technologies, the perpetrating entity may seek to convince the target that it is the **voice of God** speaking to them. If the target can be persuaded to believe that this is true, they may ultimately believe that they are serving ‘good’ in following diverse orders, which, in fact, serve some particularly evil ends. For example, to convince the target(s) that it is necessary to bring war upon some enemy in order to lead the pathway to heaven. For an extended period of time in Canada, in particular around 2013-2014, the ‘success’ of such an approach was apparent, until it because patently obvious that the whole thing was a work of pure evil, at which point the notion that “there is no choice”, etc., was promoted along the lines that citizens should believe that the ‘successful’ ambush in the psychological warfare (neurowarfare) had resulted in a situation that was a completely done deal, along the lines of “resistance is futile”.

---

**Voice to skull** (a.k.a. Auditory disturbances):

**Voice to skull** devices or V2K are nonlethal electronic weapons which include (1) a neuro-electromagnetic device which uses microwave (electromagnetic wave) transmission of sound into the skull of persons or animals by way of pulse-modulated electromagnetic waves; and (2) a silent sound device which can transmit sound into the skull of person or animals. NOTE: The sound modulation may be voice or audio subliminal messages. One application of V2K is use as an electronic scarecrow to frighten birds in the vicinity of airports.

Microwave (electromagnetic wave) radiation pulses (frequencies roughly in the range of hundreds of MHz to tens of GHz) are transmitted to the individual, then, “upon absorption by soft tissues in the head,

launches a thermoelastic wave of acoustic pressure that travels by bone conduction to the inner ear. There, it activates the cochlear receptors via the same process involved for normal hearing.” Most likely, this involves use of a transducer to modify the spoken word into ELF audiograms, that are then superimposed on the pulse modulated microwave beam.

Using ELF audiograms carried by a single pulse-modulated maser, subvocalized thoughts can be placed in the targeted individual's brain. This enables synthetic telepathy operators the ability to enter into conversations with the targeted individual to drive him/her mad or to bring up key words which will get the victim thinking about the (potentially falsely planted) information they wish to find. Visual cortex excitation potentials can also be broadcast into the victim’s brain so that illusory images can be projected into their brain for a diversity of purposes according to the ‘needs’ of the perpetrating entity.

The specific interest of this term is that it describes how people can ‘hear voices’ (or even ‘hallucinate’ images) but not be hallucinating. The nefarious ends to which such technologies may be used may be understood by referring to the anti-Nazi anti-Brainwashing toolkit (very incomplete draft available) or reading up on targeted individuals in a variety of online locations. I am aware of some potential positive uses of such technologies. The people who wish to defend such arguments should step forward into the light and make them. I will not make those arguments for them.

As early as 1995, the US military was on the verge of breakthrough with such technologies in the sense of modulating the electromagnetic pulses for the purpose of remotely communicating voices into the mind of a target.214

---


214 Awarding Agency: Department of Defense; SBIR Contract Number: F41624-95-C-9007; Title: Communicating Via the Microwave Auditory Effect; Principal Investigator: Mr. Brian Kohn; Company Name: Science & Engineering Assoc, Inc.; 6100 Uptown Blvd NE; Albuquerque, NM 87110; Telephone Number: 505-884-2300; Business Representative: (empty); Project Period: (empty); Project Amount: $739,995; Research Category: Monitoring/Analytical. Accessed from [https://www.sbir.gov/sbirsearch/detail/298760](https://www.sbir.gov/sbirsearch/detail/298760) on April 30, 2016.
**War crime:**

A **war crime** is an act that constitutes a serious violation of the law of war (defined in the statute that established the International Criminal Court) that gives rise to individual criminal responsibility. Examples of **war crimes** include intentionally killing **civilians** or prisoners, **torture**, destroying **civilian** property, taking hostages, perfidy, **rape**, using child soldiers, pillaging, declaring that no quarter will be given, and using weapons that cause superfluous injury or unnecessary suffering.

**Whistleblower:**

A **whistleblower** is a person who exposes any kind of information or activity that is deemed illegal, unethical, or not correct within an organization that is either private or public. The information of alleged wrongdoing can be classified in many ways: violation of company policy/rules, law, regulation, or threat to public interest/national security, as well as fraud, **corruption** or tyranny. Those who become **whistleblowers** can choose to bring information or allegations to surface either internally or externally. Internally, a **whistleblower** can bring his/her accusations to the attention of other people within the accused organization. Externally, a **whistleblower** can bring allegations to light by contacting a third party outside of an accused organization. He/she can reach out to the media, government, law enforcement, or those who are concerned. **Whistleblowers** also face stiff reprisal/retaliation from those who are accused or alleged of wrongdoing.

Third-party groups like Wikileaks and others offer protection to **whistleblowers**, but that protection can only go so far. **Whistleblowers** face legal action, criminal charges, social stigma, and termination from any position, office, or job.

Two classifications of **whistleblowing** are private and public, depending on the type of organizations someone chooses to **whistleblow** on: private sector, or public sector. Both can have different results that depend on many factors. However, **whistleblowing** in the public sector organization is more likely to result in federal felony charges and jail time. A **whistleblower** who chooses to accuse a private sector organization or agency is more likely to face termination and legal and civil charges. Legal protection can be granted to protect **whistleblowers**, but that protection is subject to many stipulations. Hundreds of laws grant protection to **whistleblowers**, but stipulations can easily cloud that protection and leave **whistleblowers** vulnerable to retaliation and legal trouble. However, the decision and action has become far more complicated with recent advancements in technology and communication. **Whistleblowers** frequently face reprisal, sometimes at the hands of the organization or group which they have accused, sometimes from related organizations, and sometimes under law.

**Targeted individuals** who experience **electronic harassment** are all potential **whistleblowers**. And hence, the interest to **ambush** them and **brainwash** or otherwise silence them before they have a clue what is going on. In addition to **targeted individuals** who appear to have been selected for **non-consensual human experimentation**, a rather unscientific analysis of **targeted individual** social

---

216 Article 8 of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.
networks is strongly indicative that this group disproportionately involves people who are outspoken on issues of corruption in the nexus of politics and big business, who are strong advocates of freedom and civil liberties, and who tend to be peace advocates who promote good relations between genders, sexes, and ethnic/racial and/or religious groups.

A recent study on whistleblowing shows that when you start listing all the protections that you give whistleblowers, you start raising awareness of the risks and dangers and does more to deter whistleblowing than to encourage it – it recommends that rather than describing explicit protections offered from retaliation, organizations could instead more explicitly describe the organization's commitment to good corporate governance and ethical behaviour.\textsuperscript{217}

\textbf{X}

\textbf{X-ray:}

An electromagnetic wave of high energy and very short wavelength, which is able to pass through many materials opaque to light. This has absolutely nothing to do with the issues at play in relation to this glossary, but “X” was looking rather empty. Naturally, I sympathize with the empty feelings of the X, and thought this would be a much more homely entry than “X-rated”, a word relating to thoughts which have come to dominate the minds of those involved in the offending end of the psychological warfare in the electronic age, to an altogether unnatural degree.

\textbf{Y}

\textbf{Yo-yo:}

If you can identify with many of these terms, you know what it’s like to be treated like a yo-yo. Build you up, break you down, build you up, break you down … brainwashing.

If you cannot identify with the above, please help to raise awareness about these issues so that electronic weapons and neuroweapons as described in this glossary will cannot be used to treat you like a yo-yo (or worse) either. Learn to rapidly equalize your emotional self, but do not deny your emotional self.

\textbf{Z}

\textbf{Zersetzung:}

This entry primarily serves as a matter of historical interest and does not provide any additional information with regards to psychological warfare in the electronic age (neurowarfare). Clearly, the

methods previously applied by the Stasi have become much more highly refined (especially as paired with neuroweapons) and broadly implemented in the present day, including in many countries with long-standing society-wide dedication to political freedom and freedom of expression. Of particular interest is that a) the truth DID come out, and b) the repressive political entities which used such tactics eventually collapsed in the face of widespread latent hate and feelings of resistance against the system that would do such things.

Zersetzung (German; variously translated as decomposition, corrosion, undermining, biodegradation or dissolution) was a psychological technique of the East German secret police, the Stasi, and was used to silence political opponents. The "measures of Zersetzung", defined in the framework of a directive on police procedures in 1976, were used in the context of so-called "operational procedures". They replaced the overt terror of the Ulbricht era.

The practice of political repression in Zersetzung comprised extensive and secret methods of control and psychological manipulation, including personal relationships of the target, for which the Stasi relied on its network of informal collaborators, the State's power over institutions, and on operational psychology. Using targeted psychological attacks the Stasi tried to deprive a dissident of any chance of a "hostile action".

The Stasi, or Ministry for State Security, defined Zersetzung in its 1985 dictionary of political operatives as:

"...a method of operation by the Ministry for State Security for an efficacious struggle against subversive activities, particularly in the treatment of operations. With Zersetzung one can influence hostile and negative individuals across different operational political activities, especially the hostile and negative aspects of their dispositions and beliefs, so these are abandoned and changed little by little, and, if applicable, the contradictions and differences between the hostile and negative forces would be laid open, exploited, and reinforced.

The goal of Zersetzung is the fragmentation, paralysis, disorganization, and isolation of the hostile and negative forces, in order to preventatively impede the hostile and negative activities, to largely restrict, or to totally avert them, and if applicable to prepare the ground for a political and ideological reestablishment.

Zersetzung is equally an immediate constitutive element of "operational procedures" and other preventive activities to impede hostile gatherings. The principal forces to execute Zersetzung are the unofficial collaborators. Zersetzung presupposes information and significant proof of hostile activities...

---


planned, prepared, and accomplished as well as anchor points corresponding to measures of Zersetzung."^{220}

The Stasi used Zersetzung essentially as a means of psychological oppression and persecution. Findings of operational psychology^{221} were formulated into method at the Stasi’s College of Law (at Potsdam Legal University - Juristische Hochschule Potsdam), and applied to political opponents in an effort to undermine their self-confidence and self-esteem. Operations were designed to intimidate and destabilise them by subjecting them to repeated disappointment, and to socially alienate them by interfering with and disrupting their relationships with others as in social undermining. The aim was to induce personal crises in victims, leaving them too unnerved and psychologically distressed to have the time and energy for anti-government activism.^{222} The Stasi intentionally concealed their role as mastermind of the operations.^{223} Author Jürgen Fuchs was a victim of Zersetzung and wrote about his experience, describing the Stasi’s actions as “psychosocial crime”, and “an assault on the human soul”.^{224}

Although its techniques had been established effectively by the late 1950s, Zersetzung was not defined as a scientific method until the mid-1970s, and only then began to be carried out in a systematic manner in the 1970s and 1980s.^{225} It is difficult to determine how many people were targeted, since the sources have been deliberately and considerably redacted; it is known, however, that tactics varied in scope, and that a number of different departments implemented them. Overall there was a ratio of four or five authorised Zersetzung operators for each targeted group, and three for each individual.^{226} Some sources indicate that around 5,000 people were “persistently victimised” by Zersetzung.^{227} (Presumably the number could easily run into the hundreds of thousands or millions who were victimized as a part of the Zersetzung, since the vast majority of targeted individuals wouldn’t have had a clue, but nevertheless would have gotten the message, and in a matter of some days or weeks would have “got the message”

---

224 Pingel-Schliemann S (2009), p. 188.
and became submissive, or in any case not persist in their freedom.) The College of Legal Studies had a comprehensive 50-page Zersetzung teaching manual, which included numerous examples of its practice.228

It was applied against both groups and individuals. Methods against individuals include the following:

“…a systematic degradation of reputation, image, and prestige in a database on one part true, verifiable and degrading, and on the other part false, plausible, irrefutable, and always degrading; a systematic organization of social and professional failures for demolishing the self-confidence of the individual; [...] stimulation of doubts with respect to perspectives on the future; stimulation of mistrust or mutual suspicion among groups [...] putting in place spatial and temporal obstacles rendering impossible or at least difficult the reciprocal relations of a group [...] for example by [...] assigning distant workplaces. — Directive No. 1/76 of January 1976 for the development of "operational procedures".229

The naming of the present day Zersetzung is not available to the public. I believe it is roughly accurate to call it “Neurowar I: paid unprotection – ambush of civilians by rogue arms of the state”.

228 Potsdam Legal University - Juristische Hochschule Potsdam (1977). “Anforderungen und Wege für eine konzentrierte, offensive, rationelle und gesellschaftlich wirksame Vorgangsbearbeitung.”
Appendices:

Please note that these appendices are not even close to being complete at present, and not been fact checked, edited for consistency, etc. They are provided for advanced informational purposes only. Please proceed to the next page.
Appendix A: An exploratory list of potentially legitimate uses of electronic weapons and related technologies

Criminal investigations (including counter-terrorism): Due to the possibility of planting a false memory, various forms of conditioning and brainwashing, etc., the principle of informed consent and the right to refuse to give potentially incriminating testimony should apply. Any individual whose rights of due process have been upheld should retain the right to refuse this advanced polygraph technology.

I understand that there will be demands from the security state to use such technologies for purposes of monitoring for ‘thought crimes’ of suspected individuals. The slippery slope in such cases, with particularly nefarious potential to degrade into use of pre-crime investigations, should absolutely be feared. However, I propose a sort of reasonable middle ground that might be acceptable to reasonable parties who do not actually wish to abuse such technologies.

1) There should be no such thing as classified reasoning for the use of such technologies for monitoring, and treaties and agreements between countries should constrain and make transparent, not facilitate, the use of such technologies against the citizens of the respect second party countries. The public should have unconstrained, full and easy access to a full listing of ALL individuals being monitored using such technologies, including unconstrained, full and easy access to ALL documents and argumentation explaining the rationale, including unconstrained, full and easy access to ALL elements of evidence which have been used (with some reasonable considerations for protecting the identities, of those involved in collecting such evidence, for example not have to mention anything more than the country where the alleged information was collected) to build up the rap sheet. As a general principle, previous criminal convictions should be generally be a prerequisite to use of such monitoring technologies – it should be assumed that suggestive argumentation with regard to ‘national security’ or ‘public safety’ will be promoted successfully by agents of the security state.

2) Use of such technologies should always be framed as the ultimate invasion of privacy, going infinitely further than merely bugging a phone or house or office, installing cameras in every room, following the individual absolutely everywhere, etc. As such, an explicit limit to the number of individuals who warrant such attention should be set (for example, at a total of 100 individuals nation wide) – changes in this number should only be possible with full disclosure to all known media outlets (independent or otherwise), NGOs, political organizations, think tanks and any other formal and informal civil society organizations, a full 6 months before any legislative decision is made. Any decisions should require formal legislative action, and any ability of unaccountable actors to apply such changes should not exist. Any efforts to intimidate, blackmail, etc. any organization or individual from reporting on and freely debating the proposed change should be matched with potentially highly punitive legal sanction (judges’ discretion should be prioritized).

3) Efforts to brainwash or otherwise manipulate the cognition of the individual should be strictly forbidden with very punitive mandatory minimum sentences with full public disclosure even for individuals in the deepest and most secret arms of the state.
4) ‘Thought crimes’ shall at no time whatsoever be considered as illegal or warranting additional investigation, punitive measures, confinement, restrictions of freedom, or any analogous or related considerations under any sort of pre-crime violation – this is especially in consideration of the possibility that nefarious actors may try to suggestively or otherwise introduce these ‘thought crimes’ into the mind of the individual in order to legitimize the intrusion. However, if such monitoring reveals a concern that the safety of a specific individual or the public at large may be threatened, the concerned individuals and/or public should be non-hysterically informed in the absolute shortest possible delay, and public safety officers should be deployed to the potential location of the incident to ensure safety. In cases where such monitoring gives the idea that the individual may be constructing any tools or weapons which may threaten public safety, evidence should be presented to a judge to obtain a warrant prior to any search of any private premises – in any other case the present legal occupant of the premises shall retain the right to refuse entry with zero explanation, with a requirement that the occupant is explicitly informed of this right prior to entry, with the presumption of innocence prioritized in all such communications.

**Personal health:** A variety of therapeutic applications of such technologies are already in the works, and presumably full disclosure of ‘classified’ technologies which lead to extremely rapid gains in the civilian sector, in particular for treating physical and/or mental health ailments. Individual consent must be paramount, and individual control over the apparatus should also be prioritized except in cases where an analogue of power of attorney in addition to recommendations of a licensed medical professional is formalized.

**Political protest seems to be trending towards violence:** Send out EM waves which will elicit calm and/or tranquility in the population, without making any use whatsoever of individually targeted use of EM weapons.

**Prison riot:** Send out EM waves which will elicit calm and/or fatigue in the population, without making any use whatsoever of individually targeted use of EM weapons.

**Public or private events, for purposes of promoting products or services, etc., or ideological, partisan and/or religious/spiritual, etc. views:** None, with the exception of fully informed consent of all participants. Use of such technologies in the absence of fully informed consent, with suitable efforts to explain to any affected audiences the technical aspects of the effects in addition to the effects themselves, should be met by strict legal sanction, with a very high range for potential punishments, and essentially complete discretion of the judge to apply an appropriate level of punishment, with the exception of leaving a permanent record for the perpetrating entity. A legal requirement to disclose knowledge of use of such technologies should apply, with lesser punishments for failure to disclose.

**Situation of ‘just war’, following a formal declaration of war by at least one party** (including reasonable analogues against non-state actors which are not yet covered under existing international law for such purposes): Send out EM waves, namely, synthetic telepathy, which seek to communicate a) understanding of legitimate grievances, if any, with a view to promoting inclusion in the political process to address such concerns by peaceful and non-majoritarian means, whether formally ‘democratic’ or
otherwise, and b) the reality of any exaggeration and/or brainwashing which has led to the movement becoming militant when (if) their legitimate grievances do not warrant an escalation towards violent reprisal or means of addressing the situation.

**Surveillance in active war zones or areas of heightened security concern:** As evaluated by the US Army's Mission Impact through Neurotechnology Design (MIND) Lab at the Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, sequences of imagery can be viewed by a human operator looking for anything out of the ordinary, such as a vehicle, someone digging by the side of the road, etc.. A computer can flag “of interest” for a more careful analysis of the image. This can be combined with eye tracking to further isolate the region of interest in the presented image.
Appendix B: Alternative sources/perspectives; related advocacy organizations

American Civil Liberties Union: www.aclu.org. For nearly 100 years, the ACLU has been our nation’s guardian of liberty, working in courts, legislatures, and communities to defend and preserve the individual rights and liberties that the Constitution and the laws of the United States guarantee everyone in this country.

bigbrotherwatchingus.com: This site has somewhat of a hysteric tone (and justifiably so). However, it also has a great many links which detail a great number of reports which are 100% consistent with many of our worst fears of where things could be going (and have already gone). Among others, it includes an extensive list of patents relating to neuroweapons, many of which originating from the 1970s – in the case of contradiction between that site and this glossary, I believe the information and perspectives in the present document to be more accurate.

Center for Cognitive Liberty and Ethics: www.cognitiveliberty.org. The CCLE is dedicated to protecting and advancing freedom of thought in the modern world of accelerating neurotechnologies. Their paramount concern is to foster the unlimited potential of the human mind and to protect freedom of thought.

Electronic Frontier Foundation: www.eff.org. The Electronic Frontier Foundation is the leading nonprofit organization defending civil liberties in the digital world. Founded in 1990, EFF champions user privacy, free expression, and innovation through impact litigation, policy analysis, grassroots activism, and technology development. It works to ensure that rights and freedoms are enhanced and protected as our use of technology grows.

Electronic Privacy Information Center: www.epic.org. EPIC is a public interest research center in Washington, DC. EPIC was established in 1994 to focus public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues and to protect privacy, freedom of expression, and democratic values in the information age. EPIC pursues a wide range of program activities including policy research, public education, conferences, litigation, publications, and advocacy. EPIC routinely files amicus briefs in federal courts, pursues open government cases, defends consumer privacy, organizes conferences for NGOs, and speaks before Congress and judicial organizations about emerging privacy and civil liberties issues.

European Symposium on Non-lethal Weapons: www.non-lethal-weapons.org. A working group on non-lethal weapons that holds annual symposiums

Federation of American Scientists: fas.org. The Federation of American Scientists (FAS) provides science-based analysis of and solutions to protect against catastrophic threats to national and international security. Specifically, FAS works to reduce the spread and number of nuclear weapons, prevent nuclear and radiological terrorism, promote high standards for nuclear energy’s safety and security, illuminate government secrecy practices, as well as prevent the use of biological and chemical weapons. The Google-powered search function on their website is a good source of high-quality science on many topics and terms defined and discussed in this glossary.
Institute for Non-Lethal Defense Technologies: www.arl.psu.edu/INLDT. The mission of the Institute for Non-Lethal Defense Technologies is to promote, coordinate, and conduct interdisciplinary research and development of non-lethal concepts and technologies.

International Center Against Abuse of Covert Technologies: www.icaact.org. ICAACT was created to bring awareness to the general public and the legal systems around the world about serious human rights abuses utilizing remote influencing technologies. Our aim is to obtain evidence.

Mind Justice: www.mindjustice.org. A human rights group working for the rights and protections of mental integrity and freedom from new technologies and weapons which target the mind and nervous system.

National Whistleblowers Centre: www.whistleblowers.org. Highly recommended for the perpetrators of illegal and evil activities that they have been brainwashed or forced into perpetrating.

Stop Covert War: www.StopCovertWar.com. An organization which primarily serves for networking and social support for victims of organized stalking, etc. A few hoops need to be jumped through before accessing the main content of their homepage.

Targeted Individuals Canada: targetedindividualscanada.com. A site for activism, advocacy and networking for people who are targeted by organized stalking and electronic harassment as well as people who supports the TI community and who believe these criminal activities are a violation of human rights legislations in Canada and around the globe.

The Electronic Surveillance Project: esurveillance.ist.psu.edu. Some links relating to the legal status with regards to electronic weapons.

US Coalition Against Covert Harassment: uscach.org. Some good information on what’s going on. But a closer reading of some releases demonstrates that it’s rather more dedicated to justifying the ultimate attack on freedom than the purported goal of whistleblowing – alternatively, there may have been some infiltration and they are essentially well meaning. Fine tune your sixth sense at this site.

World Coalition Against Covert Harassment: worldcach.com. The Coalition against Covert Harassment (USCACH) is a not-for-profit organisation committed to raising awareness to the problem of non-consensual human experimentation using remote influencing technologies and techniques on the human mind and body. We propose clear ethical boundaries to regulate their use.
Appendix C: Annotated bibliography of I) Key scientific articles or II) Official statements on abilities in key technologies relating to neurowarfare and III) Books documenting/interpreting personal experiences. Also, IV) Movies which deal with related themes; V) Music which deals with related themes; VI) Changes in laws (USA) which have contributed to the ability to terrorize with limited legal recourse; VII) Known whistleblowers; VIII) Descriptions of offensive and defensive technologies available in civilian markets

Not all of these articles, official statements, books, movies, songs, etc., are referenced elsewhere in this document. Some of them are more general discussions and others are more related to demonstrating general capacity or the desire of the state or other actors to develop and use such capacities.

I) Key scientific articles:


Electromagnetic fields are demonstrated to affect the calcium flows in chick and cat tissues. Maximum decreases occurred at 6 and 16 Hz (12-15%). Thresholds were around 10 and 56 V/m for chick and cat tissues, respectively.


Discusses the study of radio-frequency electromagnetic fields, especially microwaves, in psychology. Some fundamental principles of wave theory and historical developments in the field are summarized, and methodological and instrumentation issues are examined. It includes evidence and discussion of the demonstration of voice to skull (synthetic telepathy) technologies by researcher Dr. Joseph Sharp in 1973.


Rates of calcium (45Ca2+) flows between neural tissues were studied. When 16-Hz sinusoidally amplitude modulated 450-MHz microwave field (maximal incident intensity 0.5 mW/cm2, modulation depth 75%) was applied during the second phase, the rate constant increased by 38%. Unmodulated or 60-Hz modulated signals were not effective.

II) Official statements or publications:
III) Books or articles dealing with these technologies, reported experiences of victims, and psychological preparedness:

McKinney J (Dec 1992). “Microwave harassment and mind control experimentation”. Written by an ex-US Army Intelligence Officer, this brief document provides an overview of available technologies in 1992 including their application in what is referred to as a ‘KGB mentality’. A description of some forms of harassment (and worse) used at that time is provided, in addition to objectives of said harassment. It should be noted that the content of this document is not easily verifiable, but is obviously believable by any targeted individual.


White E (Feb 2010). “Coping with the crime of organized stalking and electronic harassment”. This very concise book discusses many strategies to deal with the reality of organized stalking, electronic harassment, etc., including how to deal with it yourself, how to discuss it with people, how (or whether) to speak with authorities, collection of evidence, and other useful tidbits.

IV) Movies which deal with related themes:

Enemy of the State (1998): A lawyer becomes a target by a corrupt politician and his NSA goons when he accidentally receives key evidence to a serious politically motivated crime. Directed by Tony Scott; written by David Marconi; starring Will Smith, Gene Hackman and Jon Voight.

The Sleep Room (1998): Based on a true story, this production relates the tale of two American lawyers who try to bring the U.S. government to its knees. In the early 1950s, the CIA funds a Montreal psychiatric hospital to do some research on mind control. Thirty-some years later, the patients want justice... Directed by Anne Wheeler; written by Anne Collins (book) and Bruce M. Smith; starring Leon Pownall, Macha Grenon and Nicola Cavendish.

The Matrix (1999): A computer hacker learns from mysterious rebels about the true nature of his reality and his role in the war against its controllers. Directed by Lana Wachowski and Lilly Wachowski; written by Lana Wachowski and Lilly Wachowski; starring Keanu Reeves, Laurence Fishburne and Carrie-Anne Moss.

Minority Report (2002): In a future where a special police unit is able to arrest murderers before they commit their crimes, an officer from that unit is himself accused of a future murder. Directed by Steven Spielberg; written by Philip K. Dick (short story) and Scott Frank (screenplay); starring Tom Cruise, Colin Farrell and Samantha Morton.

Control Factor (2003): An average everyman discovers he’s the unwitting target of an ultra secret domestic black-op centering on mind control. Directed by Nelson McCormick; written by John Dombrow; starring Adam Baldwin, Elizabeth Berkley and Tony Todd.
The Manchurian Candidate (2004): In the midst of the Gulf War, soldiers are kidnapped and brainwashed for sinister purposes. Directed by Jonathan Demme; written by Richard Condon (novel) and George Axelrod; starring Denzel Washington, Liev Schreiber and Meryl Streep.

Inception (2010): Based on a thief who steals corporate secrets through use of dream-sharing technology and who is given the inverse task of planting an idea into the mind of a CEO. Dream manipulation is also playing a major role in mind control programming. Directed by Christopher Nolan; written by Christopher Nolan; starring Leonardo DiCaprio, Joseph Gordon-Levitt and Ellen Page.

A Good American (2015): Tells the story of the best code-breaker the USA ever had and how he and a small team within NSA created a surveillance tool that could pick up any electronic signal on earth, filter it for targets and render results in real-time ... This is the story of former Technical director of NSA, Bill Binney, and a program called ThinThread. Directed by Friedrich Moser; written by Friedrich Moser; starring William Binney, Thomas Drake and Edward Loomis.

V) Music which deals with related themes:

VI) Changes in laws (USA) which have contributed to the ability to terrorize with limited legal recourse:

Patriot Act (2002, many further extensions)

Temporary elements which were permanently extended:

Temporary elements which have been continuously extended but are not extended permanently

National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)

Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA, 1978)

CFR–Code of Federal Regulations, here linked in Dept. of Health and Human Services’ Part 46, Protection for Human Subjects

Informed consent is no longer protected (need to get the exact details on that with a line reference and quote)

Here are the agencies to which it applies – the exceptions should be found in the relevant sections:

  7 CFR part 1c – Department of Agriculture
  10 CFR part 745 – Department of Energy
  14 CFR part 1230 – National Aeronautics and Space Administration
**VII) Known whistleblowers:**

Edward Snowden (NSA)

Dr. Robert Duncan (CIA)

William Binney (NSA)

J. Kirk Wiebe (NSA)

Ed Loomis (NSA)

Thomas A. Drake (NSA)

Karen Stewart (NSA)

Dennis Kucinich (US Congress)

Jim Guest (Missouri State Representative)

Julianne McKinney (US Army Intelligence Officer)

Dr. John Hall (doctor specializing in anesthesiology and pain management)

Dr. Richard Alan Miller (?)

Carl Clark (alongside British Security Services)

**VIII) Available technologies on the market:**
I have not evaluated any of these. A) They aren’t free. B) You’d need a sort of scientific method to evaluate them. C) I’m not sure how any of these observations can be attributed scientific or legal viability – you could just write down ANY numbers, no? Perhaps you could date stamp video recordings of the apparatus taking its measures, which also bothers me, because wouldn’t THEY love to have such experimental measures collected FOR them? I emphasize that I have not tested any of these devices.

For torture:

Ultrasound Pain Field Guns and Sonic Shock Wave Generators: amazing1.com/ultrasonics.html

These ultrasound pain field guns and generators can be tuned to target certain animals and unwanted human intruders. The effect does not cause injury but produces a debilitating highly discomforting feeling of nausea, disorientation and paranoia.

Sonic Nausea Device: amazing1.com/ultrasonics.html

Easily-hidden circuit produces a very annoying sonic wave that people feel, yet most do not hear, and cannot tolerate! Acoustic wave shape makes the source hard to locate. Great for ridding unwanted visitors, inside or out. Discreetly discourage unwanted gatherings, with radio control option allowing operation from up to 300’ away.

Invisible Pain Field Generator: amazing1.com/ultrasonics.html

Shirt pocket-sized, spooks dogs and other animals, even reported to scare bears away and people too! Miniature electronic device intended for potential vicious dog and animal protection. May discourage human encounters. Also used for field research work [??]. Complex sonic shock waves are internally adjustable for maximizing on target subject. Operating frequency is 18-19kHz (right at the edge of human hearing). Sweep mode intensifies effect. Effective range of up to 25 feet.


These handheld Ultrasound guns have many uses. They are portable and can flush out rats and other vermin in areas as silage bins, chicken coops, around dumpsters, etc. They can be used as a survey tool for research with animals on the effect of this pain field, and serve to determine whether the user may want a more permanent installation and system to help control his problem. They can also be quite effective on people.

Generation 2 Ultrasound Pain Field Generator with Radio Control, Special Issue Transducers and Focus Tubes for Long Range Concentrated Effect: amazing1.com/ultrasonics.html

These high powered ultrasonic pain field generators are designed as directional sources of concentrated effect. They are intended for protecting areas such as gun rooms, garages or
wherever a concentrated effect is necessary. Law enforcement installs multiple units on the roof of vehicles discouraging gathering of potential and unruly problem personal.


Uses gigahertz frequencies generated by a magnetron or higher powered virtual cathode oscillator, gyrotron or other exotic means. The higher the frequency the more beam directional control is possible.


The Mass Launcher fires a 4-ounce projectile to a velocity capable of splitting a 1 x 2" pine board on impact.

**Defense:**

QuWave Defender: [quwave.net/defender.html](http://quwave.net/defender.html)

The QuWave "Defender" produces a Scalar Field specially tuned to protect your body & brain from “Psychotronic Attacks“ and Electronic Harassment.

Bugsweep's Electrostatic Active Shield System: [bugsweeps.com/info/electronic_harassment.html](http://bugsweeps.com/info/electronic_harassment.html)

The Bugsweep's Electrostatic Active Shield System protects the targeted individual from directed energy attacks. The transmission panels emit an electrostatic energy that forms a protective field. Any directed energy attack is deflected off this energy field giving the targeted individual the ability to get on-going relief. The Bugsweep's Active Shield System is powered by a custom-designed electrostatic transmission power supply.

Meters and shielding products: [www.lessemf.com](http://www.lessemf.com)

A variety of (limited band sensitivity) EMF and other metres, in addition to some shielding products.

In particular, it is not clear to me that these products will be particularly useful for accurate reading of pulse modulations. I emailed the company and they said, if I understand correctly, that the products could read the average energy of the pulse modulations, but said nothing which suggests that any products have advanced capacity to read the pulse modulations.
Appendix D: Geneva Convention and Protocols in relation to the treatment of civilians – certain articles highlighted and extended/discussed in relation to the present situation of neurowarfare

The Geneva Convention and ensuing Protocols are not well developed for the purpose of neurowarfare. At present, this is the international legal framework relating to the conduct of war, and is declared to have universal jurisdiction decades after near unanimous ratification across the global community and no major complaints about the Convention as international law with regard to the conduct of war.

The realities of neurowarfare are rather different than they were in 1949 (original Convention) or 1977 (Protocols I and II), or 2005 (Protocol III, at which point in time neuroweapons had significantly advanced but the existence of them continued to be formally denied). As such, the extent to which the analogue can be drawn between the Geneva Convention and universal jurisdiction over the conduct of warfare, and the conduct of neurowarfare, is not altogether clear.

The following assembles international law relating to the treatment of civilians during warfare. While it is difficult to assert that the international community would agree that legal application of these principles should apply in the proposed manners in a context of neurowarfare, what is 100% clear is that current conduct of neurowarfare is utterly antithetical to all established principles by civilized nations with regard to the treatment of civilians during offensive or defensive security operations.

(This annex does not address the great multitude of domestic laws which are being violated in the testing and implementation of neuroweapons. National policies with regard to treatment of civilians do not have legal standing in the sense of legislative law, but are primarily derived from international treaties, namely the Geneva Convention and associated Protocols. Hence, the international legal framework appears to be the right place to look, considering the present difficulty faced by civilians in accessing the legal means of protection or redress in relation to severe violations of a great number of laws of warfare – in principle, if perhaps not in letter.)

It is time for the international community to update treaties on laws of warfare. Technology has advanced significantly, and the current international legal framework is wholly unsuited for the task. In a context where ongoing official denial of neuroweapons which are patently in use at this very moment, this will certainly be an uphill battle.230

Protocol 1 (1977)

Article 51 - Protection of the civilian population

230 The European Union has been making such calls since as early as 1999. As cited by E White (2000) from "Environment, Security, and Foreign Affairs", Resolution A40005/99, Minutes of 28/01/99 - Provisional Edition, European Parliament which includes the following articles – “Article 23: Calls on the European Union to seek to have the new ‘non-lethal’ weapons technology and the development of new arms strategies also covered and regulated by international conventions...” – “Article 27: Calls for an international convention introducing a global ban on all developments and deployments of weapons which might enable any form of manipulation of human beings.”
2 – The **civilian** population as such, as well as individual **civilians**, shall not be the object of attack. Acts or threats of violence the primary purpose of which is to spread terror among the **civilian** population are prohibited.

3 – **Civilians** shall enjoy the protection afforded by this Section, unless and for such time as they take a direct part in hostilities.

6 – Attacks against the **civilian** population or **civilians** by way of reprisals are prohibited.

8 – Any violation of these prohibitions shall not release the Parties to the conflict from their legal obligations with respect to the **civilian** population and **civilians**, including the obligation to take the precautionary measures provided for in Article 57.

**Article 52 - General protection of civilian objects**

.1 – **Civilian** objects shall not be the object of attack or of reprisals. **Civilian** objects are all objects which are not military objectives as defined in paragraph 2.

.2 – Attacks shall be limited strictly to military objectives.

.3 – In case of doubt whether an object which is normally dedicated to **civilian** purposes, such as a place of worship, a house or other dwelling or a school, is being used to make an effective contribution to military action, it shall be presumed not to be so used.

**Article 56 - Protection of works and installations containing dangerous forces**

.3 – In all cases, the **civilian** population and individual **civilians** shall remain entitled to all the protection accorded them by international law, including the protection of the precautionary measures provided for in Article 57.

**Article 57 - Precautions in attack**

.2 – With respect to attacks, the following precautions shall be taken:

a) those who plan or decide upon an attack shall:

   i) do everything feasible to verify that the objectives to be attacked are neither **civilians** nor **civilian** objects and are not subject to special protection but are military objectives within the meaning of paragraph 2 of Article 52 and that it is not prohibited by the provisions of this Protocol to attack them;

   ii) take all feasible precautions in the choice of means and methods of attack with a view to avoiding, and in any event to minimizing, incidental loss of **civilian** life, injury to **civilians** and damage to **civilian** objects;

b) an attack shall be cancelled or suspended if it becomes apparent that the objective is not a military one ...

c) **effective advance warning shall be given of attacks which may affect the civilian population, unless circumstances do not permit.**

.3 – When a choice is possible between several military objectives for obtaining a similar military advantage, the objective to be selected shall be that the attack on which may be expected to cause the least danger to **civilian** lives and to **civilian** objects.
No provision of this Article may be construed as authorizing any attacks against the civilian population, civilians or civilian objects.

Article 58 - Precautions against the effects of attacks

The Parties to the conflict shall, to the maximum extent feasible:

a) without prejudice to Article 49 of the Fourth Convention, endeavour to remove the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects under their control from the vicinity of military objectives;

b) avoid locating military objectives within or near densely populated areas;

c) take the other necessary precautions to protect the civilian population, individual civilians and civilian objects under their control against the dangers resulting from military operations.

Article 61

For the purposes of this Protocol:

a) “civil defence” means the performance of some or all of the undermentioned humanitarian tasks intended to protect the civilian population against the dangers, and to help it to recover from the immediate effects of hostilities or disasters and also to provide the conditions necessary for its survival. These tasks are:

i) warning;

ii) evacuation;

iii) management of shelters;

iv) management of blackout measures;

v) rescue;

viii) detection and marking of danger areas;

ix) decontamination and similar protective measures;

xv) complementary activities necessary to carry out any of the tasks mentioned above, including, but not limited to, planning and organization;

b) “civil defence organizations” means those establishments and other units which are organized or authorized by the competent authorities of a Party to the conflict to perform any of the tasks mentioned under sub-paragraph a), and which are assigned and devoted exclusively to such tasks;

c) “personnel” of civil defence organizations means those persons assigned by a Party to the conflict exclusively to the performance of the tasks mentioned under sub-paragraph a), including personnel assigned by the competent authority of that Party exclusively to the administration of these organizations;

Article 62 - General protection

.1 – Civilian civil defence organizations and their personnel shall be respected and protected, subject to the provisions of this Protocol, particularly the provision of this Section. They shall be entitled to perform their civil defence tasks except in case of imperative military necessity.

.2 - The provisions of paragraph 1 shall also apply to civilians who, although not members of civilian civil defence organizations, respond to an appeal from the competent authorities and perform civil defence tasks under their control.
Article 64 - **Civilian civil defence organizations of neutral or other States not Parties to the conflict and international co-ordinating organizations**

.1 – Articles 62, 63, 65 and 66 shall also apply to the personnel and matériel of civilian civil defence organizations of neutral or other States not Parties to the conflict which perform civil defence tasks mentioned in Article 61 in the territory of a Party to the conflict, with the consent and under the control of that Party. Notification of such assistance shall be given as soon as possible to any adverse Party concerned ...

Article 65 – **Cessation of Protection**

.1 – The protection to which civilian civil defence organizations, their personnel, buildings, shelters and matériel are entitled shall not cease unless they commit or are used to commit, outside their proper tasks, acts harmful to the enemy. Protection may, however, cease only after a warning has been given setting, whenever appropriate, a reasonable time-limit, and after such warning has remained unheeded.

Article 66 – **Identification**

.1 – Each Party to the conflict shall endeavour to ensure that its civil defence organizations, their personnel, buildings and matériel, are identifiable while they are exclusively devoted to the performance of civil defence tasks...

.4 - The international distinctive sign of civil defence is an equilateral blue triangle on an orange ground when used for the protection of civilian civil defence organizations, their personnel, buildings and matériel and for civilian shelters.

Article 75 – **Fundamental Guarantees** [this is still relating to civilians]

.1 – The following acts are and shall remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever, whether committed by civilian or by military agents:

a) violence to the life, health, or physical or mental well-being of persons, in particular:

ii) torture of all kinds, whether physical or mental;

---

231 This means that it would be necessary for a civilian protection organization in a context of psychological warfare in the electronic age, where primarily electronic weapons are used, to formally register with public authorities in order to enjoy related protections (or at least future access to sanctions against war crimes according to international treaties) – which is counterintuitive in the sense of already being civilians, but in any case recommended. Here, I think it is sufficient to consider that the elected government can legally authorize such activities, considering that rogue actors (and related contractors) in the deep state are still legally, constitutionally and contractually bound by the authority of elected officials. In case it’s not obvious, the Geneva Convention is not perfectly suited to psychological warfare in the electronic age, where hostilities via use of electronic weapons are primarily turned on civilian populations within the same state as the violators of war crimes.

232 And here, simply aiming to make public the fact of the various war crimes being committed cannot possibly be construed as “harmful to the enemy”, although indeed it runs contrary to their interests. And hence, any punitive measures in response to such efforts at disclosure or whistleblowing about use of neuroweapons which primarily target civilians should be considered as war crimes.

233 Which implies that some sort of badge, such as “anti-brainwashing league” or “ABL” should suffice.

234 In other words, this is precisely the shape of the badge/stickers that should be adopted.
b) outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment, enforced prostitution and any form of indecent assault;
d) collective punishments; and
e) threats to commit any of the foregoing acts.

Article 76 – Protection of women
.1 – Women shall be the object of special respect and shall be protected in particular against rape, forced prostitution and any other form of indecent assault.

Article 77 – Protection of children
.1 – Children shall be the object of special respect and shall be protected against any form of indecent assault.
.2 – The Parties to the conflict shall take all feasible measures in order that children who have not attained the age of fifteen years do not take a direct part in hostilities and, in particular, they shall refrain from recruiting them into their armed forces.
.3 - ... children who have not attained the age of fifteen years take a direct part in hostilities and fall into the power of an adverse Party, they shall continue to benefit from the special protection accorded by this Article.

Article 87 – Duty of commanders
.1 – The High Contracting Parties and the Parties to the conflict shall require military commanders, with respect to members of the armed forces under their command and other persons under their control, to prevent and, where necessary, to suppress and report to competent authorities breaches of the Conventions and of this Protocol.
.2 - In order to prevent and suppress breaches, High Contracting Parties and Parties to the conflict shall require that, commensurate with their level of responsibility, commanders ensure that members of the armed forces under their command are aware of their obligations under the Conventions and this Protocol.
.3 - The High Contracting Parties and Parties to the conflict shall require any commander who is aware that subordinates or other persons under his control are going to commit or have committed a breach of the Conventions or of this Protocol, to initiate such steps as are necessary to prevent such violations of the Conventions or this Protocol, and, where appropriate, to initiate disciplinary or penal action against violators thereof.

235 While difficult to prove, it is exceedingly obvious to those who have experienced it that use of electronic weapons to virtually and repeatedly rape someone is a severe violation and most certainly a form of “indecent assault”. Hence, these qualify as war crimes.
236 It is patently obvious that children are not being spared in the context of psychological warfare which deploys electronic weapons.
237 It is clear that children are being recruited into the hostilities. However, the whole situation of psychological warfare in the electronic age remain elusive in terms of evidence that meets normal requirements for evidence. This is, however, indicative of war crimes.
238 On this note, it seems unlikely that children are being deployed with access to these electronic weapons.
239 So be nice to the children no matter what, even if you are not a combatant equipped with neuroweapons.
Article 89 – Co-operation
In situations of serious violations of the Conventions or of this Protocol, the High Contracting Parties undertake to act, jointly or individually, in co-operation with the United Nations and in conformity with the United Nations Charter.\textsuperscript{240}

Article 91 – Responsibility
A Party to the conflict which violates the provisions of the Conventions or of this Protocol shall, if the case demands, be liable to pay compensation. It shall be responsible for all acts committed by persons forming part of its armed forces.

Protocol II (1977)

Article 4 – Fundamental guarantees
\textsuperscript{.1} All persons who do not take a direct part or who have ceased to take part in hostilities\textsuperscript{241}, whether or not their liberty has been restricted, are entitled to respect for their person, honour and convictions and religious practices. They shall in all circumstances be treated humanely, without any adverse distinction. It is prohibited to order that there shall be no survivors.
\textsuperscript{.2} Without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, the following acts against the persons referred to in paragraph 1 are and shall remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever:
\begin{itemize}
\item a) violence to the life, health and physical or mental well-being of persons, in particular murder as well as cruel treatment such as torture, mutilation or any form of corporal punishment;
\item b) collective punishments;
\item d) acts of terrorism;
\item e) outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment, rape, enforced prostitution and any form of indecent assault;
\item h) threats to commit any of the foregoing acts.
\end{itemize}

Article 5 – Persons whose liberty has been restricted
\textsuperscript{.2} Those who are responsible for the internment or detention of the persons referred to in paragraph 1 shall also, within the limits of their capabilities, respect the following provisions relating to such persons:
\begin{itemize}
\item e) their physical or mental health and integrity shall not be endangered by any unjustified act or omission. Accordingly, it is prohibited to subject the persons described in this Article to any medical procedure which is not indicated by the state of health of the person concerned, and
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{240} Blah blah blah. The US has a veto. Otherwise Article 92 would be very interesting too. Then again, the power to exercise that authority is contingent upon appointment by the President and approval of the Senate, so there may be some hope (less so in the Senate, but it would be very politically difficult to refuse to approve an appointment that might be expected to agree with UN authority relating to war crimes committed against civilians, including a great number of American civilians).

\textsuperscript{241} And certainly, persons not equipped with any electronic weapons whatsoever and who have not used other weapons in the hostilities cannot possibly be deemed to have “taken part in hostilities”. Hence, this is indicate of war crimes, since non-combatants are protection under international law by this universally valid treaty.
which is not consistent with the generally accepted medical standards applied to free persons under similar medical circumstances.

Article 6 — Penal prosecutions

1 - This Article applies to the prosecution and punishment of criminal offences related to the armed conflict.

2. No sentence shall be passed and no penalty shall be executed on a person found guilty of an offence except pursuant to a conviction pronounced by a court offering the essential guarantees of independence and impartiality. In particular:
   a) the procedure shall provide for an accused to be informed without delay of the particulars of the offence alleged against him and shall afford the accused before and during his trial all necessary rights and means of defence;
   b) no one shall be convicted of an offence except on the basis of individual penal responsibility;
   c) no one shall be held guilty of any criminal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a criminal offence, under the law, at the time when it was committed; nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than that which was applicable at the time when the criminal offence was committed; if, after the commission of the offence, provision is made by law for the imposition of a lighter penalty, the offender shall benefit thereby;
   d) anyone charged with an offence is presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law;
   e) anyone charged with an offence shall have the right to be tried in his presence;
   f) no one shall be compelled to testify against himself or to confess guilt.

.5 - At the end of hostilities, the authorities in power shall endeavour to grant the broadest possible amnesty to persons who have participated in the armed conflict...

Article 10 — General protection of medical duties

242 This largely pertains to the eventual prosecution of those responsible for hostilities which used electronic weapons against civilians, in the context of neurowarfare, for a diversity of war crimes in addition to violations of domestic law.

243 It is worth commenting that, the context of neurowarfare, the use of electronic weapons have completely violated all of these principles. And hence, this constitutes a war crime.

244 Difficult to accept. But indeed this is generally viewed as a guiding principle in conflict resolution. However, it makes me sick due to the problem of “moral hazard” because lower level war crimes violators, who could perfectly well have abandoned their posts at essentially any point in time, may feel that they cannot lose – if they win, the win, if they lose, they get away with it. Which, given the particular contexts of psychological warfare in the electronic age, primarily revolving around the use of electronic weapons for hostilities against civilians, seems like a specific clause which should be taken as lightly as possible – not out of vindictiveness, but due to the problem of moral hazard: it is too easy to get away with it unseen, and even lower level actors should not feel that they are likely to get away with it in the instance that “their side” is found guilty of massive war crimes.

245 This section strikes me as relevant because, in a context of psychiatric reprisal, many mental health professionals may have felt compelled to issue diagnoses contrary to both their better judgement from a strictly professional perspective and more specifically as contrary to the rules of medical ethics. However, it seems likely that this largely involved issuing believable threats rather than actual punishment, and it is difficult to establish what the grey area is in this regard. While this certainly constitutes a war crime, evidence would be difficult to achieve unless a large number of such professionals are willing to testify to such a situation.
1. Under no circumstances shall any person be punished for having carried out medical activities compatible with medical ethics, regardless of the person benefiting therefrom.
2. Persons engaged in medical activities shall neither be compelled to perform acts or to carry out work contrary to, nor be compelled to refrain from acts required by, the rules of medical ethics or other rules designed for the benefit of the wounded and sick, or this Protocol.
3. The professional obligations of persons engaged in medical activities regarding information which they may acquire concerning the wounded and sick under their care shall, subject to national law, be respected.
4. Subject to national law, no person engaged in medical activities may be penalized in any way for refusing or failing to give information concerning the wounded and sick who are, or who have been, under his care.

Article 13 — Protection of the civilian population

1. The civilian population and individual civilians shall enjoy general protection against the dangers arising from military operations. To give effect to this protection, the following rules shall be observed in all circumstances.
2. The civilian population as such, as well as individual civilians, shall not be the object of attack. Acts or threats of violence the primary purpose of which is to spread terror among the civilian population are prohibited.
3. Civilians shall enjoy the protection afforded by this Part, unless and for such time as they take a direct part in hostilities.  

Geneva Convention IV, 1949

Article 3. — In the case of armed conflict not of an international character occurring in the territory of one of the High Contracting Parties, each Party to the conflict shall be bound to apply, as a minimum, the following provisions:

.1 Persons taking no active part in the hostilities, including members of armed forces who have laid down their arms and those placed hors de combat by sickness, wounds, detention, or any other cause, shall in all circumstances be treated humanely, without any adverse distinction founded on race, colour, religion or faith, sex, birth or wealth, or any other similar criteria.

To this end, the following acts are and shall remain prohibited at any time and in any place whatsoever with respect to the above-mentioned persons:

---

246 While it is patently obvious that many civilians have been acting contrary to the interests of those initiating the hostilities (directed against civilians), in the sense of simply by trying to bring public attention to the realities of the electronic weapons-based neurowarfare, those who did not possess such weapons could not possibly be considered to have participated in “hostilities”, and thus are subject to protections (or at least avenues towards compensation and/or prosecution against war crimes violators) under this clause. Hence, this constitutes a war crime.

247 And let’s be clear, the defense departments responsible for the development of electronic weapons have been referring to them as militarily-relevant weaponry for at least two decades now.
a) violence to life and person, in particular murder of all kinds, mutilation, cruel treatment and torture;

c) outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment;

d) the passing of sentences without previous judgment pronounced by a regularly constituted court, affording all the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples.

Article 5 – [relating to treatment of an individual hostile to the security of the state, including the situation of an occupying power]

... In each case, such persons shall nevertheless be treated with humanity, and in case of trial, shall not be deprived of the rights of fair and regular trial prescribed by the present Convention. They shall also be granted the full rights and privileges of a protected person under the present Convention at the earliest date consistent with the security of the State or Occupying Power, as the case may be.

Article 31

No physical or moral coercion shall be exercised against protected persons, in particular to obtain information from them or from third parties.

Article 32

The High Contracting Parties specifically agree that each of them is prohibited from taking any measure of such a character as to cause the physical suffering or extermination of protected persons in their hands. This prohibition applies not only to murder, torture, corporal punishment, mutilation and medical or scientific experiments not necessitated by the medical treatment of a protected person, but also to any other measures of brutality whether applied by civilian or military agents.

Article 33

No protected person may be punished for an offence he or she has not personally committed. Collective penalties and likewise all measures of intimidation or of terrorism are prohibited.

Article 52

248 Refer to psychiatric reprisal, a.k.a., political abuse of psychiatry. This amounts to a war crime.

249 I'm pretty sure an effort to use electronic weapons for the purposes psychological torture (etc.) in a psychological warfare with the objective of completely brainwashing every non-supporter counts as attempted extermination. The option to just tow the line or keep your head down was never on the table – once branded as a targeted individual, three was no way to find out who is on the list, how they got on the list, and most especially, no means whatsoever to get off the list – submission to the brainwashing and/or non-consensual human experimentation was the only thing that was ever on the table. Hence, this constitutes a war crime.

250 So, even in the absurd situation where one is simply a civilian who is striving to do little more than inform of the fact that there is a REAL war going on which primarily involves the use of neuroweapons against the civilians the perpetrators are indeed paid to protect, and this is deemed somehow as (an unarmed) “hostility” for the fact that it has negative strategic effect on the party which initiated the hostilities, what is obvious here is that reprisals against family, friends, co-workers, etc., and/or threats of such collective punishment, constitute a war crime.
All measures aiming at creating unemployment or at restricting the opportunities offered to workers in an occupied territory, in order to induce them to work for the Occupying Power, are prohibited.\footnote{251}

Article 54

The Occupying Power may not alter the status of public officials or judges in the occupied territories, or in any way apply sanctions to or take any measures of coercion\footnote{252} or discrimination against them, should they abstain from fulfilling their functions for reasons of conscience.

Article 65

The penal provisions enacted by the Occupying Power shall not come into force before they have been published\footnote{253} and brought to the knowledge of the inhabitants in their own language. The effect of these penal provisions shall not be retroactive.\footnote{254}

Article 71

No sentence shall be pronounced by the competent courts of the Occupying Power except after a regular trial.\footnote{255} Accused persons who are prosecuted by the Occupying Power shall be promptly informed, in writing, in a language which they understand, of the particulars of the charges preferred against them, and shall be brought to trial as rapidly as possible.

... The notification shall include the following particulars:

a) description of the accused;\footnote{256}

b) place of residence or detention;\footnote{257}

\footnote{251}{As many targeted individuals are aware, a certain feature of the organized stalking, slander, electronic harassment, etc., is to negatively affect their economic and working opportunities, with the dual objectives of a) depriving them of resources and credibility, and b) in at least some cases to make them feel like the only way they will be safe to work is to go work for the nefarious perpetrators who initiated the hostilities. Admittedly, the logic is tenuous given the specific features of the conflict, but this type of strategy is indeed considered as a war crime.}

\footnote{252}{It should be rather obvious than an implicit or explicit threat of lifelong psychological torture via electronic harassment and neuroweapons would constitute coercion. As things come to light and the reality of these neuroweapons are made plainly obviously to the general public, there is little doubt that at least some public officials will be willing to take a short hit to their pride, and have the strength of character to describe the specific nature of the intense coercion they faced in this case of neurological warfare. Hence, this constitutes a war crime.}

\footnote{253}{Of course, the specific thought crimes deemed as infringements by the initiators of hostilities in this context of neurowarfare were never made explicit in any forum whatsoever, let alone published or made available to the public in any manner whatsoever. And hence, this constitutes a war crime.}

\footnote{254}{Even assuming that one might, in some sick manner, have been assumed to have been obliged to figure out the sick games and figure out what the new “rules” were intended to be AND also wanted to follow those rules after figuring them out, the general rule of “once on the list always on the list” seems to apply. Hence, this constitutes a war crime.}

\footnote{255}{In this context of neurowarfare, remotely delivered “sentences” involving psychological and even physical torture, including levels of monitoring which go well beyond that experienced in any prison, are implemented with a complete absence of any due process, any ability to know the specific charges laid, any ability to present any defense, no statements other than those which imply guilt are taken as relevant, etc. In other words, this most certainly constitutes a war crime, in addition to contravening established domestic laws. Hence, a war crime.}

\footnote{256}{This description is only ever provided to perpetrators charged with various forms of degrading harassment and torture. Hence, a war crime.}

\footnote{257}{This is widely communicated to perpetrators charged with various forms of degrading harassment and torture.}
c) specification of the charge or charges (with mention of the penal provisions under which it is brought);  
d) designation of the court which will hear the case;  
e) place and date of the first hearing.

Article 73
A convicted person shall have the right of appeal provided for by the laws applied by the court. He shall be fully informed of his right to appeal or petition and of the time limit within which he may do so.

Article 74
Representatives of the Protecting Power shall have the right to attend the trial of any protected person, unless the hearing has, as an exceptional measure, to be held in camera ...

Article 78
The Detaining Power shall, as far as possible, accommodate the internees according to their nationality, language and customs.

Article 83

---

258 This is never specified in any form whatsoever. In fact, the kangaroo court process mostly involves mining the brain of the individual to find anything whatsoever that they might feel guilty about (and may extend to planting a false memory to be able to elicit a forced “confession”), and then claiming whatever that was to have been the specific charge or reasoning for the treatment – in part, this serves as an effort, in conjunction with continuously applied means of conditioning and brainwashing, whether “traditional” or via neuroweapons, to try to convince the victims on the opposite end of the hostilities that neurowarfare is not even taking place, and that perhaps they are mostly mentally ill, but most certainly “deserved” whatever happened to them on the road to “self-improvement” (i.e., complete brainwashing). Hence, a war crime.

259 Continuous, at all times and places, with no notice whatsoever, perhaps preceded by planting a false memory prior to the beginning of the “investigation” (i.e., torture and brainwashing). Hence, a war crime.

260 There is not court. You’re just guilty. No matter what. There is no defense to escape the punishment, except for accepting to be brainwashed – towing the line is not enough if one is still guilty of thought crimes, and hence the only non-torture option is to accept the brainwashing. This constitutes a war crime.

261 It’s difficult to mount an appeal when the charges are whatever they can persuade you to feel guilty about or whatever falsely planted memory they might try to elicit, never mind the fact that there is not court, let alone means of appeal – you committed a thought crime, and the thought crime is record by means of recording AI-learned and calibrated electromagnetic brain waves (like a remote EEG), and serves as evidence of “guilt” and the necessity of punishment until complete submission to the brainwashing is assured, and complete. Moreover, no evidence in support of innocence has any relevance whatsoever, since they just move on to finding some other way to paint you as guilty, to “justify” the treatment, until you submit to the brainwashing. Hence, a war crime on a great many levels.

262 I repeat, there is no trial. Non-hostile civilians found “guilty” of thought crimes for their failure to submit to brainwashing. Another war crime.

263 In this case, the internment is rather virtual, but it is far more oppressive than any traditional internment, because you do not even enjoy the privacy of your mind. There is no respecting of customs, rather endless harassment, ridicule and disparagement about them. Until you submit to the brainwashing and stop committing thought crimes (or allow them to direct the thought crimes as they see fit, in order to build “evidence” of your morally depraved nature). Another war crime.
The Detaining Power shall give the enemy Powers, through the intermediary of the Protecting Powers, all useful information regarding the geographical location of places of internment.\(^{264}\)

**Article 93**

Internees shall enjoy complete latitude in the exercise of their religious duties, including attendance at the services of their faith, on condition that they comply with the disciplinary routine prescribed by the detaining authorities.\(^{265}\)

**Article 95**

The Detaining Power shall not employ internees as workers, unless they so desire.\(^{266}\)

**Article 99**

ART. 99. — Every place of internment shall be put under the authority of a responsible officer, chosen from the regular military forces or the regular civil administration of the Detaining Power. The officer in charge of the place of internment must have in his possession a copy of the present Convention in the official language, or one of the official languages, of his country and shall be responsible for its application. The staff in control of internees shall be instructed in the provisions of the present Convention and of the administrative measures adopted to ensure its application.\(^{267}\) The text of the present Convention and the texts of special agreements concluded under the said Convention shall be posted inside the place of internment ... \(^{268}\)

**Article 100**

The disciplinary regime in places of internment shall be consistent with humanitarian principles, and shall in no circumstances include regulations imposing on internees any physical exertion dangerous to their health or involving physical or moral victimization\(^{269}\) ...

---

\(^{264}\) *In this sense, having self-identified as a civilian-only enemy Power, it may be possible to make use of this treaty obligation to require that the location of all individuals targeted by neuroweapons be collected and diffused. Which, of course, would be a pathway to evidence, so it would surely be resisted heavily.*

\(^{265}\) *Certainly, efforts to plant a false memory upon observing any remotely related tidbit in the matter of spiritual practice cannot possibly be considered as “complete latitude”. As an effort to completely subvert the religious faith and have the individual associate it with suffering, disgust, and other conditionings, this most certainly constitute a *war crime*.*

\(^{266}\) *It is essentially impossible to claim that the “until they so desire” part applies here when the alternative is endless psychological torture, neural monitoring, electronic harassment, etc., until one submits.*

\(^{267}\) *It is not clear to me whether the “place of internment” should be considered as the location of the remotely attacked, monitored, etc. civilian, or the location from which the “internment” is applied. Since article 95 indicates that the text of the Convention must be located as the location of internment, it seems logical that the “location of internment” is the location from which the *neuroweapons* are administered – hence, a failure of the ranking officer in the unit responsible for the “internment” is the one responsible to ensure that a subordinate is looking after following through on this article. This also implies that the domestic laws of the “location on internment” would also apply, bringing on a vast host of other legal infringements at the national level.*

\(^{268}\) *This would almost seem like quibbling given the present reality, but it is yet another violation of international law pursuant to universal jurisdiction.*

\(^{269}\) *Moral victimization, generally in supremely twisted and unjustified manner for purposes of intimidation, psychological torture and *brainwashing*, is basically the modus operandi in this instance of civilian-targeted*
Article 101

Internees shall have the right to present to the authorities in whose power they are, any petition with regard to the conditions of internment to which they are subjected. They shall also have the right to apply without restriction through the Internee Committee or, if they consider it necessary, direct to the representatives of the Protecting Power, in order to indicate to them any points on which they may have complaints to make with regard to the conditions of internment. Such petitions and complaints shall be transmitted forthwith and without alteration, and even if the latter are recognized to be unfounded, they may not occasion any punishment.

Article 102

In every place of internment, the internees shall freely elect by secret ballot every six months, the members of a Committee empowered to represent them before the Detaining and the Protecting Powers, the International Committee of the Red Cross and any other organization which may assist them. The members of the Committee shall be eligible for re-election. Internees so elected shall enter upon their duties after their election has been approved by the detaining authorities. The reasons for any refusals or dismissals shall be communicated to the Protecting Powers concerned.

Article 103

The Internee Committees shall further the physical, spiritual and intellectual well-being of the internees.

In case the internees decide, in particular, to organize a system of mutual assistance amongst themselves, this organization would be within the competence of the Committees in addition to the special duties entrusted to them under other provisions of the present Convention.

neurowarfare. Yet another contravention of international laws relating to the conduct of war, under universal jurisdiction.

270 The authority whose power to which they are subjected is hidden, and hence any means of petition is impossible. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction

271 Any point of complaint will be used as an indication of what is known to be effective for purposes of psychological torture and brainwashing. Not only are such complaints not heeded, they are used against the civilian victim. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction.

272 While the instance of complaint is not itself used to justify further psychological torture, it is absolutely the occasion of further punishment, as mentioned in the previous note. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction.

273 This would seem to imply a) a right to self-organize, and b) a right to know who all the “internees” are in this context of neurowarfare. Yet another avenue towards evidence, which would surely be resisted vociferously by the nefarious entities, including those which are paid to protect the civilians they are attacking.

274 Extreme sleep deprivation, attacks on heart functioning and claims of use of directed energy weapons aside, the initiators of the hostilities seem well disposed towards getting exercise, although this may be a bluff to try to convince the “interns” that their well-being is at heart. However, with respect to spiritual and intellectual well-being, this is essentially under highly refined means of psychological attack, with AI-supported informational mining and highly refined means of psychological torture geared towards intimidation, conditioning and brainwashing, used to undermine the spiritual and intellectual sense of self. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction. Another contravention of the laws of war under universal jurisdiction.
Article 112
The censoring of correspondence addressed to internees or despatched by them shall be done as quickly as possible. ... It shall be done in the presence of the addressee, or of a fellow-internee duly delegated by him. 275

Article 123
Without prejudice 276 to the competence of courts and higher authorities, disciplinary punishment may be ordered only by the commandant of the place of internment, or by a responsible officer or official who replaces him, or to whom he has delegated his disciplinary powers. 277
Before any disciplinary punishment is awarded, the accused internee shall be given precise information regarding the offences of which he is accused, 278 and given an opportunity of explaining his conduct and of defending himself 279. He shall be permitted, in particular, to call witnesses 280 and to have recourse, if necessary, to the services of a qualified interpreter. The decision shall be announced in the presence of the accused and of a member of the Internee Committee. 281 ...
... When an internee is awarded a further disciplinary punishment, a period of at least three days shall elapse between the execution of any two of the punishments, if the duration of one of these is ten days or more. 282

275 In the present situation of neurowarfare, any/all censorship and review of correspondence is done completely behind closed doors, with no clear indication whatsoever which communications are subject to additional scrutiny, deemed as “deserving” of “special attention”, etc.
276 An especially powerful oxymoron in the present instance of neurowarfare: “without prejudice”.
277 However, it appears as though numerous agents are empowered to deliver continuous punishment which is endlessly refined to the specific character of the victim of the initiators of the hostilities, including basically any and all applications of neuroweapons as combined with more “traditional” methods of conditioning, brainwashing and psychological torture which are described in this text (and presumably others). Moreover, the “punishment” is “justified” on a kangaroo court basis, where any and everything can be twisted as “justification”, and, lacking even that, the initiators of the hostilities will use neuroweapons to try to force the victim into thought crimes which are then purported to be “justification” for the “punishment”. However, the reality is that it is torture for the purpose of social control, not at the level of outward actions, but at the level of inner cognition.
278 This is rarely provided. But, basically it is anything they can figure out the victim might feel remotely guilty about (so they can claim to be “good” in trying to help reform the victim – i.e. brainwash the victim). Failing to convince the victim that they “deserve” it in one regard, endless manipulations, planted thoughts, conditionings, attempted brainwashing, etc. are pursued in search of some notion of a reason why the “punishment” is “deserved”.
279 No defensive argumentation is ever of relevance. No specifications of charges are made. The initiators of the hostilities switch from one thing, to another, to another, in search of the remotest hint of any “justification”.
280 The only witnesses which are allowed are those which slander the victim. Any supportive testimony is completely irrelevant to the “proceedings”.
281 The “decision” is never announced. Rather, the initiators of the hostilities in this context of neurowarfare may simply wait a few seconds, minutes, days, weeks, or even longer, before stunning the victim with a repeat of the accusation, accompanied by projected images (or elicited memories, whether real, falsely planted or outrageously manipulated) and evoked actions/subvocalizations geared towards convincing the victim that they are indeed guilty of the “offense” in the kangaroo court.
282 Targeted individuals, are often lucky if they get three minutes between punishment, let alone three days. Likely, a decent portion would give their pinkie finger to obtain a full three days with a 100% guarantee of peace
A record of disciplinary punishments shall be maintained by the commandant of the place of internment and shall be open to inspection by representatives of the Protecting Power.283

Article 131

Every death or serious injury of an internee, caused or suspected to have been caused by a sentry, another internee or any other person, as well as any death the cause of which is unknown, shall be immediately followed by an official enquiry by the Detaining Power.284

A communication on this subject shall be sent immediately to the Protecting Power.285 The evidence of any witnesses shall be taken,286 and a report including such evidence shall be prepared and forwarded to the said Protecting Power.

If the enquiry indicates the guilt of one or more persons, the Detaining Power shall take all necessary steps to ensure the prosecution of the person or persons responsible.287

Article 140

A Central Information Agency for protected persons, in particular for internees, shall be created in a neutral country.288

Article 144

(of course, this would not be believed, so probably none would accept such an offer) – yet, they are dedicated to carrying on the struggle to make the reality of the present neurowarfare known.

283 Considering the number of crimes committed in relation to administering the “punishments”, this would seem rather trivial in comparison, if not considering the fact that it is also the means by which to obtain evidence. This is another tool in universal jurisdiction via which details on the enormous number and scale of offenses could be sought.

284 Deaths to “internees”, whether explicitly elicited suicide, being driven to suicide by the promise of eternal torture unless submitting to brainwashing, or due to other applications of neuroweapons which affect physiological processes, are not only not investigated, they are completely ignored, written off as suicide, “accidents” (evoked actions, for example pose a great threat when driving, as does extreme sleep deprivation), or natural causes of death.

285 There is no communication in relation to the death. People who presently speak of the reality of neurowarfare are written off as mentally ill, which, among other things, allows the initiators of the hostilities to pretend like nothing at all is happening while they go about torturing, brainwashing and engaging in non-consensual human experimentation on victims of the hostile attack in this instance of neurowarfare.

286 Evidence from witnesses which might pinpoint the situation of neurowarfare is guaranteed to be subjected to extreme slander or maligning of the identity of the witness, in the case that the witness cannot be intimidated into silence. Any witness putting forward such claims is liable to become highly targeted, if they are not already, by organized stalking, electronic harassment, continuous psychological attacks which make use of neuroweapons, etc.

287 Not only does it seem that it would be covered up, but indeed, certain victims of the initiators of the hostilities appear to have been marked for their end, for one reason or another, at least in some cases relating to generally pro-eugenics anti-socialist (i.e., Nazi) motives in relation to perceived “inferiors”.

288 This may be another means via which there is a requirement under international jurisdiction to release the names, etc., of all individuals targeted by the initiators of the hostilities in this instance of neurowarfare.
ART. 144. — The High Contracting Parties undertake, in time of peace as in time of war, to disseminate the text of the present Convention as widely as possible in their respective countries, and, in particular, to include the study thereof in their programmes of military and, if possible, civil instruction, so that the principles thereof may become known to the entire population.

Any civilian, military, police or other authorities, who in time of war assume responsibilities in respect of protected persons, must possess the text of the Convention and be specially instructed as to its provisions.289

Article 147
Grave breaches to which the preceding Article relates shall be those involving any of the following acts, if committed against persons or property protected by the present Convention: wilful killing, torture or inhuman treatment, including biological experiments, wilfully causing great suffering or serious injury to body or health, unlawful deportation or transfer or unlawful confinement of a protected person, compelling a protected person to serve in the forces of a hostile Power290, or wilfully depriving a protected person of the rights of fair and regular trial prescribed in the present Convention

Article 148
No High Contracting Party shall be allowed to absolve itself or any other High Contracting Party of any liability incurred by itself or by another High Contracting Party in respect of breaches referred to in the preceding Article.291

If it is not yet clear, upon having fully consumed this glossary, there should be no doubt that electronic weapons (neuroweapons) are weapons, and that existing international treaties which apply universally with respect to civilians have been broken, and a great number of war crimes committed.

289 This would be the clause under which it doesn’t matter if it’s a technically “non-military” rogue arm of the state involved – any entity attached to the state, whether directly or as contractors, can be deemed as required to uphold the full text of the Convention and its additional Protocols, and are thus also subject to universal jurisdiction regarding treatment of civilians.

290 So, for example, threatening that “it will never end” and demanding to “get in”, is in violation of this article.

291 In other words, under universal jurisdiction, any state which includes rogue elements which operate under any element of secrecy to, at least temporarily, “get away with it”, is statutorily obliged to take responsibility for any liabilities relating to the enormous number of violations, especially those against civilians, in the present situation of neurowarfare. This would be an avenue via which compensation from the states which failed to prevent the atrocities can be pursued.
Appendix E: Specific public safety objectives relating to such technologies (adaptations)

Pursue an international declaration banning weapons which activate or deactivate the neural and/or biological processes of human beings, or which or are geared towards influencing those processes, by remote electronic means.

Publish and pursue the passage of national-level legislation; criminalizing all non-consensual human experimentation, including all classified behavioural research; criminalizing all use of behaviour modification and monitoring weaponry against civilians, in particular in the absence of due process where any monitored individual will be made fully aware of the relevant details in a reasonable timeframe, for example within 6 months of the warrant being issued (in the case of any high-risk imminent threats, remote monitoring should be suspended and the individual brought in for formal questioning in full accordance with the right to not give incriminating testimony and to not be coerced).

Not guilty by self defense against brainwashing

This would attribute jury the right to find “not guilty by reason of self defense against brainwashing”, a defense which may in any case lead to a jury failing to “find guilty”. This should serve as a powerful check, which, of course, would itself be highly constrained by the possibility that “guilty of murder” is always a possible outcome from a jury considering such a defense. The objective being to reduce or even eliminate the forms of harassment, gaslighting, etc. which is orchestrated to contribute to the annihilation of the self of any targeted individual, naturally, threats to such ends should not receive any protection under such considerations. Also, this right of a jury to find “not guilty by self defense” should not be applied to any lesser violence for instances of attempted protection or retribution in relation to crimes which are difficult to prove but known to be related to gaslighting and/or brainwashing, as it would not be desirable to encourage any legal spreading of violence within a society.

Whistleblower rewards relating to liability of any activity which damages individuals and is related to an activity of the government

EA guaranteed MINIMUM percentage reward for any whistleblowing activity that leads to individual and/or class action suits against public officials who abuse their authority in a manner that damages any number of individuals. For example, 10%, which would be a $100 million payout for a whistleblower (or to be shared among several whistleblowers) providing specific evidence contributing to the receipt of any monies paid out in related lawsuits.

Mandatory prosecution of any individual in a position related to government activity and for which a certain threshold of liability has been exceeded

In the event of any liability paid for by the government due to criminal infringements against individuals which involve both knowledge and intent, criminal liability of the responsible individuals shall be pursued, and an office dedicated to prosecuting such offenses shall be
required to prosecute for any liability which exceeds $1 million. As an oversight, it should be made easy to strip such a prosecutor of their license to practice law if they are demonstrated to prosecute such cases insincerely. In cases of negligence, mandatory prosecution should be required at a much higher level of liability as assessed through the legal process.

A law which explicitly describes various forms of gaslighting

A diversity of gaslighting techniques should be made explicit in a law which leaves discretion to a judge to apply a punishment ranging moderate to life in prison. The general principles of gaslighting, namely, in an intentional strategy to make a person believe that they are crazy, that they have lost the ability to accurately identify the inputs of their senses, etc., when in fact they are perfectly sane and in control of their faculties, should be sufficiently broad to offer expansive protections against any methods devised at a future date to this end. Intelligence agencies, police and other official should be very explicitly NOT be excluded from criminal liability in this regard, whether as a part of domestic or international investigations.

As a protection against false accusation where the complainant is in fact suffering from a deterioration of perceptual abilities, a test of memory, sight, sound, smell, etc., as applicable to the specific complaint, should be established. For example, the Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument (CASI) could be used (Adequate protections in terms of excessively loose rules in this regard should also be addressed.) If the complainant fails such a test, the complainant must be able to easily access the option to repeat such a test while inside a Faraday cage which is equipped with monitors across the electromagnetic spectrum ranging from 0Hz to 100 GHz (to be advised upon by a study of threshold in a peer-reviewed publication made freely available to the public).

A law which explicitly makes it illegal to go around repeating stuff said by the individual in any manner designed to screw with their head.

With full recognition that in a free society, sometimes people will write, say, draw, etc., things that other people will disagree with and which may become the target of spirited debate: a) explicit recognition of the possibility that infringements of privacy may lead to such things (define better) should be made, b) antagonistic debate should be focused on the topics rather more so than the individuals, and explicit recognition for the possibility that various forms of debate may degrade into intentional efforts to, not only make the speaker look bad, but to degrade their sense of self, screw with their cognition, most especially in ways known to be associated with brainwashing, mind influencing technologies, etc., ... OK, you get the idea. Don’t limit legitimate debate. But when people are organized stalking, and using this technique, in addition to other laws that it violates, there should be an additional law which makes it so exceedingly explicit that there should be no doubt whatsoever.

A degree of consideration should be made for the right of individual members of the public to adopt a hostile and even insulting tone with regard to public officials, whether elected or otherwise. However, public service being a rather thankless and tough job as it is, especially at the
higher level, it would be desirable to extend these protections to public officials in an explicit manner, with explicit instructions in the law that such violations against a public official must be particularly obvious and extensive.

In consideration of the fact that such acts may be perpetrated by a large number of individuals, each one of which has done something that may otherwise seem innocuous, the principle should be established that ANY ONE of those individuals may be found legally culpable for the harassment, based on evidence of any pattern that other individuals had participated in. However, to protect against mere coincidence or the possibility of remote influencing technologies, the power of suggestion, etc., to lead to honestly accidental participation in such organized stalking, the victim must document at least one instance of informing the individual of the specific association or undesirable pattern (including violations of privacy), and if the perpetrator continues with ANY other pattern which the victim has documented to be applied subversively against them, this should be considered as at least sufficient to warrant charges. If the perpetrator tries to gaslight the victim in response, for example suggesting delusions, irrational paranoia, etc., additional charges relating to gaslighting should be applied. A non-police commissioner shall be established to process such complaints, and sufficiently transparent records should be made available for the public to assess whether charges are being pursued in a reasonable manner.

Naturally, but also unfortunately, a much higher barrier must be established for public officials, because their regular interactions with the public imply a fair degree of likelihood that troublesome issues will come up repeatedly.

Restrictions additional to liable slander may be warranted, namely, in the case where some assertion is in fact true, but eventually becomes applied in a manner that constitutes harassment which most reasonable people would believe to be unwarranted. For an example of a reasonable accommodation, a public official might reserve a few minutes for harassment and/or protest (this should not be perceived as a limitation of the right to protest in the general sense, but rather as a restriction relating to specific situations where a public official is making a public appearance, for example to speak on some topic of interest), after which point in time orderly progression of the public event should be ensured by public or private security if they are asked to do so.

A law which explicitly makes it illegal to coercively force an individual to NOT hold a specific view.

While speech may face some formal restrictions, namely in the area of libellous slander, hate speech which promotes violence, etc., any other means of coercion which is not EXPLICITLY sanctioned by law as a means to provide sticks against such views must be deemed as illegal. This should not be interpreted to mean that individuals will not face consequences for views expressed in public, for example lynch mobs which organize to fire someone who expresses a specific view. What is at stake here, is that any threats, intimidations, manipulations, etc., which are attuned to the psychology of the individual in a manipulative manner to try to force them to not hold that view, should be considered as illegal. This should not be viewed as any sort of limitation on the
right to free speech in the sense of debate and argument in good faith, even when antagonistic and even offensive. However, calculated methods to screw with the mind, most especially via remote means or including any form of organized stalking or gaslighting, should meet stiff legal sanction. Specifically, there should be no basis for “thought crime” as any basis for any sanction whatsoever, and publicly expressed views which are offensive but not conducive to violence against any group based on race, gender, religion, etc., should not become the basis of any psychological warfare against this individual, as protected by criminal sanction against those who go too far.

Outward conformity may be occasionally viewed as a target for influence. However, inward conformity shall receive the strictest of protections of law. Any use of remote monitoring technologies which may infringe on such inner liberties should be sanctioned by a very high mandatory minimum punishment, with full due respect for the fact that mandatory minimum penalties are not very effective for most classes of crimes.

For the case of nations with satellite technologies, foreign civilians should have a right to seek justice on this basis, including un-infringed ability to have their situation remedied by determining the agent responsible for perpetrating the activity, and/or any real or imagined evidence which may have led to such treatment. In the case of imminent national security threats or investigations involving organizations believed to be a legitimate military threat or threat to public safety (generic “national interest” arguments shall be explicitly excluded), the opportunity shall always be afforded to have themselves removed from such monitoring.

A law which explicitly makes it illegal to coercively force an individual to HOLD a specific view.

Basically the same as the above. A law to ban trying to coerce people into holding a specific political view, for example through threats of psychological torture and/or invasive monitoring to persist until such communications or even THOUGHTS are adopted. With especially severe penalties when neuroweapons are applied.

Application of conditioning mechanisms (to be considered as separate from diverse forms of argumentation or mere presentation of arguments on paper) to engage in thought reform

Any means of communication which are designed to influence the individual’s views, preferences, psychology, etc., which cannot be “turned off” and which are not optional for the individual (with reasonable exceptions for person-to-person counselling required as a part of some judicial judgments). With the exception of a lawful interrogation where the individual retains the right to refrain from any form of communication, implicit or otherwise (hence, use of neuroweapons also to be banned for such uses).

An anti- “soul stealing” law

No matter what errors a person has committed in their lives or how many times, no one should have their brain’s contents downloaded and exploited.
A law which bans any evidence produced by invasive brain monitoring tools

A law which leads to immediate discarding of any case where law enforcement is found to have used any brain monitoring tools as a means of circumventing processes for warrants.

A law which bans police from not disclosing informants.

A law which explicitly prohibits the use of illegally collected information as a means of ‘parallel construction’.

Some reasonable accommodations for anonymous tips should be made, but the nature of the evidence should be clear and persuasive to a reasonable person – if this is not made available to the judge ... (some level of banning information, throwing out cases ... discretion of judge should apply). Sufficient detail on the anonymous tip should be made available to persuasively convince that the tip was not in fact evidence collected via illegal means of surveillance. For example, the time, date and phone number of the caller (due process would apply were it deemed necessary for authorities to identify the name associated with that phone number or email address.)

A law which explicitly prohibits the use of non consensual remote monitoring to try to collect information about what any individual thinks about any particular issue. This could be called “the anti-soul catching law” or the “preventing the end of inner freedom law”.

The privacy of mind should be sacrosanct. Any effort to remotely monitor opinions, thoughts, views, etc. should be explicitly banned. Moreover, any effort to interfere with such thought processes, for example to introduce certain views, any use of conditioned stimulus, etc., to engage in thought reform, in the process of any such monitoring, should be subject to additional penalties, namely, life in prison, since this amounts to trying to brainwash a person in a situation where not even outward conformity is sufficient, where efforts to establish inner conformity is also targeted.

The use of any such monitoring for law enforcement or national security laws, outside of active war zones which are associated with an active declaration of war (including against non-state actors) which explicitly identifies all parties involved, should be explicitly banned. The potential for any loopholes, including secret laws, secret treaties, etc., should be explicitly banned. Constitutional amendments to such purposes should be actively debated, which may seem unnecessary due to the existence of constitutional protections along these lines in most advanced countries, but it seems that the situation needs to be made rather more explicit.

It is essentially impossible to imagine any such use of remote monitoring tools which could have anything other than nefarious ends, with objectives to a) remotely engage in thought reform, or b) use such monitoring as a means to enforce inner conformity.

A law explicitly prohibiting the use of evaluated potentials to establish what a person does or doesn’t like
Since the potential for **conditioning** and **brainwashing** is obvious, any application of such information for the purpose of **conditioning** and **brainwashing**, or any form of non-consensual thought reform with the sole exception of face to face discussions as a part of mandatory counselling party to a judicial determination which is unaided by any electronic or wave-form technology whatsoever, should receive life in prison, as per ‘anti-brainwashing’ considerations.

An anti-entrapment law. No loopholes. Mild solicitation to criminal acts should remain in the toolkit.

It is clearly acknowledged that there is a different between simply offering the opportunity to commit a crime, such as soliciting a drug sale or sex with an individual who is KNOWN by the target to be a minor, and actively trying to convince the person that they should do it (say, begging the potential dealer, referring to some medical conditions that they really needed help with, or implying in ANY way that there may ANY form of reprisal for failing to follow through on the act), perhaps even with a degree of coercion.

The first situation may be a reasonable part of the law enforcement toolkit, for example because a high suspicion of previous violations of law may have occurred but it is difficult to prosecute due to lack of sufficient quality evidence, or perhaps the target exhibits some predisposition to doing something. For example, a police officer may legitimately pose as a 13 year old online, being exceedingly clear that they are underage, and engage in communications which are increasingly **suggestive**, for example to the degree that they should meet in public and go to a motel. This would be much different than, say, actively trying to persuade the target that it is OK to do so, massaging their mind in ways to promote the acceptability of it, perhaps even remotely introducing such ideas via remote means, or use of any form of coercion or thought reform. In the second sort of case, not only should the defense of entrapment apply, as per existing law, but significant penalties should exist on the books for judges to use in cases of extreme abuse.

In cases relating to entrapment in relation to terrorism, any officer involved should a) do no more than offer access to weapons, and not be particularly encouraging in that sense, and b) should position themselves as LESS extreme compared to the average within the group. Any **appearance** of adding to the extent of the radicalization of the potentially terrorist-inclined group or individuals should a) activate the entrapment defense, and b) open the door to the possibility of criminal sanction applied against the undercover officer.

Due to the possibility that those who are paid to uphold public safety and the law may make use of individuals who are not officially law enforcement officers in order to circumvent any such laws, such a law should **explicitly** also apply to anyone who in any way is under the direct or implicit influence of law enforcement, including intelligence, with an additional requirement that any such individual categorically must be made aware of the explicit limitations on their activities and the potential criminal sanction for any violations.

Liability to the taxpayer should be extremely limited in such cases, and the main anti-entrapment toolkit should be comprised of criminal sanction against any officer making use of such tools and any superior officer who should reasonably be expected to be aware of what was going on and
failed to intervene and assert the norm of mild solicitation of criminal acts as a means of identifying a threat to public safety.

A law which prohibits any public official from engaging in any form of blackmail whatsoever as a part of any investigation unless as a part of a formal deal which is communicated on paper and signed off on by a superior official who faces criminal liability for any abuse occurring under their watch.

It may be acceptable to say something like “we’ve got such and such dirt on you. We will consider lenience in prosecution if you can help us to nail this other guy.” However, it would be desirable to devise a law which both left a degree of latitude and also drew some fairly firm lines with respect to assistance in investigating serious crimes or criminal networks, and what essentially amounts to blackmail where a potential informant may be required to commit further crimes. In the case where commission of further crimes is going to be part of the investigation, a formal description of the crimes which are liable to be committed as a part of the investigation, and for which the informant will not face prosecution, should be made clear. For example, an informant may have to continue to deal drugs or even play some active role in a human trafficking organization. The timeframe for which this forgiveness applies should be made explicit, to a maximum of 6 months at a time. In the case that further formal approval cannot be made due to risk of discovery by the criminal network, it should be made 100% clear that non-prosecution cannot be assured.

The main purpose of this law should be to prevent blackmail on the part of any public official, and to introduce anti-blackmail laws which primarily make use of criminal sanction but not monetary liability on the part of the taxpayer – but, to leave reasonable space to make use of insiders for the purpose of an investigation.

Such a law should also formally prohibit recruiting any non-official, any civilian whatsoever, who is not already part of the network being investigated. In other words, a civilian who may belong to another criminal network, or who is in a good position to enter the targeted network, should be held to a variety of standards by fact of being given formal status as an officer, although clearly it would be desirable to have significant freedom to keep such details under wraps for some period of time – 2 years may be a reasonable period, with formal extensions thereafter. Among other things, this would enable the individual to benefit from a variety of protections by virtue of belonging to the unions which represent the organization they work for, a fact which would minimize the potential for blackmail and/or abuse of the informal situation of such an informant.

A law which closes any loopholes which make it impossible for citizens to determine whether they may be on any sort of list.

This law should require, with minimal reasonable exceptions for highly pressing matters of national security which must be formally reviewed by a judge and documented on paper and permanently stored, that a citizen may find out whether they are on any lists, and the specific reasons for being on any such list. This is a prerequisite to justice, in the sense of knowing any
'evidence’ against you, for the ability to defend oneself, for example against false accusations or accusations which essentially misrepresent the situation.

Among other things, this may serve as somewhat of a protection against pre-crime principles which seek to use any algorithm to evaluate security threats at the level of individual (for example, which countries you visit, which articles are shared in social media, topics frequently discussed such as corruption or pro-peace perspectives, etc.)

A law which expands the right to remain silent to remote neural monitoring

Due to the risk that any sort of enemy may try to plant memories, massage memories, or remotely interfere with neural processes, the right to remain silent should receive strict formal protection. Moreover, a right to “turn off the airwaves” and the right for any individual to independently select which information and perspectives they will be exposed to (for example, the right to not pay attention in some mandatory class, which may lead to failing said class, and any sort of updated application relating to any new technologies), should receive strong formal protection. This should be upheld by strong penalties, since it is fundamental to cognitive liberty, but in practice it may have to be upheld by recognizing a human right wherein any and all citizens must be afforded access to technologies which may block any such uninvited signals. This should not be construed as any form of limitation on free speech, in the sense of a) traditional media or free speech which involves the purchase of advertising space which can be seen or viewed in public (where the option to ignore this speech is generally possible), b) or limitations any group which may wish to assemble in public for the purpose of expressing their views (reasonable limitations on protest for the purpose of not excessively interfering with normal routines in life), or c) limiting the right of any group to diffuse views online, with the exception that anti-spam laws shall be upheld and anti-subliminal messaging principles should be generally upheld.

An anti-subliminal messaging law

I believe that this is already a law in terms of advertising. This law should be extended to situations related to “street theatre”, where any individual or any/all individuals of any group who can be demonstrated to be creating distractions for the purpose of any subliminal layering in of subliminal messages, most especially for the purpose of classic conditioning, should be upheld vigorously. To prevent abuse of the law or protection against accidents, coincidence and/or external influences which lead individuals and/or groups to be participating in such subliminal messaging unaware to them, a single warning on the part of the victim should suffice. Namely, the victim should make known to the individuals who are knowingly or unknowingly involved in the “street theatre” what they are involved in, and any further continuance whatsoever, for example timing a distraction in a way to cover up the subliminal messaging, should be considered as evidence which may attract criminal sanction. The individuals performing the subliminal messaging should receive much more stringent penalties than those involved in the distraction, since it should be safe to assume that they are far more likely to have good knowledge on the intended effects of the attempted programming.
In such situations, those who try to malign the complainant as mentally ill, or otherwise discredit those who merely assert such a claim, should be liable for both civil and criminal treatment. Reasonable considerations in the matter of legal/social defense should be upheld as a general matter of practice.

An ombudsman of some sort should be established to ensure any failure of police to proceed with investigations or charges under such laws.

A database to maintain complaints against specific individuals relating to organized stalking

Due to issues of coincidence, accidents, etc., a database should be maintained of all complaints in relation to gang stalking. Video evidence should be prioritized for such complaints. The identity of the complainant must be disclosed to file such a complaint, the time, date and location of the incident should be recorded, and ... somehow some measure to empower citizens to require disclosure of the identity of the perpetrator should be allowed for. A reasonable protection against abuse of this last principle may be for the alleged perpetrator to have to disclose ID, but be allowed to demand the right for the police to evaluate the alleged evidence prior to being required to disclose ID. In any eventual interaction with police, any effort to suggest that the complainant is mentally ill, delusion, or anything whatsoever which may contribute to a psychiatric reprisal, should empower the complainant to demand the name, ID, etc. of the police officer and a database of such complaints against any specific officer should be upheld.

Citizens and foreigners alike should have an explicit right to easily, upon proof of identity, get the full record on any such complaints against them, in order to be able to set the record straight. Any such requests for information should be explicitly protected from any sort of reprisal, and no one but the requesting individual should be allowed to keep any form of data pertaining to such requests – i.e., any record of the transaction on the part of the state is disallowed, i.e., the state is not allowed to know who knows what they know about what the state has on them. Extensions to uphold this principle must be extended for any and all forms of remote neural monitoring.

A law which makes violations of law by any police, law enforcement, intelligence, ETC., official explicitly subject to criminal, rather than solely civil, sanction.

If a civilian is subject to criminal sanction for an act, so should any non-civilian. Any law which applies specifically to non-civilians should attract similar, or stronger, but never lower, ranges of criminal sanction than a roughly similar form of act perpetrated by a civilian. For example, the criminal sanction against a civilian who uses a remote neural monitoring technology to remotely spy on the activities of a public official, etc., should be subject to a similar criminal penalty as any individual working in any capacity whatsoever for the security state who uses any such technology in a manner other than formally sanctioned, documented, justified application of such technologies. Any proposed alteration of any laws which may be construed in any form whatsoever as any sort of loophole should mandatorily be diffused to all known media, NGO, religious, community and other related organizations which are entered into any public or security databases, no less than 6 months before any vote on the question may take place.
Such loopholes should be mandatorily excluded from any sort of omnibus bills. Constitutional amendments to such ends may be required to uphold such a principle. Namely, any additional powers, any loopholes of any sort, which increase the potential scope of power (and hence abuse of power) of any state or state-connected or state-sanctioned entity to perform investigative tasks, whether against citizens or foreigners, should be subject to line-by-line debate and paragraph-by-paragraph votes. The clause to require 6 months prior expansive disclosure of any such proposal should be deemed to serve as protection against any legislative efforts to stonewall, effectively blocking the ability to use administrative or other means to delay off any such vote indefinitely.

A law which introduces severe criminal liability for any failures to follow through on requests from properly authorized legislators.

With full recognition that there are legitimate reasons to reduce the potential scope for leaks of sensitive information, etc., the supremacy of civilian and democratic rule should be recognized unambiguously. Namely, any failure by any arm of the security apparatus, or any individuals and/or contractors in any way connected to such activities, whether paid or otherwise, should be required to disclose information relating to the requests of legislators to provide information pursuant to any investigation. Reporting procedures to transmit such requests should be established throughout all such networks, whether formal or informal networks. Any failure to disclose information pursuant to such a request should attract a mandatory minimum sentence for any individuals employed in such positions (whether formally employed by the state or any sort of contractor) – the principle of command responsibility should be a guiding factor here, but should in no way be construed to limit the potential responsibility of lower level actors – and significant discretion should be allowed to the judiciary in relation to any such activities performed on a voluntary basis. This can be assumed to have a pro-whistleblowing dimension.

A law which makes explicit that contractors of the state are subject to all the laws applying to formal employees. The “Anti-circumvention by contractor law – non-pro union edition”.

In relation to liability and responsibilities assumed of public sector workers, any contractors of any arm of the state, whether formally or informally defined, should be upheld to the standards of those who work directly under the state. This should not be understood as an expansion of rights to pensions, non-firing clauses, etc., in various contracts. Rather, the objective is to ensure that contractors are not hired to circumvent laws which constrain the activities of those in the employ of the state. Additionally, any case where an effort to circumvent such laws are applied, the principle of command responsibility should be a guiding factor, and decision makers who make use of such contracting means should be exposed to criminal liability for any such effort to circumvent laws in such a manner. Moreover, a whistleblower on the part of any contractor should enjoy 100% immunity for any violation of law whatsoever committed prior to the disclosure, in the case that it is successfully demonstrated that a major consideration in the use of contracting out services was to circumvent constraints to those formally employed directly by the state – the bar for such amnesty should be significantly lower than the bar for successfully convicting the decision maker responsible for the attempted circumvention of law.
This consideration should not be viewed to expand any responsibilities of non-disclosure in any matter of classified information, or to serve as any sort of limitation of the right of contractors to speak freely to media, in public, online, etc. about their activities, with the exception of what is explicitly prohibited as a matter of what is explicitly deemed to be classified information.

A law which explicitly empowers the president to make use of the military to secure any premises of any other arm of the state which is viewed to be in need of investigation.

Ripe for abuse. Must be thought out correctly and full of anti-loophole considerations. Any associated investigative powers should be in the hands of Congress, the Senate, or equivalents in various nations.

A law which enables the removal of any Supreme Court justice pursuant to a referendum.

The bar should be VERY high. Say, 80%, and the trigger should not be easy to pull. Say, signed by 10% of registered voters. The goal here is to prevent abuse of the constitution, for example in any case where the Supreme Court may authorize or turn a blind eye to activities which are OBVIOUSLY anti-constitutional, such as warrantless spy tapping of any sort.

Significant distance between the easily manipulated public and the power to appoint judges should be upheld.

A national holiday to remember the victims of state-complicit attacks on the innocent and to honour public officials and private citizens who have strived to free citizens from such terrorism.

If there’s not a day off, it’s unlikely that people will pay much attention. Perhaps renaming flag day, Victoria Day, and the like, would suffice.
Appendix F: Specific national laws which are violated by application of the strategies and technologies described in this document, at a country by country level. Presentation of some potentially relevant precedents.

The following request for legal advice was sent to the following individuals and organizations which may have the capacity to follow through with some law clerk assistance:

Subject: Victims of harassment and torture designed for brainwashing seeking assistance to specify specific laws and precedents relating to their situation

Hi,

You may be in a great position to contribute to a resource that is likely to empower a great number of vulnerable people.

Are you aware of the issue of “targeted individuals”, gang stalking, electronic harassment, etc.? In short, this involves the use of a variety of “traditional” techniques used for brainwashing (mind influencing and thought reform strategies), combined with a new class of technologies which are being used as neuroweapons. A lot of this may seem unbelievable, so I have also attached a glossary of related technologies and strategies being used. Rest assured. This is not science fiction.

The issue is this. Civilians are being targeted with extensive mental torture which is designed to assert social control to silence individuals who are outspoken (I believe, mostly those who are critical about corruption, war, and the surveillance state), and are also being applied on an experimental basis against unfortunate individuals deemed to be of no value to society.

The details following the body of this message describe the specific types of events and the intended effect on the victims.

At first glance, this may seem like much ado about nothing, BUT if/when you can come to understand the ways in which this is applied 24/7 for the purpose of establishing complete domination over the cognition and potential actions of the individual, you should understand that this is a very serious issue and it will be well worth setting aside some time from your other urgent projects to help these targeted individuals by a) researching the specific laws in your country which pertain to such forms of harassment and torture, and b) relevant legal precedents with respect to such harassment and torture. Naturally, full acknowledgement will be offered to anyone who wants it.

If time or specific expertise does not permit you to do so, please consider whether you may be able to recommend a student, colleague or acquaintance who may have an interest in such a vital issue of human rights and severe violations against liberty and self-determination.

Nathan Weatherdon
Translator and editor of economics research
Teacher
Targeted individual
Types of events which violate domestic and/or international legal and/or human rights principles, with a description of some effects these are intended to have on the targeted individuals which render these violations rather severe criminal infringements.

1) Relating to social isolation
   a. False rumours, slander, etc.
2) Threats of collection punishment, implementation of collective punishment
   a. 
3) Invasive monitoring, whether or not any warrant has been applied
4) Monitoring in the absence of a documented reason
5) Placing people on watchlists for no good reason
6) Political persecution
7) Trying to intimidate people out of expressing specific political views, not in the form of strong or even offensive counterargument, but in the sense of threats of psychological torture and/or invasive monitoring to persist until such communications or even THOUGHTS are abandoned.
8) Trying to coerce people into holding a specific political view, for example through threats of psychological torture and/or invasive monitoring to persist until such communications or even THOUGHTS are adopted.
9) Application of conditioning mechanisms (to be considered as separate from diverse forms of argumentation or mere presentation of arguments on paper) to engage in thought reform
10) Any means of communication which are designed to influence the individual’s views, preferences, psychology, etc., which cannot be “turned off” and which are not optional for the individual. With the exception of a lawful interrogation where the individual retains the right to refrain from any form of communication, implicit or otherwise.
11) Criminal negligence – knowing someone is being harmed and doing nothing
   a. By police
   b. By medical professionals
   c. By agents of the security state
   d. Even those who did nothing, or just played along without trying to stop anything, in such positions of responsibility are guilty of criminal negligence
12) Non-consensual remote monitoring of an individual’s thoughts whether for the purpose of:
   a. Evaluating what an individual thinks about certain topics
   b. Designing strategies for thought reform of the individual
   c. Actively engaging in efforts to manipulate the views, opinions, etc. of the individual
13) Entrapment. (To my knowledge, this does not attract criminal sanction in most countries, however civil suits, i.e., lawsuits, are possible.)
14) Death threats, threats of endless torture, etc., if you do not submit to the brainwashing and/or just do whatever they order
15) Threats of collective punishment, for example to also harass various connections, family, friends, etc., if you do not submit to the brainwashing and/or just do whatever they order

Australia
Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities
- Start with one

**Belgium**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- **Canada**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Royal Military College, Kingston

**Denmark**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- 

**European Union**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

**Law**

Related judgments

**Law**

Related judgments

**Military universities**

- Start with one

**Finland**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation

- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

**Law**

Related judgments

**Law**

Related judgments

**Military universities**

**France**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation

- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Germany

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Start with one

India

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

**Law**

- Related judgments

**Law**

- Related judgments

**Military universities**

- Start with one

**Netherlands**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

**Law**

- Related judgments

**Law**

- Related judgments

**Military universities**

- 

**New Zealand**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Start with one

**Russia**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Spain

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

**South Africa**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

**Sweden**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law
Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Switzerland

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- United Kingdom

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law

Related judgments
Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Start with one

**United States**

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation

- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law – Fourth Amendment: protects individuals from unreasonable searches and seizures

Related judgments

Law - Amendment 8 - Bail, fines, punishment. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

Related judgments

Law – Montana law 45-5-203. Intimidation.

Related judgments

Law - Conspiracy Against Rights. Under federal law 18 U.S.C. 241: *If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person [...] in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same;... They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both ...*

Related judgments

Law – not sure which law was cited


Military universities
International

Organizations and individuals contacted to solicit a legal review on this situation
- Law schools
- Student legal organizations and clinics
- Human rights organizations
  - Amnesty International: www.amnesty.org
  - Human Rights Action Center: www.humanrightsactioncenter.org
  - Human Rights Watch: www.hrw.org
  - Human Rights Council: (no website, diplomatic channels only)
  - Commission for Human Rights, Council of Europe: www.coe.int
  - European Union Ombudsman: www.ombudsman.europa.eu
  - African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights: www.achpr.org
  - The Asian Human Rights Commission: www.ahrchk.net
- Police training schools
- Community organizations with missions relating to law
- Sexual assault centres, domestic violence centres (may have awareness of organized stalking)
- Websites where you can ask legal questions, specific to the country

Law – Torture. The United Nations Convention Against Torture, part 1 Article 1, defines torture as: ...

...any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him, or a third person, information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity.

Signatories are required take effective legislative, administrative, judicial or other measures to prevent acts of torture in any territory under its jurisdiction. No exceptions, for example war, threat of war, etc., may be invoked as justification for torture.
Related judgments

Law

Related judgments

Military universities

- Start with one
Appendix G: Remaining issues relating to the scientific validity of definitions of technologies and methods used, as constrained by the limitations of which research is presently available to the civilian sector - regardless of the enormous number of testimonials and personal experiences.

1) The specific text of the loopholes in The Common Rule (USA) which may be interpreted as allowing violations of the Nuremberg Code, specifically in terms of non-consensual human experimentation, for example by intelligence agencies.

2) Extending upon proven civilian sector technologies in remote neural monitoring, specifically, that it is occurring at a much greater distance than demonstrated in the civilian sector, often believed to include the use of satellites, potentially complemented by on-the-ground relaying mechanisms.

3) The number and/or extent to which the medical profession is a) aware of these technologies and/or strategies and/or b) complicit in psychiatric reprisals – against those who speak openly about the use of such technologies and strategies, or who describe ‘symptoms’ which are synonymous with the application of these neuroweapons.

4) EEG cloning, EEG heterodyning
Appendix H: Acknowledgements: List of critical reviews, editing, corrections of scientific explanations, research contributions, etc.

First and foremost, any and all people who were speaking openly about this deserve genuine praise as heroes – at present, many have been sidelined and are deemed by many to be the least among us. I will avoid the temptation to get Biblical in discussing those who experienced psychiatric reprisal and became downtrodden, perceived as the least among us. This is because I refuse to play party to any line of thinking which suggests that any of this could have been ‘necessary’ or in any way desirable. It could have and should have been avoided from the get-go by people in the employ of the state, and/or those under their influence, to have fulfilled their mandates on behalf of the public. There is no silver lining. The utter enslavement of the human mind has been averted, for now. Nothing more. Following the logic of George Orwell’s “Animal Farm”, we cannot ‘win’ – however, we must eternally stave off defeat.

Some of those who have been speaking openly about this all may find more than a few of their own words incorporated into this work, and formal recognition is offered to anyone who asks for it – mostly, it was just difficult to verify the actual origin of many sources due to the high volume of non-copyrighted sources and the lack of experience in proper referencing among most people writing on this subject. If you were speaking openly about it, but I did not end up using you as a source, perhaps because I did not stumble across your blog, video, song, book, anonymous online comments, letters to politicians, media, NGOs, etc., let there be no doubt, you are equally deserving of gratitude as anyone else who had the courage to speak out.

Special recognition should be reserved for those people who are simultaneously self-effacing yet so full of self-awareness, blessed with humble pride, and with both a strong moral compass and a dedication to truth, who were able to overcome being “the perfect victim”, and who overcame the fear of shame and embarrassment in producing detailed descriptions of their experiences. They are a true inspiration to us all. Our silence is their greatest weapon. Speak truth to power.

Explicit recognition is offered to any and all who offer any level of critical review of the materials presented in this work. But for those who contribute in such a manner, this is likely to be the last step, the easiest one. Most or all who will do so started by speaking about it, and rest assured, in the context we were in, by refusing to be silenced in the context of “The First Neurowar”, their acts can be counted among the greatest acts of willpower humanity has yet to see.
Services rendered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description of service volunteered</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Profession(s)</th>
<th>Academic specialization(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2, 2016</td>
<td>Research, writing, proofreading,</td>
<td>Nathan Weatherdon</td>
<td>Translator, editor, teacher</td>
<td>Political science, biology, economics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anonymous / they already know who you are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributions solicited:

- If explanations appear unclear, please attempt to identify which part of the explanation is unclear and/or why, and if possible suggest a better way to say it. “This just doesn’t make sense to me” is not very useful feedback.
- Whether some terms seem highly related and should fall under one heading.
- Whether some terms should receive their own entry instead of being grouped together under another heading.
- Whether some terms appear to unnecessarily add to the length of the glossary, and may serve as a distraction from more relevant things or eat up cognitive resources.
- Whether some term or concept may be entirely missing from the glossary. Also, whether it should be introduced as a part of another entry or needs its own entry.
- Whether content is unnecessarily repeated between different entries.
- Whether any content makes use of copyrighted material that is not properly referenced. Any such infringement is purely accidental, most likely to have occurred by copying non-copyrighted materials which were themselves the origin of the infringement, and must be rectified with the shortest reasonable delay.
- Any words, sentences, paragraphs that can be deleted because they do not offer high additional value to the content.
- Specific laws which are violated in different countries in relation to these terms, ideally including reference to recent (or any) rulings in contexts which seem most applicable to the contexts referred to in this document.
- Any editing which reframes the entries, either just to be shorter while covering the same content, or in other cases reorganizing an entry to make it easier to understand with less effort.
- Basic proofreading, including any errors in grammar or suggestions to re-write sentences to make them easier to read.
- Artistic and/or other visual imagery which may be contribute to greater ease of understanding key concepts or to the aesthetic appeal of the book.
- Ideas on other contributions that should be solicited.